arXiv:hep-th/0402197v1 24 Feb 2004

NONPERTURBATIVE EFFECTS FROM PERTURBATION THEORY IN ADJOINT QCD_{1+1}

A Dissertation Presented to the Graduate Faculty of
Dedman College
Southern Methodist University
in
Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
with a
Major in Physics
by

Eliana Vianello
(M.S., University of Padua, Italy)

May 17, 2003

NONPERTURBATIVE EFFECTS FROM PERTURBATION THEORY IN ADJOINT QCD_{1+1}

Approved by:

Prof. Gary McCartor

Prof. Kent Hornbostel

Prof. Roberto Vega

Prof. George Reddien

Vianello, Eliana M. S., University of Padua, 1997.

Nonperturbative Effects from Perturbation Theory in Adjoint QCD_{1+1}

Advisor: Professor Gary McCartor

Doctor of Philosophy degree conferred May 17, 2003

Thesis completed April 2003

ABSTRACT

$SU(2)$ gauge theory coupled to massless fermions in the adjoint representation is quantized in light-cone gauge by imposing the equal-time canonical algebra. The theory is defined on a space-time cylinder with "twisted" boundary conditions, periodic for one colour component (the diagonal 3- component) and antiperiodic for the other two. The focus of the study is on the non-trivial vacuum structure and the fermion condensate. It is shown that the indefinite-metric quantization of free gauge bosons is not compatible with the residual gauge symmetry of the interacting theory. A suitable quantization of the unphysical modes of the gauge field is necessary in order to guarantee the consistency of the subsidiary condition and allow the quantum representation of the residual gauge symmetry of the classical Lagrangian: the 3-colour component of the gauge field must be quantized in a space with an indefinite metric while the other two components require a positive-definite metric. The contribution of the latter to the free Hamiltonian becomes highly pathological in this representation, but a larger portion of the interacting Hamiltonian can be diagonalized, thus allowing perturbative calculations to be performed. The vacuum is evaluated through second order in perturbation theory and this result is used for an approximate determination of the fermion condensate.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

NOTATIONS AND CONVENTIONS vi

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS vii

CHAPTER PAGE

1 INTRODUCTION 1

2 SU(2) GAUGE THEORY COUPLED TO ADJOINT FERMIONS . 6

2.1 **Basics.** 6

2.2 **The light-cone gauge** 8

2.3 **Dynamics** 9

2.4 **Quantization of the Fermi field.** 12

2.5 **The currents.** 13

2.6 **The kinetic term** 20

2.7 **Bosonization.** 21

2.8 **The Hamiltonian** 24

3 THE QUANTIZATION OF THE GAUGE FIELD 27

3.1 **The Lagrange multipliers and the subsidiary condition.** 27

3.2 **Quantization.** 35

4 THE VACUUM 44

4.1 **The subsidiary condition.** 47

4.2 **The degenerate vacua.** 49

5 THE CONDENSATE 56

5.1 **The complex field contribution.** 58

5.2 **The real field contribution** 99

6 CONCLUSIONS 111

REFERENCES 114

NOTATIONS AND CONVENTIONS

- x denotes space-time cohordinates ; $\mathrm{x}^1 \equiv \mathrm{x}$ and $\mathrm{x}^0 \equiv t$ are the corresponding space and, respectively, time components.

- Light-cone cohordinates are often used :

$$\mathrm{x}^- = \frac{t-\mathrm{x}}{\sqrt{2}} \qquad , \qquad \mathrm{x}^+ = \frac{t+\mathrm{x}}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\partial_- = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{x}^-} = \frac{\partial_0 - \partial_1}{\sqrt{2}} \qquad , \qquad \partial_+ = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{x}^+} = \frac{\partial_0 + \partial_1}{\sqrt{2}} \ .$$

- Greek indeces are Lorentz indeces while Latin indeces are colour indeces. To avoid confusion when the symbols $+$ and $-$ are used as both Lorentz and colour indeces, it may help to remember that colour indeces are always upper indeces.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work would not have been possible without the guidance of my adviser Gary McCartor. I am grateful to him and to everybody in the SMU Physics Department.

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION

The vacuum of QCD is believed to contain a condensate of quark-antiquark pairs with opposite helicities and a net chiral charge. This is a sign of spontaneous breaking of the chiral symmetry. The complexity of realistic field theories like QCD makes it convenient to investigate simpler models with analogous features. A great simplification can be achieved by considering theories in one space and one time dimensions. Because of the absence of transverse dimensions, there is no spin in two dimensions and the chiral nature of massless fermions refers to their direction of motion. The simplest model possessing a non-trivial vacuum structure and a chiral condensate (given by the nonzero vacuum expectation value of the operator $\bar{\psi}\psi$) is the Schwinger model [1], which is the two-dimensional version of QED with massless fermions, an exactly soluble abelian theory. It is interesting to consider the more complex case of a two-dimensional QCD model. It is known that in non-abelian theories in two dimensions a complex vacuum structure, reminiscent of the θ-vacuum of QCD, is present when the Fermi field transforms according to the adjoint representation of $SU(N)$ [2][3][4]. Nonvanishing chiral condensates have been found for two-dimensional Yang-Mills theories with adjoint fermions [5] [6] [11]. We shall use the canonical Hamiltonian formalism to obtain a perturbative evaluation of the vacuum and the condensate for $SU(2)$ gauge theory coupled to massless fermions in the adjoint representation. The quantum Hamiltonian will be determined after quantizing the fields in a Fock space at $t = 0$. The theory will be studied on the space interval $-L \leq \mathrm{x} \leq L$ with "twisted" boundary conditions [8] [9], periodic for one colour component (the diagonal 3-component) and antiperiodic for the other two. Compactifying the space dimension leads to a discrete set of allowed momenta , which provides a regularization of the infrared divergences affecting two-dimensional theories. The gauge condition that will be used to quantize the theory is the light-cone gauge $A_{-} = 0$, belonging to the family of algebraic gauges. These gauges have the important advantage that in the path-integral quantization of Yang-Mills theories the Faddeev-Popov determinant is independent of the gauge field and is absorbed by the normalization factor in the generating functional of Green's functions. The decoupling of Faddeev-Popov ghosts brings about significant simplifications in the formalism. Two different methods are commonly used to impose the light-cone gauge condition. In the light-front procedure the coordinate x^{+} plays the role of time: the canonical commutation relations are imposed at equal x^{+}, instead of equal time, and Heisenberg fields evolve in x^{+}. The gauge condition is then compatible with Dirac's procedure [10] for the quantization of constrained systems. All the constraints involving the canonical variables can be imposed in a strong sense and the redundant degrees of freedom associated with gauge invariance can therefore be eliminated from the formalism. The main advantage of the light-front representation is that the vacuum is very simple and can be described completely, which makes it particularly suitable for the non-perturbative study of field theories. In this approach, however, the condensate turns out to be proportional to $1/L$ and therefore vanishes in the continuum limit [11]. We shall consider the equal-time quantization of the model. In order to apply Dirac's procedure in this case, it is necessary to impose the gauge constraint by means of a Lagrange-multiplier field [12], which introduces unphysical degrees of freedom that have to be dealt with by requiring that the expectation value of the Lagrange multiplier vanishes for physical states. The Lagrange multiplier evolves as an x^--independent free field, which guarantees the stability of the physical subspace. By solving constraint equations for the colour components of this field, we shall express them in terms of the other fields and determine the algebra they satisfy. This will allow us to show that the three subsidiary conditions are not independent, and that we only need to require that the 3-colour component of the Lagrange multiplier have zero expectation value between physical states. As a consequence of the introduction of spurious degrees of freedom, the non-zero modes of A_+, which are not physical in two dimensions, are present in the Hamiltonian and need to be quantized. Once the condition $A_- = 0$ is imposed, the Lagrangian is still invariant under a class of gauge transformations that do not affect A_- . The quantization of the gauge field must allow a quantum representation of these transformations. The existence of an operator implementing the residual gauge symmetry of the classical Lagrangian is closely related to the existence of a non-trivial vacuum structure. We shall show that, in order to guarantee both the consistency of the subsidiary condition and the possibility to implement the residual symmetry, the 3-colour component of the gauge field must be quantized in a Fock space with indefinite metric, while for the other two components the definition of creation and annihilation operators must be compatible with a positive-definite metric. Although with an indefinite metric the Hamiltonian for the free gauge theory cannot be fully diagonalized, it does annihilate the Fock vacuum. But when two colour components of the gauge field are quantized according to the positive-metric scheme, their contribution to the Hamiltonian is highly pathological, containing terms that alter the number of particles. Although unphysical in the pure gauge theory, the modes causing this pathology participate in the interaction with fermions. That raises the problem of finding a vacuum state to perturb about. As we shall see, the pathology can be cured by adding to the unperturbed Hamiltonian a term coming from the gauge-invariant ultraviolet regularization of the fermion currents and kinetic term. This piece is quadratic in the gauge field and the coupling constant. It has the form of a mass term, where the mass is $m = \frac{g}{\sqrt{\pi}}$ (the well-known mass acquired by the gauge field in the Schwinger model). The same procedure will be followed for the zero mode of A^3. This procedure allows standard Hamiltonian perturbation theory to be applied for an approximate evaluation of the physical vacuum, starting from a vacuum that has some non-perturbative information built in (the ultraviolet renormalization giving the "mass term" for the gauge field is nonperturbative). It will be shown that the state obtained in this way satisfies the subsidiary condition, as must be required of the vacuum state for consistency reasons. Although the condensate is believed to be a non-perturbative effect we shall manage to treat it in a perturbative framework. Chapter 2 reviews some basic facts about the theory under study, the definition of bilinear products of Fermi fields and the bosonization of the fermion degrees of freedom. The Lagrange multipliers and the issues concerning the quantization of A_+ are studied in Chapter 3. In Chapter 4 the vacuum is evaluated through second order. The calculation of the condensate is presented in Chapter 5.

In order to test the method on a model with a known solution, we started by performing perturbative calculation for the Schwinger model and found some interesting results. In spite of the fact that the Hamiltonian for the free gauge theory does not have a complete set of eigenstates, perturbation theory *can* be applied. Perturbing about the states with one gauge boson satisfying the subsidiary condition in free theory, which are the only eigenstates, gives rise to a bifurcation: two states with different energies can be obtained from one unperturbed state. Thus, the complete set of one-particle eigenstates of the interacting Hamiltonian can be obtained by perturbing about the incomplete set of one-particle eigenstates of the free Hamiltonian. The calculations for the Schwinger model are reported in Appendix A.

Chapter 2

SU(2) GAUGE THEORY COUPLED TO ADJOINT FERMIONS

2.1 **Basics.**

The lagrangian density for the theory is[1]

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{F}^{\mu\nu}) + \mathrm{Tr}(\bar{\Psi}\gamma^{\mu}\mathrm{D}_{\mu}\Psi)$$

where

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu} + ig[A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}] \quad , \quad D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + ig[A_{\mu}, \quad] \, .$$

A_{μ} and Ψ are matrices in the adjoint representation of $SU(2)$:

$$A_{\mu} = A_{\mu}^{a}\tau^{a} \quad , \quad \Psi = \Psi^{a}\tau^{a} \qquad a = 1, 2, 3$$

where $\tau^a = \frac{\sigma^a}{2}$ and σ^a are the Pauli matrices, so that

$$\left[\tau^{a}, \tau^{b}\right] = i\epsilon^{abc} \qquad , \qquad \mathrm{Tr}\left(\tau^{a}\tau^{b}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\delta^{ab} \, .$$

γ^0 and γ^1 are 2×2 matrices satisfying the Dirac algebra

$$\{\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}\} = 2g^{\mu\nu} \, .$$

We shall use the following representation

$$\gamma^{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad \gamma^{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \, .$$

---

[1] The notation used here is similar to that of ref.[11].

Ψ^a is a 2-component Dirac field :

$$\Psi^a = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi^a_R \\ \\ \Psi^a_L \end{pmatrix}$$

The lagrangian is invariant under the gauge transformation

$$\Psi'_{R/L} = U\Psi_{R/L}U^{-1} \; ,$$

$$A'_\mu = UA_\mu U^{-1} + \frac{i}{g}\partial_\mu U U^{-1}$$

where U is a spacetime-dependent element of SU(2).

Note that $F_{\mu\nu}$ and D_μ transform covariantly under gauge transformations:

$$F'_{\mu\nu} = UF_{\mu\nu}U^{-1} \qquad , \qquad D'_\mu = UD_\mu U^{-1} \; .$$

The equations of motion for the gauge fields are

$$D_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = gJ^\nu \tag{2.1}$$

where the fermion current J^μ is defined as

$$J^\mu \equiv i\bar{\Psi}^b\gamma^\mu\Psi^a\left[\tau^a,\tau^b\right] \; . \tag{2.2}$$

The conservation law associated with the gauge invariance is

$$\partial_\nu\left(J^\nu - i\left[A_\mu, F^{\mu\nu}\right]\right) = 0 \; ,$$

the fermion current being conserved in the covariant sense:

$$D_\mu J^\mu = 0 \; .$$

2.2 The light-cone gauge

The light-cone gauge condition

$$n^\mu A^a_\mu = 0 \qquad \text{with} \quad n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, -1) \tag{2.3}$$

or, equivalently,

$$A^a_- \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(A^a_0 - A^a_1) = 0\,, \tag{2.4}$$

can be enforced by means of a Lagrange multiplier $\lambda(x) = \lambda^a(x)\tau^a$, by adding the gauge-fixing term [12]

$$\mathcal{L}_{gf} = 2\mathrm{Tr}\,(\lambda\, n^\mu A_\mu)$$

to the Lagrangian. The theory defined by the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L} + \mathcal{L}_{gf}$$

can be consistently quantized by means of Dirac's procedure. The gauge conditions (2.3) can be obtained as the Euler-Lagrange equations associated to the fields $\lambda^a(x)$.

The quantum commutators corresponding to the classical Dirac's brackets are

$$[A^a_0(t, \mathrm{x}), (\pi^1)^b(t, \mathrm{y})] = [A^a_1(t, \mathrm{x}), (\pi^1)^b(t, \mathrm{y})] = \delta_{ab}(\mathrm{x} - \mathrm{y})$$

where $(\pi^1)^b = F^b_{01}$. We can see that the gauge constraint $A^a_- = 0$ can be imposed in a strong sense while A^a_+ satisfies

$$[A^a_+(t, \mathrm{x}), F^b_{01}(t, \mathrm{y})] = \sqrt{2}\delta_{ab}(\mathrm{x} - \mathrm{y})\ .$$

This procedure introduces spurious degrees of freedom into the theory. The Euler-Lagrange equations associated to the gauge fields

$$D_\mu F^{\mu\nu} + \lambda n^\nu = gJ^\nu \tag{2.5}$$

are not equivalent to eqs.(2.1) owing to the presence of the Lagrange multiplier. Equivalence with the original theory can be recovered by imposing the subsidiary condition $\lambda = 0$. However, since the commutators of λ with the other fields are not zero, such condition is incompatible with the quantization of the theory and cannot be imposed in a strong sense. As in the standard Gupta-Bleuler quantization of QED in the Feynman gauge, the subsidiary condition will have to be imposed as a weak condition selecting the physical subspace $\mathcal{V}_{phys}$ of the theory:

$$|phys\rangle \in \mathcal{V}_{phys} \Leftrightarrow \langle phys|\lambda|phys\rangle = 0 \tag{2.6}$$

The stability of the physical subspace under time evolution is guaranteed by the fact that, as we shall see, λ satisfies a free-field equation of motion and has, therefore, a well defined decomposition into positive and negative frequency parts, so that one can equivalently state the subsidiary condition as

$$|phys\rangle \in \mathcal{V}_{phys} \Leftrightarrow \lambda^{(+)}|phys\rangle = 0 \tag{2.7}$$

where $\lambda^{(+)}$ denotes the annihilation, or positive frequency, component of the field $\lambda(x)$.

2.3 Dynamics

It is convenient to introduce the helicity basis [11]

$$\tau^{+} = \frac{\tau^{1} + i\,\tau^{2}}{\sqrt{2}} \qquad , \qquad \tau^{-} = \frac{\tau^{1} - i\,\tau^{2}}{\sqrt{2}}$$

These satisfy

$$[\tau^{+}, \tau^{-}] = \tau^{3} \qquad , \qquad [\tau^{3}, \tau^{\pm}] = \pm\tau^{\pm} \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\tau^+\tau^-) = \mathrm{Tr}(\tau^3)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \quad , \quad \mathrm{Tr}(\tau^\pm)^2 = \mathrm{Tr}(\tau^3\tau^\pm) = 0 \ . \tag{2.9}$$

With respect to this basis A_μ and Ψ are decomposed as

$$A_\mu = A^3_\mu\tau^3 + A^-_\mu\tau^+ + A^+_\mu\tau^- \tag{2.10}$$

where $A^\pm_\mu \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(A^1_\mu \pm A^2_\mu)$,

$$\Psi_{R/L} = \phi_{R/L}\tau^3 + \psi_{R/L}\tau^+ + \psi^\dagger_{R/L}\tau^- \tag{2.11}$$

where $\phi_{R/L} \equiv \Psi^3_{R/L}$ and $\psi_{R/L} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\Psi^1_{R/L} - i\Psi^2_{R/L}\right)$, $\psi^\dagger_{R/L} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\Psi^1_{R/L} + i\Psi^2_{R/L})$.

We shall restrict the space variable to the interval $-L \leq \mathrm{x} \leq L$ and impose "twisted" boundary conditions: the fields ψ_R and ψ_L will be taken to be antiperiodic; it will be convenient, however, to take ϕ_R and ϕ_L to be periodic. For consistency, then, $A^\pm_\mu$ must be taken to be antiperiodic while A^3_μ is periodic.

With the above definitions the Lagrangian density can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} \ = \ & -\frac{1}{2}(F^3_{01})^2 - \frac{1}{2}F^+_{01}F^-_{01} - \frac{1}{2}F^-_{01}F^+_{01} + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\phi_R\partial_+\phi_R + \phi_L\partial_-\phi_L\right] \\ & + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\psi^\dagger_R\partial_+\psi_R + \psi_R\partial_+\psi^\dagger_R + \psi^\dagger_L\partial_-\psi_L + \psi_L\partial_-\psi^\dagger_L\right] \\ & - \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\left[A^3_+J^3_R + A^-_+J^+_R + A^+_+J^-_R + A^3_-J^3_L + A^-_-J^+_L + A^+_-J^-_L\right] \\ & + \lambda^3A^3_- + \lambda^+A^-_- + \lambda^-A^+_- \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

where

$$A^{3,\pm}_- \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(A^{3,\pm}_0 - A^{3,\pm}_1\right) \qquad , \qquad A^{3,\pm}_+ \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(A^{3,\pm}_0 + A^{3,\pm}_1\right)$$

and

$$J_L^{3,\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(J_0^{3,\pm} + J_1^{3,\pm}\right) \qquad , \qquad J_R^{3,\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(J_0^{3,\pm} - J_1^{3,\pm}\right)$$

The equations of motion for the gauge fields take the form

$$\partial_- F^3 + J_R^3 = 0 \tag{2.13}$$

$$\partial_+ F^3 + ig(F^+A^- - F^-A^+) - J_L^3 + \lambda^3 = 0 \tag{2.14}$$

$$\partial_- F^- + J_R^- = 0 \tag{2.15}$$

$$\partial_+ F^- + ig(F^-A^3 - F^3A^-) - J_L^- + \lambda^- = 0 \tag{2.16}$$

$$\partial_- F^+ + J_R^+ = 0 \tag{2.17}$$

$$\partial_+ F^+ + ig(F^3A^+ - F^+A^3) - J_L^+ + \lambda^+ = 0 \tag{2.18}$$

$$A_- = 0 \tag{2.19}$$

where $A^{3,\pm} \equiv A_+^{3,\pm}$ and $F^{3,\pm} \equiv F_{01}^{3,\pm}$, which is the only non-vanishing component of the antisymmetric tensor $F_{\mu\nu}^{3,\pm}$ in two dimensions. The condition $A_- = 0$ implies

$$F^{3,\pm} = \partial_0 A_1^{3,\pm} - \partial_1 A_0^{3,\pm} = \partial_- A^{3,\pm}$$

From the expression of the energy-momentum tensor

$$\Theta^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \varphi_\alpha)} \partial^\nu \varphi_\alpha - \mathcal{L} g^{\mu\nu}$$

one obtains the canonical Hamiltonian

$$P^0 \equiv H = \int_{-L}^{L} d\mathrm{x}\, \Theta^{00}(x).$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta^{00} &= F^3\partial_0 A_1^3 + F^-\partial_0 A_1^+ + F^+\partial_0 A_1^- + \frac{i}{2}\left(\phi_R\partial_0\phi_R + \psi_R^\dagger\partial_0\psi_R + \psi_R\partial_0\psi_R^\dagger\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{2}\left(\phi_L\partial_0\phi_L + \psi_L^\dagger\partial_0\psi_L + \psi_L\partial_0\psi_L^\dagger\right) - \mathcal{L} \end{aligned}$$

With some manipulations and using the constraint $A_- = 0$ one gets

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \int_{-L}^{L} d\mathrm{x} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}\left(F^3\right)^2 + F^+F^- - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\partial_1 F^3 A^3 + \partial_1 F^+ A^- + \partial_1 F^- A^+\right) \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{2}\left(\phi_L\partial_1\phi_L + \psi_L^\dagger\partial_1\psi_L + \psi_L\partial_1\psi_L^\dagger - \phi_R\partial_1\phi_R - \psi_R^\dagger\partial_1\psi_R - \psi_R\partial_1\psi_R^\dagger\right) \\ &\quad \left. + g\left(A^3 J_R^3 + A^- J_R^+ + A^+ J_R^-\right) \right\} . \end{aligned}$$

2.4 Quantization of the Fermi field.

The Fock representation for the fermionic degrees of freedom at $t = 0$ is obtained by Fourier expanding $\Psi_{R/L}(0, \mathrm{x})$. We have

$$\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}} \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \left(r_N e^{ik_N \mathrm{x}} + r_N^\dagger e^{-ik_N \mathrm{x}}\right) + \overset{o}{\phi}_R \tag{2.20}$$

$$\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}} \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \left(b_n e^{ik_n \mathrm{x}} + d_n^\dagger e^{-ik_n \mathrm{x}}\right) \tag{2.21}$$

$$\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}} \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \left(\rho_N e^{-ik_N \mathrm{x}} + \rho_N^\dagger e^{ik_N \mathrm{x}}\right) + \overset{o}{\phi}_L \tag{2.22}$$

$$\psi_L(0,\mathrm{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}} \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \left(\beta_n e^{-ik_n \mathrm{x}} + \delta_n^\dagger e^{ik_n \mathrm{x}}\right) , \tag{2.23}$$

where $\overset{o}{\phi}_{R/L}$ are the zero modes of $\phi_{R/L}$. The lower-case (upper-case) indices run over positive half-odd integers (integers) and $k_n = n\pi/L$, $k_N = N\pi/L$.

The canonical anti-commutation relations for the Fermi fields are

$$\begin{aligned} \{\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x}), \phi_R(0,y)\} &= \delta_P(x-y) \\ \{\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x}), \phi_L(0,y)\} &= \delta_P(x-y) , \end{aligned}$$

where δ_P denotes the periodic delta function, which can be expanded in the interval $[-L, +L]$ as

$$\delta_P(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}) = \frac{1}{2L} \sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\frac{\pi}{L}N(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y})} ,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\left\{\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}),\psi_R^\dagger(0,y)\right\} &= \delta_A(x-y) &(2.24)\\ \left\{\psi_L(0,\mathrm{x}),\psi_L^\dagger(0,y)\right\} &= \delta_A(x-y)\ , &(2.25)\end{aligned}$$

where δ_A denotes the anti-periodic delta function

$$\delta_A(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}) = \frac{1}{2L}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i\frac{\pi}{L}n(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y})}\ .$$

All the other anti-commutators vanish.

These induce the following algebra for the Fourier modes:

$$\{\rho_N^\dagger,\rho_M\} = \{r_N^\dagger,r_M\} = \delta_{N,M} \tag{2.26}$$

$$\{b_n^\dagger,b_m\} = \{d_n^\dagger,d_m\} = \{\beta_n^\dagger,\beta_m\} = \{\delta_n^\dagger,\delta_m\} = \delta_{n,m} \tag{2.27}$$

$$\{\overset{o}{\phi}_R,\overset{o}{\phi}_R\} = \{\overset{o}{\phi}_L,\overset{o}{\phi}_L\} = \frac{1}{2L}\ , \tag{2.28}$$

all other anti-commutators vanishing.

The fermionic Fock space is generated in the usual way by the action of the creation operators on a vacuum state $|0\rangle$.

2.5 The currents.

A rigorous definition of quantum fields as operators in a Hilbert space requires smearing with test functions. As mathematical objects quantum fields are operator-valued distributions and the product of fields at the same point is not defined. Quantum field theories are affected by ultraviolet singularities arising from ill-defined operator products. A renormalization procedure is necessary to remove such singularities. The most simple example is the normal ordering prescription for free fields, which can be defined by means of a space-like point splitting as

$$:A(x)B(x):\equiv \lim_{\substack{\varepsilon\to 0\\ \varepsilon^2<0}} \Big(A(x+\varepsilon)B(x)-\langle 0|A(x+\varepsilon)B(x)|0\rangle\Big)\ .$$

Here the diverging vacuum expectation value (v.e.v.) is removed before the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$ is taken.

Starting from the expression for the currents (2.2) we can define the free fermion currents for this theory at $t=0$ by means of a point-splitting in the space direction

$$\tilde{J}_R(0,\mathrm{x}) \equiv \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Psi^b_R(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\Psi^a_R(0,\mathrm{x})\left[\tau^a,\tau^b\right] \tag{2.29}$$

$$\tilde{J}_L(0,\mathrm{x}) \equiv \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Psi^b_L(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\Psi^a_L(0,\mathrm{x})[\tau^a,\tau^b] \tag{2.30}$$

or, for each colour component in the helicity basis,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{J}^3_R &\equiv \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(\psi^\dagger_R(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})+\psi^\dagger_R(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\Big)\\
\tilde{J}^+_R &\equiv \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\psi^\dagger_R(0,\mathrm{x})+\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})\psi^\dagger_R(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\Big)\\
\tilde{J}^-_R &\equiv \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})+\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

and analogous expressions for the left currents.

It is easy to see that the definition of $\tilde{J}^3$ is equivalent to the normal ordering prescription. As a matter of fact by evaluating $\langle 0|\psi^\dagger_R(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|0\rangle$ one sees that in the normal ordering procedure the ultraviolet divergence $\frac{i}{2\pi\epsilon}$ is subtracted. This purely imaginary singularity is also removed by means of the hermitian point-splitting as in the definition of $\tilde{J}^3$. More explicitly, using the Fock representation (2.21) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi^\dagger_R(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) = \frac{1}{2L}\sum_{m,n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\Big(& d_n b_m e^{i(k_n+k_m)\mathrm{x}}e^{ik_n\epsilon} + d_n d^\dagger_m e^{i(k_n-k_m)\mathrm{x}}e^{ik_n\epsilon}\\
&+ b^\dagger_n b_m e^{-i(k_n-k_m)\mathrm{x}}e^{-ik_n\epsilon} + b^\dagger_n d^\dagger_m e^{-i(k_n+k_m)\mathrm{x}}e^{-ik_n\epsilon}\Big)\ .
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{2L}\sum_{m,n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} d_n d_m^\dagger e^{i(k_n-k_m)\mathrm{x}} e^{ik_n\epsilon} = -\frac{1}{2L}\sum_{m,n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} d_m^\dagger d_n e^{i(k_n-k_m)\mathrm{x}} e^{ik_n\epsilon} + \frac{1}{2L}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} e^{ik_n\epsilon}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2L}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} e^{ik_n\epsilon} = \frac{1}{2L}\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} e^{iN\frac{\pi}{L}\epsilon} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2L}\epsilon} = \frac{1}{2L}\frac{1}{1-e^{i\frac{\pi}{L}\epsilon}}\, e^{i\frac{\pi}{2L}\epsilon} = \frac{i}{2\pi\epsilon} + o(\epsilon)$$

we can write

$$\psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) = \boldsymbol{:}\psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})\boldsymbol{:} + \frac{i}{2\pi\epsilon} + o(\epsilon) \tag{2.31}$$

and

$$\tilde{J}_R^3(0,\mathrm{x}) = \sqrt{2}\,\boldsymbol{:}\psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})\boldsymbol{:} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}L}\sum_{m,n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\Big(d_n b_m e^{i(k_n+k_m)\mathrm{x}} - d_m^\dagger d_n e^{i(k_n-k_m)\mathrm{x}} + b_n^\dagger b_m e^{-i(k_n-k_m)\mathrm{x}} + b_n^\dagger d_m^\dagger e^{-i(k_n+k_m)\mathrm{x}}\Big)\ .$$

In a similar manner one gets:

$$\psi_L^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\psi_L(0,\mathrm{x}) = \boldsymbol{:}\psi_L^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\boldsymbol{:} - \frac{i}{2\pi\epsilon} + o(\epsilon) \tag{2.32}$$

and

$$\tilde{J}_L^3(0,\mathrm{x}) = \sqrt{2}\,\boldsymbol{:}\psi_L^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\boldsymbol{:}$$

From the above expression for $\tilde{J}_R^3$ it is not hard to see that we can write

$$\tilde{J}_R^3(0,\mathrm{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}L}\sum_{N=1}^{\infty}\Big(C_N^3 e^{ik_N\mathrm{x}} + {C_N^3}^\dagger e^{-ik_N\mathrm{x}}\Big) + \frac{C_0^3}{\sqrt{2}L} \tag{2.33}$$

where

$$C_N^3 = \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\Big(b_n^\dagger b_{N+n} - d_n^\dagger d_{N+n}\Big) - \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{N-\frac{1}{2}} b_n d_{N-n}$$

and

$$C_0^3 = \sum_n (b_n^\dagger b_n - d_n^\dagger d_n)\ . \tag{2.34}$$

Analogously one can see that

$$\tilde{J}^3_L(0,\mathrm{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}L}\sum_{N=1}^{\infty}\left(D^3_N e^{-ik_N\mathrm{x}} + D^3_N{}^{\dagger} e^{ik_N\mathrm{x}}\right) + \frac{D^3_0}{\sqrt{2}L} \tag{2.35}$$

where

$$D^3_N = \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\left(\beta^{\dagger}_n\beta_{N+n} - \delta^{\dagger}_n\delta_{N+n}\right) - \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{N-\frac{1}{2}}\beta_n\delta_{N-n}$$

and

$$D^3_0 = \sum_n(\beta^{\dagger}_n\beta_n - \delta^{\dagger}_n\delta_n)\ . \tag{2.36}$$

As for J^+ and J^- it is easy to see that the operator products are not singular and the limit can be taken without subtractions:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{J}^+_R(0,\mathrm{x}) \;&=\; \frac{1}{2L}\sum_{N=0}^{\infty}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\Big(r_N d_n e^{i(N+n)\frac{\pi}{L}} - b^{\dagger}_n r_N e^{i(N-n)\frac{\pi}{L}} \\ &\qquad + r^{\dagger}_N d_n e^{-i(N-n)\frac{\pi}{L}} + r^{\dagger}_N b^{\dagger}_n e^{-i(N+n)\frac{\pi}{L}}\Big)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{J}^-_R(0,\mathrm{x}) \;&=\; \frac{1}{2L}\sum_{N=0}^{\infty}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\Big(b_n r_N e^{i(N+n)\frac{\pi}{L}\mathrm{x}} - r^{\dagger}_N b_n e^{-i(N-n)\frac{\pi}{L}\mathrm{x}} \\ &\qquad + d^{\dagger}_n r_N e^{i(N-n)\frac{\pi}{L}\mathrm{x}} + d^{\dagger}_n r^{\dagger}_N e^{-i(N+n)\frac{\pi}{L}\mathrm{x}}\Big)\end{aligned}$$

where we have set

$$\overset{o}{\phi}_R = \frac{r_0 + r^{\dagger}_0}{\sqrt{2L}}\ . \tag{2.37}$$

We can write

$$\tilde{J}^{\pm}_R(0,\mathrm{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}L}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\left(C^{\pm}_n e^{ik_n\mathrm{x}} + C^{\mp\dagger}_n e^{-ik_n\mathrm{x}}\right) \tag{2.38}$$

where

$$C^+_n \;=\; \sum_{M=0}^{\infty} r^{\dagger}_M d_{n+M} - \sum_{m=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} b^{\dagger}_m r_{n+m} - \sum_{m=\frac{1}{2}}^{n} d_m r_{n-m} \tag{2.39}$$

$$C^-_n \;=\; \sum_{m=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} d^{\dagger}_m r_{n+m} - \sum_{M=0}^{\infty} r^{\dagger}_M b_{M+n} - \sum_{m=\frac{1}{2}}^{n} r_{n-m} b_m\ . \tag{2.40}$$

Similar expressions can be found for the operators $D_n^\pm$ such that

$$\tilde{J}_L^\pm(0,\mathrm{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}L}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\left(D_n^\pm e^{-ik_n\mathrm{x}} + D_n^{\mp\dagger} e^{ik_n\mathrm{x}}\right) \tag{2.41}$$

Using the fundamental anti-commutators (2.26), (2.27) and (2.28) one can verify that these operators satisfy the commutation relations[2][7]

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[C_N^3, C_M^3\right] &= N\delta_{N,-M} && (2.42)\\
\left[C_n^\pm, C_m^\pm\right] &= 0 && (2.43)\\
\left[C_N^3, C_m^\pm\right] &= \pm C_{N+m}^\pm && (2.44)\\
\left[C_n^+, C_m^-\right] &= C_{n+m}^3 + n\delta_{n,-m}\ . && (2.45)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have defined

$$C_{-N}^3 \equiv (C_N^3)^\dagger\ , \qquad C_{-n}^\pm \equiv (C_n^\mp)^\dagger$$

The algebra satisfied by the Ds is of course identical.

Definitions (2.29) and (2.30) are not suitable for regularizing the currents in the interacting case because the gauge transformation properties of the currents are not preserved. We want a prescription such that the regularized currents still transform covariantly [11]:

$$J'_\mu(x) = U(x)J_\mu(x)U^\dagger(x)$$

In order to achieve this we modify def.(2.29-2.30) by suitably inserting factors of the form:

$$e^{ig\int_x^{x+\varepsilon} A\cdot dx}$$

---

[2] Note that these relations do not hold in this form if the zero mode of $\phi_{R/L}$ is discarded, as in ref. [11]

which, under gauge transformations behave according to

$$e^{ig\int_x^{x+\varepsilon} A\cdot dx} \longrightarrow U(x)e^{ig\int_x^{x+\varepsilon} A\cdot dx}U^\dagger(x+\varepsilon)$$

for ε infinitesimal. As a consequence we have:

$$e^{ig\int_x^{x+\varepsilon} A\cdot dx}\Psi(x+\varepsilon)e^{-ig\int_x^{x+\varepsilon} A\cdot dx} \longrightarrow U(x)e^{ig\int_x^{x+\varepsilon} A\cdot dx}\Psi(x+\varepsilon)e^{-ig\int_x^{x+\varepsilon} A\cdot dx}U^\dagger(x)$$

and the regularized interacting current $\hat{J}(0,\mathrm{x})$ defined by

$$\hat{J}_R(0,\mathrm{x}) \equiv -\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\Psi_R^a(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\Psi_R^b(0,\mathrm{x})\,[e^{ig\int_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{x}+\epsilon} A_1\cdot d\mathrm{x}}\,\tau^a\,e^{-ig\int_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{x}+\epsilon} A_1\cdot d\mathrm{x}},\tau^b]$$

transforms covariantly.

Using

$$e^{ig\int_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{x}+\epsilon} A_1\cdot d\mathrm{x}}\tau^a e^{-ig\int_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{x}+\epsilon} A_1\cdot d\mathrm{x}} = \tau^a + ig\epsilon[A_1,\tau^a] + o(\epsilon^2)$$

we have

$$\hat{J}_R(0,\mathrm{x}) = \tilde{J}_R(0,\mathrm{x}) - \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\left(\frac{i}{2}g\epsilon\Psi_R^a(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\Psi_R^b(0,\mathrm{x})A^c(0,\mathrm{x})\left[[\tau^c,\tau^a],\tau^b\right]\right)\ .$$

Since $\left[[\tau^c,\tau^a],\tau^b\right] = i\epsilon^{cad}i\epsilon^{dbf}\tau^f = \delta^{ab}\tau^c - \delta^{cb}\tau^a$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{J}_R(0,\mathrm{x}) = \tilde{J}_R(0,\mathrm{x}) - ig\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\Big[&\epsilon\mathrm{Tr}\Big\{\Psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\Psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})\Big\}A(0,\mathrm{x})\\ &-\epsilon\Psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\mathrm{Tr}\Big\{\Psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})A(0,\mathrm{x})\Big\}\Big]\end{aligned}$$

so that, going back to the helicity basis we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{J}_R^3 = \tilde{J}_R^3 - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}g\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\Big[\ &\epsilon\Big\{\psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) + \psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\Big\}A_1^3(0,\mathrm{x})\\ &-\epsilon\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})A_1^-(0,\mathrm{x}) - \epsilon\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})A_1^+(0,\mathrm{x})\Big]\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{J}_R^+ = \tilde{J}_R^+ - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}g\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\Big[\ &\epsilon\Big\{\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) + \psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\Big\}A_1^+(0,\mathrm{x})\\ &-\epsilon\psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})A_1^3(0,\mathrm{x}) - \epsilon\psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})A_1^-(0,\mathrm{x})\Big]\end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{J}_R^- = \tilde{J}_R^- - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} g \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \Big[\epsilon \Big\{ \phi_R(0, \mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) + \psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) \Big\} A_1^-(0,\mathrm{x})$$
$$-\epsilon\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})A_1^3(0,\mathrm{x}) - \epsilon\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})A_1^+(0,\mathrm{x})\Big]$$

As we have seen (eq. 2.31), the operator product $\psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})$ has a pole in $\epsilon = 0$, which cancels the ϵ in the numerator giving a non-zero limit. The same singularity affects $\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x}) = -\left(\psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})\right)^\dagger$. Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) &= \frac{1}{2L}\sum_{M,N=0}^{\infty}\Big(r_N r_M e^{i(k_N+k_M)\mathrm{x}} e^{ik_N\epsilon} + r_N^\dagger r_M e^{-i(k_N-k_M)\mathrm{x}} e^{-ik_N\epsilon} \\
&\quad + r_N^\dagger r_M^\dagger e^{-i(k_N+k_M)\mathrm{x}} e^{-ik_N\epsilon} - r_M^\dagger r_N e^{i(k_N-k_M)\mathrm{x}} e^{ik_N\epsilon} + \frac{1}{2L}\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} e^{iN\frac{\pi}{L}\epsilon}\Big) \\
&= \frac{1}{2L}\sum_{M,N=0}^{\infty}\Big(r_N r_M e^{i(k_N+k_M)\mathrm{x}} + r_N^\dagger r_M^\dagger e^{-i(k_N+k_M)\mathrm{x}}\Big) + \frac{i}{2\pi\epsilon} + o(\epsilon)
\end{aligned}$$

All the other operator products appearing in the above expressions for the regularized currents do not have poles in $\epsilon = 0$ and give no contribution, so that, after taking the limit $\epsilon \to 0$, one is left with

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{J}_R^3 &= \tilde{J}_R^3 + \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}\pi}A_1^3 \\
\hat{J}_R^+ &= \tilde{J}_R^+ + \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}\pi}A_1^+ \\
\hat{J}_R^- &= \tilde{J}_R^- + \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}\pi}A_1^- \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.46}$$

For the left currents we have non-zero contributions in the small ϵ limit from

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_L^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\psi_L(0,\mathrm{x}) &\sim -\frac{i}{2\pi\epsilon}\ , \\
\psi_L(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\psi_L^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x}) &\sim -\frac{i}{2\pi\epsilon}\ , \\
\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x}) &\sim -\frac{i}{2\pi\epsilon}\ .
\end{aligned}$$

and, therefore

$$\hat{J}_L^3 = \tilde{J}_L^3 - \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}\pi}A_1^3$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{J}_L^+ &= \tilde{J}_L^+ - \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}\pi} A_1^+ \\ \hat{J}_L^- &= \tilde{J}_L^- - \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}\pi} A_1^- \ .\end{aligned} \tag{2.47}$$

2.6 The kinetic term

As a gauge-covariant regularization of the kinetic term let us consider

$$\begin{aligned}&[\mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{i}\Psi_\mathrm{R}(0,\mathrm{x})\partial_1\Psi_\mathrm{R}(0,\mathrm{x})]_{reg} \equiv \\ &\qquad \equiv \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\left\{\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\mathrm{i}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ig}\int_\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{x}+\epsilon}\mathrm{A}_1(0,\mathrm{y})\mathrm{dy}}\Psi_\mathrm{R}(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{ig}\int_\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{x}+\epsilon}\mathrm{A}_1(0,\mathrm{y})\mathrm{dy}}\partial_1\Psi_\mathrm{R}(0,\mathrm{x})\Big) - \mathrm{v.e.v.}\right\}\end{aligned}$$

Using

$$\begin{aligned}e^{ig\int_\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{x}+\epsilon}A_1(0,\mathrm{y})dy}\Psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)e^{-ig\int_\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{x}+\epsilon}A_1(0,\mathrm{y})dy} &= \Psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon) + ig\epsilon\,[A_1(0,\mathrm{x}),\Psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)] \\ +\frac{i}{2}g\epsilon^2[\partial_1 A_1(0,\mathrm{x}),\Psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)] &- \frac{g^2}{2}\epsilon^2\,[A_1(0,\mathrm{x}),[A_1(0,\mathrm{x}),\Psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)]\,] + o(\epsilon^3)\end{aligned}$$

and disregarding terms that vanish in the limit $\epsilon \to 0$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathrm{i}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ig}\int_\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{x}+\epsilon}\mathrm{A}_1\mathrm{dx}}\Psi_\mathrm{R}(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{ig}\int_\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{x}+\epsilon}\mathrm{A}_1\mathrm{dx}}\partial_1\Psi_\mathrm{R}\right) &\simeq \mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathrm{i}\Psi_\mathrm{R}(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\partial_1\Psi_\mathrm{R}(0,\mathrm{x})\right) \\ +\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}\epsilon g A_1^3(0,\mathrm{x}) + \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^2 g\partial_1 A_1^3(0,\mathrm{x})\right)\psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\partial_1\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) + h.c.\right]& \\ -\left[\frac{i}{4}\epsilon^2 g^2\left(A_1^+(0,\mathrm{x})A_1^-(0,\mathrm{x}) + (A_1^3)^2(0,\mathrm{x})\right)\psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\partial_1\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) + h.c.\right]& \\ -\left[\frac{i}{4}\epsilon^2 g^2 A_1^+(0,\mathrm{x})A_1^-(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\partial_1\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) + h.c.\right]&\end{aligned}$$

One finds that

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\partial_1\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) &\simeq \ :\psi_R^\dagger\partial_1\psi_R: \ - \frac{1}{2i\pi\epsilon^2} + \mathrm{const.} \\ \phi_R(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\partial_1\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) &\simeq \ :\phi_R\partial_1\phi_R: \ - \frac{1}{2i\pi\epsilon^2} + \mathrm{const.}\end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$[\mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{i}\Psi_\mathrm{R}(0,\mathrm{x})\partial_1\Psi_\mathrm{R}(0,\mathrm{x})]_{reg} = \frac{i}{2}:\psi_R^\dagger\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial_1}\psi_R: \ + \frac{i}{2}:\phi_R\partial_1\phi_R: \ + \frac{g^2}{4\pi}\left(2A_1^+A_1^- + (A_1^3)^2\right) \ . \tag{2.48}$$

Analogously, using

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_L^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\partial_1\psi_L(0,\mathrm{x}) &\simeq\ :\psi_L^\dagger\partial_1\psi_L: + \frac{1}{2i\pi\epsilon^2} + \mathrm{const.}\\ \phi_L(0,\mathrm{x}+\epsilon)\partial_1\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x}) &\simeq\ :\phi_L\partial_1\phi_L: + \frac{1}{2i\pi\epsilon^2} + \mathrm{const.}\end{aligned}$$

one gets

$$[\mathrm{Tr(i\Psi_L(0,x)}\partial_1\mathrm{\Psi_L(0,x)}]_{reg} = \frac{i}{2}:\psi_L^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_1 \psi_L: \ + \frac{i}{2}:\phi_R\partial_1\phi_L: \ - \frac{g^2}{4\pi}\left(2A_1^+A_1^- + (A_1^3)^2\right) \ . \tag{2.49}$$

2.7 **Bosonization.**

It is convenient to consider an alternative representation of the anticommutation relations (2.24) and (2.25), known as *bosonization*. This procedure will allow us to express the fields $\psi_{R/L}$ and $\psi_{R/L}^\dagger$ at $t=0$ in terms of the bosonic operators C_N^3 and D_N^3 appearing in the Fourier decomposition of the currents $\widetilde{J}_R^3$ and $\widetilde{J}_L^3$ (eqs. 2.33-2.35). Following [14] we define

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_R^{(+)}(0,\mathrm{x}) &= -\sum_{N=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{N}C_N^3 e^{ik_N\mathrm{x}}\\ \varphi_R^{(-)}(0,\mathrm{x}) &= \sum_{N=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{N}(C_N^3)^\dagger e^{-ik_N\mathrm{x}}\\ \varphi_L^{(+)}(0,\mathrm{x}) &= -\sum_{N=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{N}D_N^3 e^{-ik_N\mathrm{x}}\\ \varphi_L^{(-)}(0,\mathrm{x}) &= \sum_{N=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{N}(D_N^3)^\dagger e^{ik_N\mathrm{x}}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sigma_{R/L}(0,\mathrm{x}) = \sqrt{2L}e^{\varphi_{R/L}^{(-)}(0,\mathrm{x})}\psi_{R/L}(0,\mathrm{x})e^{\varphi_{R/L}^{(+)}(0,\mathrm{x})} \ . \tag{2.50}$$

The following relations hold [14]

$$\sigma_R^+(0,\mathrm{x})\sigma_R(0,\mathrm{x}) = \sigma_R(0,\mathrm{x})\sigma_R^+(0,\mathrm{x}) = 1 \ , \tag{2.51}$$

$$\sigma_L^+(0,\mathrm{x})\sigma_L(0,\mathrm{x}) = \sigma_L(0,\mathrm{x})\sigma_L^+(0,\mathrm{x}) = 1 \ , \tag{2.52}$$

$$\{\sigma_R(x), \sigma_L(y)\} = \{\sigma_R(x), \sigma_L^+(y)\} = 0 \ , \tag{2.53}$$

$$[C_0^3\ ,\ \sigma_L] = [D_0^3\ ,\ \sigma_R] = 0 \tag{2.54}$$

$$[C_0^3\ ,\ \sigma_R] = -\sigma_R \quad , \qquad [D_0^3\ ,\ \sigma_L] = -\sigma_L \tag{2.55}$$

$$[C_N^3, \sigma_{R/L}] = [D_N^3, \sigma_{R/L}] = 0 \ . \tag{2.56}$$

The operators

$$\sigma_{R/L} \equiv \sigma_{R/L}(0,0) \tag{2.57}$$

are called *spurions*.

Let us consider the states

$$|M,N\rangle = \sigma_L^M \sigma_R^N |0\rangle \ ,$$

where

$$\sigma_{R/L}^{-N} \equiv (\sigma_{R/L}^\dagger)^N \ .$$

One can show that, for $M, N > 0$,

$$|M,N\rangle = \delta^\dagger_{M-\frac{1}{2}} \cdots \delta^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}}\, d^\dagger_{N-\frac{1}{2}} \cdots d^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}} |0\rangle$$
$$|-M,N\rangle = \beta^\dagger_{M-\frac{1}{2}} \cdots \beta^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}}\, d^\dagger_{N-\frac{1}{2}} \cdots d^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}} |0\rangle$$
$$|M,-N\rangle = \delta^\dagger_{M-\frac{1}{2}} \cdots \delta^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}}\, b^\dagger_{N-\frac{1}{2}} \cdots b^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}} |0\rangle$$
$$|-M,-N\rangle = \beta^\dagger_{M-\frac{1}{2}} \cdots \beta^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}}\, b^\dagger_{N-\frac{1}{2}} \cdots b^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}} |0\rangle \ .$$

It is easy to see that the states $|M,N\rangle$ are eigenstates of C_0^3 and D_0^3 :

$$\begin{aligned} C_0^3|M,N\rangle &= -N|M,N\rangle \\ D_0^3|M,N\rangle &= -M|M,N\rangle \ . \end{aligned}$$

One can verify that, for any $P > 0$

$$C^3_P|M,N\rangle = 0 \quad , \qquad D^3_P|M,N\rangle = 0$$

and since

$$[C^3_0, {C^3_P}^\dagger] = [D^3_0, {C^3_P}^\dagger] = 0$$

$$[C^3_0, {D^3_P}^\dagger] = [D^3_0, {D^3_P}^\dagger] = 0$$

the action of ${C^3_P}^\dagger$ and ${D^3_P}^\dagger$ does not modify the eigenvalues of C^3_0 and D^3_0.

It can be shown [15] that the fermion Fock space $\mathcal{F}$, generated by the action of the creation operators $b^\dagger_n$, $d^\dagger_n$, $\beta^\dagger_n$, $\delta^\dagger_n$ on the vacuum $|0\rangle$, can be decomposed as an infinite direct sum of irreducible representations of the bosonic algebra satisfied by the operators C^3_P and D^3_P ($P \neq 0$), each representation corresponding to an eigenspace of C^3_0 and D^3_0 . More explicitly we have

$$\mathcal{F} = \oplus_{M,N}\mathcal{F}_{MN} \qquad M,N = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{MN}$ is the Fock space generated by applying products of the operators ${C^3_P}^\dagger$ and ${D^3_P}^\dagger$ to the vacuum $|M,N\rangle$ and

$$\forall\, |\Phi_{MN}\rangle \in \mathcal{F}_{MN} \ : \quad C^3_0|\Phi_{MN}\rangle = -N|\Phi_{MN}\rangle \quad , \qquad D^3_0|\Phi_{MN}\rangle = -M|\Phi_{MN}\rangle \ .$$

The free fermion hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} H_\psi &= \frac{i}{2}\int_{-L}^{L} d\mathrm{x} \left(\psi^\dagger_L(0,\mathrm{x}) \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_1 \psi_L(0,\mathrm{x}) - \psi^\dagger_R(0,\mathrm{x}) \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_1 \psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})\right) \\ &= \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} k_n(\beta^\dagger_n\beta_n + \delta^\dagger_n\delta_n + b^\dagger_n b_n + d^\dagger_n d_n) \end{aligned} \tag{2.58}$$

and the momentum operator

$$\begin{aligned} P_\psi &= \frac{i}{2}\int_{-L}^{L} d\mathrm{x} \left(\psi^\dagger_L(0,\mathrm{x}) \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_1 \psi_L(0,\mathrm{x}) + \psi^\dagger_R(0,\mathrm{x}) \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_1 \psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})\right) \\ &= \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} k_n(\beta^\dagger_n\beta_n + \delta^\dagger_n\delta_n - b^\dagger_n b_n - d^\dagger_n d_n) \end{aligned} \tag{2.59}$$

can be expressed in terms of the boson operators by means of the following identities, known as Kronig's identities:

$$H = \frac{\pi}{2L}\Big((C_0^3)^2 + (D_0^3)^2\Big) + \frac{\pi}{L}\sum_{N=1}^{\infty}\Big({C_N^3}^\dagger C_N^3 + {D_N^3}^\dagger D_N^3\Big) \tag{2.60}$$

$$P_1 = \frac{\pi}{2L}\Big((D_0^3)^2 - (C_0^3)^2\Big) + \frac{\pi}{L}\sum_{N=1}^{\infty}\Big({D_N^3}^\dagger D_N^3 - {C_N^3}^\dagger C_N^3\Big)\ . \tag{2.61}$$

Finally, using

$$\sigma_{R/L}(0,\mathrm{x}) = e^{-iP_\psi \mathrm{x}}\sigma_{R/L}e^{P_\psi \mathrm{x}}$$

it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_R(0,\mathrm{x}) &= e^{\frac{i\pi}{2L}C_0^3\mathrm{x}}\sigma_R e^{\frac{i\pi}{2L}C_0^3\mathrm{x}} \\ \sigma_L(0,\mathrm{x}) &= e^{-\frac{i\pi}{2L}D_0^3\mathrm{x}}\sigma_R e^{-\frac{i\pi}{2L}D_0^3\mathrm{x}}\end{aligned}$$

and the operators $\psi_{R/L}$ at $t=0$ can then be written in terms of bosonic operators as

$$\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}}\, e^{-\varphi_R^{(-)}(0,\mathrm{x})}e^{\frac{i\pi}{2L}C_0^3\mathrm{x}}\sigma_R e^{\frac{i\pi}{2L}C_0^3\mathrm{x}}e^{-\varphi_R^{(+)}(0,\mathrm{x})} \tag{2.62}$$

$$\psi_L(0,\mathrm{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}}\, e^{-\varphi_L^{(-)}(0,\mathrm{x})}e^{-\frac{i\pi}{2L}D_0^3\mathrm{x}}\sigma_L e^{-\frac{i\pi}{2L}D_0^3\mathrm{x}}e^{-\varphi_L^{(+)}(0,\mathrm{x})}\ . \tag{2.63}$$

2.8 The Hamiltonian

Using the regularized expressions (2.46) and (2.48) for the currents and the fermion kinetic terms we obtain the regularized quantum hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H} = \int_{-L}^{L} d\mathrm{x}\Bigg\{&\frac{1}{2}\left(F^3\right)^2 + F^+F^- - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\partial_1 F^3 A^3 + \partial_1 F^+ A^- + \partial_1 F^- A^+\right) \\ &+\frac{i}{2}\Big({:}\phi_L\partial_1\phi_L{:} + {:}\psi_L^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_1 \psi_L{:} + -{:}\phi_R\partial_1\phi_R{:} - {:}\psi_R^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_1 \psi_R{:}\Big) \\ &+g\left(A^3 J_R^3 + A^- J_R^+ + A^+ J_R^-\right) + \frac{g^2}{4\pi}\left[(A^3)^2 + 2A^+A^-\right]\Bigg\}\end{aligned} \tag{2.64}$$

where the products of gauge fields will also have to be defined.

Starting from the Fourier expansions of $A^a_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} A^a$ and F^a at $t = 0$ in the space interval $[-L, L]$ with the chosen boundary conditions :

$$\begin{aligned}
A^3_1(0,\mathrm{x}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}} \sum_N a^3_N e^{-ik_N \mathrm{x}} \\
A^{1,2}_1(0,\mathrm{x}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}} \sum_n a^{1,2}_n e^{-ik_n \mathrm{x}} \\
F^3(0,\mathrm{x}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}} \sum_N b^3_N e^{-ik_N \mathrm{x}} \\
F^{1,2}(0,\mathrm{x}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}} \sum_n b^{1,2}_n e^{-ik_n \mathrm{x}}
\end{aligned}$$

and using $a^3_{-N} = a^{3\,\dagger}_N$, $b^3_{-N} = b^{3\,\dagger}_N$, $a^\pm_{-n} = a^{1\,\dagger}_n \pm i a^{2\,\dagger}_n = a^{\mp\dagger}_n$, $b^\pm_{-n} = b^{\mp\dagger}_n$, we can write

$$A^3(0,\mathrm{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \left(a^3_N e^{-ik_N \mathrm{x}} + a^{3\,\dagger}_N e^{ik_N \mathrm{x}} \right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} a^3_0 \tag{2.65}$$

$$A^\pm(0,\mathrm{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a^\pm_n e^{-ik_n \frac{\pi}{L} \mathrm{x}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \left(a^\pm_N e^{-ik_n \mathrm{x}} + a^{\mp\dagger}_n e^{ik_n \mathrm{x}} \right) \tag{2.66}$$

$$F^3(0,\mathrm{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}} \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \left(b^3_N e^{-ik_N \mathrm{x}} + b^{3\,\dagger}_N e^{ik_N \mathrm{x}} \right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}} b^3_0 \tag{2.67}$$

$$F^\pm(0,\mathrm{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} b^\pm_n e^{-ik_n \mathrm{x}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}} \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \left(b^\pm_n e^{-ik_n \mathrm{x}} + b^{\mp\dagger}_n e^{ik_n \mathrm{x}} \right) \ . \tag{2.68}$$

From the commutation relations

$$[A^a(0,\mathrm{x}), F^b(0,\mathrm{y})] = i\sqrt{2}\delta^{ab}\delta(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y})$$

we see that we must have

$$[A^\pm(0,\mathrm{x}), F^\mp(0,\mathrm{y})] = i\sqrt{2}\delta(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y})$$

$$[A^3(0,\mathrm{x}), F^3(0,\mathrm{y})] = i\sqrt{2}\delta(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y})$$

and

$$[a^3_M, b^{3\,\dagger}_N] = i\delta_{MN} \quad , \quad [a^\pm_m, b^{\pm\dagger}_n] = i\delta_{mn} \quad , \tag{2.69}$$

all the other commutators vanishing.

We can now write

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{H} &= H_F^0 + \frac{1}{2}\left(b_0^3\right)^2 + \frac{g^2}{2\pi}\left(a_0^3\right)^2 + g\sqrt{\frac{2}{L}}C_0^3 a_0^3 \\
&+ \sum_{N=1}^{\infty}\left\{ b_N^{3\,\dagger} b_N^3 + ik_N a_N^{3\,\dagger} b_N^3 - ik_N b_N^{3\,\dagger} a_N^3 + \frac{g^2}{\pi} a_N^{3\,\dagger} a_N^3 + g\sqrt{\frac{2}{L}}\left(C_N^3 a_N^3 + C_N^{3\,\dagger} a_N^{3\,\dagger}\right)\right\} \\
&+ \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\left\{ b_n^{+\dagger} b_n^+ + b_n^{-\dagger} b_n^- + ik_n a_n^{+\dagger} b_n^+ - ik_n b_n^{-\dagger} a_n^- + ik_n a_n^{-\dagger} b_n^- - ik_n b_n^{+\dagger} a_n^+ \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{g^2}{\pi}\left(a_n^{+\dagger} a_n^+ + a_n^{-\dagger} a_n^-\right) + g\sqrt{\frac{2}{L}}\left(C_n^+ a_n^- + C_n^- a_n^+ + C_n^{+\dagger} a_n^{-\dagger} + C_n^{-\dagger} a_n^{+\dagger}\right)\right\} \qquad (2.70)
\end{aligned}$$

where H_F^0 is the free fermion Hamiltonian

$$H_F^0 = \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} k_n\left(\beta_n^\dagger \beta_n + \delta_n^\dagger \delta_n + b_n^\dagger b_n + d_n^\dagger d_n\right) + \sum_{N=0}^{\infty}\left(\rho_N^\dagger \rho_N + r_N^\dagger r_N\right) \qquad (2.71)$$

which, as we have seen, can also be expressed as

$$H_F^0 = \frac{\pi}{2L}\left((C_0^3)^2 + (D_0^3)^2\right) + \frac{\pi}{L}\sum_{N=1}^{\infty}\left(C_N^{3\,\dagger} C_N^3 + D_N^{3\,\dagger} D_N^3\right) + \sum_{N=0}^{\infty}\left(\rho_N^\dagger \rho_N + r_N^\dagger r_N\right). \qquad (2.72)$$

Chapter 3

THE QUANTIZATION OF THE GAUGE FIELD

3.1 **The Lagrange multipliers and the subsidiary condition.**

The Lagrange multipliers λ^3, $\lambda^\pm$ are given in terms of the other fields by

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^3 &= -\sqrt{2}\partial_1 F^3 - igF^+A^- + igF^-A^+ + \sqrt{2}g\tilde{J}_0^3 \\ \lambda^+ &= -\sqrt{2}\partial_1 F^+ - igF^3A^+ + igF^+A^3 + \sqrt{2}g\tilde{J}_0^+ \\ \lambda^- &= -\sqrt{2}\partial_1 F^- - igF^-A^3 + igF^3A^- + \sqrt{2}g\tilde{J}_0^- \ .\end{aligned}$$

Consider the Fourier expansion

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^3(0,\mathrm{x}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}}\sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty}\lambda_N^3 e^{-ik_N\mathrm{x}} \ , \\ \lambda^\pm(0,\mathrm{x}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\lambda_n^\pm e^{-ik_n\mathrm{x}} \ .\end{aligned}$$

Note that from $\lambda^3 = (\lambda^3)^\dagger$ and $\lambda^\pm = (\lambda^\mp)^\dagger$ it follows that $\lambda_{-N}^3 = (\lambda_N^3)^\dagger$ and $\lambda_{-n}^\pm = (\lambda_n^\mp)^\dagger$. We want to show that the time evolution of the Lagrange multipliers in the Heisenberg picture is that of free fields satisfying the simple equation $\partial_-\lambda = 0$. In order to see this let us evaluate their commutators with the Hamiltonian.

$[\hat{H}, \lambda^3(0,\mathrm{y})]$ consists of the following terms:

1. $ig\int_{-L}^{L} d\mathrm{x}[F^+(0,\mathrm{x})F^-(0,\mathrm{x})\,,\, F^-(0,\mathrm{y})A^+(0,\mathrm{y}) - F^+(0,\mathrm{x})A^-(0,\mathrm{y})]$

2. $-\frac{ig}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{-L}^{L} d\mathrm{x}\,[\,\partial_1 F^+(0,\mathrm{x})A^-(0,\mathrm{x}) + \partial_1 F^-(0,\mathrm{x})A^+(0,\mathrm{x})\,,$

$$F^-(0,\mathrm{y})A^+(0,\mathrm{y}) - F^+(0,\mathrm{y})A^-(0,\mathrm{y})\,]$$

$$3. \quad \int_{-L}^{L} d\mathrm{x}\,[\,\partial_1 F^3(0,\mathrm{x})A^3(0,\mathrm{x})\,,\,\partial_1 F^3(0,\mathrm{y})\,]$$

$$4. \quad g\frac{\pi}{L}\sum_{N=1}^{\infty}\Big[\,{C_N^3}^\dagger C_N^3 + {D_N^3}^\dagger D_N^3\,,\,\tilde{J}_R^3(0,\mathrm{y}) + \tilde{J}_L^3(0,\mathrm{y})\,\Big]$$

$$-g\sqrt{2}\int_{-L}^{L} d\mathrm{x}\,\Big[\,A^3(0,\mathrm{x})\tilde{J}_R^3(0,\mathrm{x})\,,\,\partial_1 F^3(0,\mathrm{y})\,\Big]$$

$$5. \quad g^2\int_{-L}^{L} d\mathrm{x}\Big[A^3(0,\mathrm{x})\tilde{J}_R^3(0,\mathrm{x})\,,\,\tilde{J}_R^3(0,\mathrm{y})\Big] - \frac{g^2}{2\sqrt{2}\pi}\int_{-L}^{L} d\mathrm{x}\Big[(A^3)^2(0,\mathrm{x}),\partial_1 F^3(0,\mathrm{y})\Big]$$

$$6. \quad g^2\int_{-L}^{L} d\mathrm{x}\,\Big[\,A^-(0,\mathrm{x})\tilde{J}_R^+(0,\mathrm{x})\,,\,-iF^+(0,\mathrm{y})A^-(0,\mathrm{y}) + \tilde{J}_R^3(0,\mathrm{y})\,\Big]$$

$$7. \quad g^2\int_{-L}^{L} d\mathrm{x}\,\Big[\,A^+(0,\mathrm{x})\tilde{J}_R^-(0,\mathrm{x})\,,\,iF^-(0,\mathrm{y})A^+(0,\mathrm{y}) + \tilde{J}_R^3(0,\mathrm{y})\,\Big]$$

$$8. \quad \frac{ig^3}{2\pi}\int_{-L}^{L} d\mathrm{x}\,\Big[\,A^+(0,\mathrm{x})A^-(0,\mathrm{x}), F^-(0,\mathrm{y})A^+(0,\mathrm{y}) - F^+(0,\mathrm{y})A^-(0,\mathrm{y})\,\Big]$$

We have

$$1. \quad = \quad g\sqrt{2}\int_{-L}^{L} d\mathrm{x}\Big(-F^+(0,\mathrm{y})F^-(0,\mathrm{x})\delta_A(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}) + F^+(0,\mathrm{x})F^-(0,\mathrm{y})\delta(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y})\Big) = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2. \quad = \quad & g\int_{-L}^{L} d\mathrm{x}\Big(-\partial_\mathrm{x}F^+(0,\mathrm{x})A^-(0,\mathrm{y})\delta_A(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}) + F^+(0,\mathrm{y})A^-(0,\mathrm{x})\partial_\mathrm{x}\delta_A(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y})\Big) \\ & +g\int_{-L}^{L} d\mathrm{x}\Big(\partial_\mathrm{x}F^-(0,\mathrm{x})A^+(0,\mathrm{y})\delta(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}) - F^-(0,\mathrm{y})A^+(0,\mathrm{x})\partial_\mathrm{x}\delta(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y})\Big) \\ & = g\,\partial_\mathrm{y}\Big(F^-(0,\mathrm{y})A^+(0,\mathrm{y}) - F^+(0,\mathrm{y})A^-(0,\mathrm{y})\Big) \end{aligned}$$

$$3. \quad = \quad i\sqrt{2}\int_{-L}^{L} d\mathrm{x}\,\partial_\mathrm{x}F^3(0,\mathrm{x})\partial_\mathrm{y}\delta_P(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}) = i\sqrt{2}\,\partial_y^2 F^3(0,\mathrm{y})$$

$$\begin{aligned} 4. \quad = \quad & \frac{g}{2L}\sum_{N=1}^{\infty}\Big(-k_N C_N^3 e^{ik_N\mathrm{x}} + k_N {C_N^3}^\dagger e^{-ik_N\mathrm{x}} - k_N D_N^3 e^{-ik_N\mathrm{x}} + k_N {D_N^3}^\dagger e^{ik_N\mathrm{x}}\Big) + \\ & -2ig\int_{-L}^{L} d\mathrm{x}\tilde{J}^3(0,\mathrm{x})\partial_\mathrm{y}\delta_P(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \; ig\partial_{\rm y}\tilde{J}^3_R(0,{\rm y}) - ig\partial_{\rm y}\tilde{J}^3_L(0,{\rm y}) - 2ig\partial_{\rm y}\tilde{J}^3_R(0,{\rm y}) = -ig\,\partial_{\rm y}\Big(\tilde{J}^3_R(0,{\rm y}) + \tilde{J}^3_L(0,{\rm y})\Big)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 5. \; &= \int_{-L}^{L} d{\rm x}\left[\frac{g^2}{2L^2}A^3(0,{\rm x})\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} N\left(e^{ik_N({\rm x}-{\rm y})} - e^{-ik_N({\rm x}-{\rm y})}\right) - \frac{ig^2}{\pi}A^3(0,{\rm x})\partial_{\rm y}\delta_P({\rm x}-{\rm y})\right] \\ &= \int_{-L}^{L} d{\rm x}\left[\frac{ig^2}{\pi}A^3(0,{\rm x})\partial_{\rm y}\delta_P({\rm x}-{\rm y}) - \frac{ig^2}{\pi}A^3(0,{\rm x})\partial_{\rm y}\delta_P({\rm x}-{\rm y})\right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 6. \; &= \sqrt{2}g^2\tilde{J}^+_R(0,{\rm y})A^-(0,{\rm y}) - \frac{g^2}{2L^2}\int_{-L}^{L} d{\rm x}A^-(0,{\rm x})\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty} C^+_{n+N}e^{ik_n{\rm x}}e^{ik_N{\rm y}} \\ &= \sqrt{2}g^2\tilde{J}^+_R(0,{\rm y})A^-(0,{\rm y}) - \frac{g^2}{2L^2}\int_{-L}^{L} d{\rm x}A^-(0,{\rm x})\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} C^+_n e^{ik_n{\rm x}}\sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ik_N({\rm x}-{\rm y})} \\ &= \sqrt{2}g^2\tilde{J}^+_R(0,{\rm y})A^-(0,{\rm y}) - g^2\sqrt{2}\int_{-L}^{L} d{\rm x}A^-(0,{\rm x})\tilde{J}^+_R(0,{\rm x})\delta_P({\rm x}-{\rm y}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$7. \; = \sqrt{2}g^2\tilde{J}^-_R(0,{\rm y})A^+(0,{\rm y}) - g^2\sqrt{2}\int_{-L}^{L} d{\rm x}A^+(0,{\rm x})\tilde{J}^-_R(0,{\rm x})\delta_P({\rm x}-{\rm y}) = 0$$

$$8. \; = \frac{g^2}{\sqrt{2}\pi}\int_{-L}^{L} d{\rm x}\Big(- A^-(0,{\rm x})A^+(0,{\rm y})\delta_A({\rm x}-{\rm y}) + A^+(0,{\rm x})A^-(0,{\rm y})\delta_A({\rm x}-{\rm y})\Big) = 0$$

so that

$$[\hat{H}, \lambda^3(0,{\rm y})] = -i\partial_{\rm y}\lambda^3(0,{\rm y})$$

and

$$[\hat{H}, \lambda^3_N] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}}\int_{-L}^{L} d{\rm y}\, e^{ik_N{\rm y}}[\hat{H}, \lambda^3(0,{\rm y})] = -k_N\lambda^3_N \; .$$

The time evolution of λ^3 is given by

$$e^{i\hat{H}t}\lambda^3(0,{\rm x})e^{-i\hat{H}t} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}}\sum_{N=1}^{\infty}\Big(\lambda^3_N e^{-ik_N(t+{\rm x})} + {\lambda^3_N}^{\dagger} e^{ik_N(t+{\rm x})} + \lambda^3_0\Big)$$

Let us consider $[\hat{H}, \lambda^+(0,{\rm y})]$. A similar calculation gives

$$\int_{-L}^{L} d{\rm x} \quad \Bigg[\quad \frac{1}{2}\Big(F^3(x)\Big)^2 + F^+(x)F^-(x) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big\{\partial_1 F^3(x)A^3(x) + \partial_1 F^+(x)A^-(x)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\partial_1 F^-(x)A^+(x)\Big\} + \frac{g^2}{4\pi}\Big\{(A^3)^2(x) + 2A^+(x)A^-(x)\Big\}\ ,\ -\sqrt{2}\partial_1 F^+(y) \\
&-igF^3(y)A^+(y) + igF^+(y)A^3(y)\ \Big]_{x_0=y_0=0} = \\
= \ &-i\partial_{\mathrm{y}}\Big\{-\sqrt{2}\partial_1 F^+(0,\mathrm{y}) - igF^3(0,\mathrm{y})A^+(0,\mathrm{y}) + igF^+(0,\mathrm{y})A^3(0,\mathrm{y})\Big\} \\
&-\frac{ig^2}{\pi}\partial_{\mathrm{y}} A^+(0,\mathrm{y})
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
g^2\int_{-L}^{L} d\mathrm{x}\Big\{[A^3(0,\mathrm{x})\tilde{J}^3_R(0,\mathrm{x})\,,\ \tilde{J}^+_R(0,\mathrm{y})] + i[A^-(0,\mathrm{x})\tilde{J}^+_R(0,\mathrm{x})\,,\ A^3(0,\mathrm{y})F^+(0,\mathrm{y})]\Big\} = 0
$$

while

$$
\begin{aligned}
&g^2\int_{-L}^{L} d\mathrm{x}\Big\{A^+(0,\mathrm{x})\left[\tilde{J}^-_R(0,\mathrm{x})\,,\ \tilde{J}^+_R(0,\mathrm{y})\right] - i\tilde{J}^3_R(0,\mathrm{x})[A^3(0,\mathrm{x})\,,\ F^3(0,\mathrm{y})]A^+(0,\mathrm{y})\Big\} \\
&= g^2\int_{-L}^{L} d\mathrm{x}\Big\{\frac{A^+(0,\mathrm{x})}{2L^2}\sum_{m,n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ik_n\mathrm{x}}e^{ik_m\mathrm{y}}[C^-_n\ ,\ C^+_m] + \sqrt{2}\tilde{J}^3_R(0,\mathrm{x})A^+(0,\mathrm{y})\delta(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y})\Big\} \\
&= -\frac{g^2}{2L^2}\int_{-L}^{L} d\mathrm{x}A^+(0,\mathrm{x})\sum_{m,n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ik_n\mathrm{x}}e^{ik_m\mathrm{y}}\left(C^3_{m+n} + m\delta_{m,-n}\right) + g^2\sqrt{2}\tilde{J}^3_R(0,\mathrm{y})A^+(0,\mathrm{y}) \\
&= -\frac{g^2}{L}\int_{-L}^{L} d\mathrm{x}A^+(0,\mathrm{x})\Big\{\sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty} C^3_N e^{ik_N\mathrm{x}}\delta(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}) + \frac{iL}{\pi}\partial_{\mathrm{x}}\delta(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y})\Big\} \\
&\quad +g^2\sqrt{2}\tilde{J}^3_R(0,\mathrm{y})A^+(0,\mathrm{y}) \\
&= \frac{ig^2}{\pi}\partial_{\mathrm{y}} A^+(0,\mathrm{y})
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
-g\sqrt{2}\int_{-L}^{L} d\mathrm{x}\tilde{J}^+_R(0,\mathrm{x})[A^-(0,\mathrm{x})\,,\ \partial_{\mathrm{y}} F^+(0,\mathrm{y})] = -2ig\partial_{\mathrm{y}}\tilde{J}^+_R(0,\mathrm{y})
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
[\hat{H},\lambda^+(0,\mathrm{y})] \ = \ &-i\partial_{\mathrm{y}}\Big\{-\sqrt{2}\partial_1 F^+(0,\mathrm{y}) - igF^3(0,\mathrm{y})A^+(0,\mathrm{y}) + igF^+(0,\mathrm{y})A^3(0,\mathrm{y})\Big\} \\
&-2ig\partial_{\mathrm{y}}\tilde{J}^+_R(0,\mathrm{y}) + g\left[H_F\ ,\ \tilde{J}^+_R(0,\mathrm{y}) + \tilde{J}^+_L(0,\mathrm{y})\right]\ .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us evaluate $\Big[H_F\,,\,\tilde{J}^+_R(0,\mathrm{y})\Big]$ and $\Big[H_F\,,\,\tilde{J}^+_L(0,\mathrm{y})\Big]$. We have

$$\Big[H_F\,,\,C^+_n\Big] = \Big[\sum_{m=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} k_m(b^\dagger_m b_m + d^\dagger_m d_m) + \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} k_M r^\dagger_M r_M\,,\,\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} r^\dagger_N d_{n+N} - \sum_{j=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} b^\dagger_j r_{n+j} - \sum_{j=\frac{1}{2}}^{n} d_j r_{n-j}\Big]$$

and, using the relation

$$[AB\,,\,CD] = A\{B\,,C\}D - AC\{B\,,D\} + \{A\,,C\}DB - C\{A\,,D\}B$$

we get, for positive n,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big[H_F\,,\,C^+_n\Big] &= -\sum_{m,j=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} k_m b^\dagger_m r_{n+j}\delta_{mj} - \sum_{m=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} k_m r^\dagger_N d_m \delta_{m,\,n+N} \\
&\quad - \sum_{m=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{j=\frac{1}{2}}^{n} k_m r_{n-j} d_m \delta_{mj} + \sum_{M=1}^{\infty}\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} k_M r^\dagger_M d_{n+N}\delta_{MN} \\
&\quad + \sum_{M=1}^{\infty}\sum_{j=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} k_M b^\dagger_j r_M \delta_{M,\,n+j} + \sum_{M=1}^{\infty}\sum_{j=\frac{1}{2}}^{n} k_M d_j r_M \delta_{M,\,n-j} \\
&= -\sum_{j=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}(k_j - k_{n+j}) b^\dagger_j r_{n+j} - \sum_{N=0}^{\infty}(k_{n+N} - k_N) r^\dagger_N d_{n+N} \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=\frac{1}{2}}^{n}(k_j + k_{n-j}) d_j r_{n-j} \\
&= -k_n C^+_n\ .
\end{aligned}$$

Analogously one obtains

$$\Big[H_F\,,\,(C^-_n)^\dagger\Big] = k_n (C^-_n)^\dagger$$

$$\Big[H_F\,,\,(D^+_n)\Big] = -k_n D^+_n$$

$$\Big[H_F\,,\,(D^-_n)^\dagger\Big] = k_n (D^-_n)^\dagger\ .$$

Therefore we have

$$\Big[H_F\ ,\ \tilde{J}^+_R(0,\mathrm{y})\Big] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\Big(-k_n C^+_n e^{ik_n\mathrm{y}} + k_n C^{-\,\dagger}_n e^{-ik_n\mathrm{y}}\Big) = i\partial_{\mathrm{y}}\tilde{J}^+_R(0,\mathrm{y})$$

and

$$\Big[H_F\ ,\ \tilde{J}^+_L(0,\mathrm{y})\Big] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\Big(-k_n D^+_n e^{-ik_n\mathrm{x}} + k_n (D^-_n)^\dagger e^{ik_n\mathrm{x}}\Big) = -i\partial_{\mathrm{y}}\tilde{J}^+_L(0,\mathrm{y})\ .$$

Finally we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
[\hat{H},\lambda^+(0,\mathrm{y})] &= -i\partial_{\mathrm{y}}\Big\{-\sqrt{2}\partial_1 F^+(0,\mathrm{y}) - igF^3(0,\mathrm{y})A^+(0,\mathrm{y}) + igF^+(0,\mathrm{y})A^3(0,\mathrm{y})\Big\}\\
&\quad -ig\partial_{\mathrm{y}}\Big(\tilde{J}^+_R(0,\mathrm{y}) + \tilde{J}^+_L(0,\mathrm{y})\Big)\\
&= -i\partial_{\mathrm{y}}\lambda^+(0,\mathrm{y})
\end{aligned}$$

and, obviously,

$$[\hat{H},\lambda^-(0,\mathrm{y})] = -i\partial_{\mathrm{y}}\lambda^-(0,\mathrm{y})$$

so that

$$[\hat{H},\lambda^\pm_n] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}}\int_{-L}^{L} d\mathrm{y}\, e^{ik_n\mathrm{y}}[\hat{H},\lambda^\pm(0,\mathrm{y})] = -k_n\lambda^\pm_n\ .$$

As a consequence we have

$$\lambda^\pm(t,\mathrm{x}) = e^{i\hat{H}t}\lambda^\pm(0,\mathrm{x})e^{-i\hat{H}t} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\Big(\lambda^\pm_n e^{-ik_n(t+x)} + (\lambda^\pm_n)^\dagger e^{ik_n(t+x)}\Big)$$

We have thus shown that the Heisenberg field $\lambda(t,\mathrm{x})$ has a free-field decomposition into positive and negative frequency components, which is fundamental for a consistent quantization of the theory. This result guarantees that the decomposition of

λ into Fock creation and annihilation operators and the definition of the physical subspace by means of the subsidiary condition are stable under time evolution.

Another important result is

$$[\hat{H}, \lambda_0^3] = 0\,.$$

Indeed, the zero mode of λ^3 is a conserved charge. In order to satisfy the subsidiary condition, we shall require that its physical eigenstates have zero eigenvalue.

To further investigate the structure of the physical subspace let us consider the algebra of the Lagrange multipliers. Using the canonical commutation relations we get

$$\begin{aligned}
[\lambda^3(0,\mathrm{x}), \lambda^+(0,\mathrm{y})] &= -2gF^+(0,\mathrm{y})\partial_{\mathrm{x}}\delta_P(x-y) - 2gF^+(0,\mathrm{x})\partial_{\mathrm{y}}\delta_A(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}) \\
&\quad + i\sqrt{2}g^2F^+(0,\mathrm{x})A^3(0,\mathrm{y})\delta_A(x-y) \\
&\quad - i\sqrt{2}g^2F^3(0,\mathrm{y})A^+(0,\mathrm{x})\delta_A(x-y) \\
&\quad + g^2[\tilde{J}_R^3(0,\mathrm{x}) + \tilde{J}_L^3(0,\mathrm{x})\,, \tilde{J}_R^+(0,\mathrm{y}) + \tilde{J}_L^+(0,\mathrm{y})]
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
[\lambda_N^3, \lambda_m^+] &= \frac{1}{2L}\int_{-L}^{L} d\mathrm{x}\, e^{ik_N\mathrm{x}} \int_{-L}^{L} d\mathrm{y}\, e^{ik_m\mathrm{y}}\, [\lambda^3(0,\mathrm{x}), \lambda^+(0,\mathrm{y})] \\
&= \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}L}\int_{-L}^{L} d\mathrm{x}\, e^{i(k_N+k_m)\mathrm{x}}\Big[i(k_N+k_m)\sqrt{2}F^+(0,\mathrm{x}) + igF^+(0,\mathrm{x})A^3(0,\mathrm{x}) \\
&\qquad - igF^3(0,\mathrm{x})A^+(0,\mathrm{x})\Big] + \frac{g^2}{L}[C_{-N}^3 + D_N^3, C_{-m}^+ + D_m^+] \\
&= \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}L}\int_{-L}^{L} d\mathrm{x}\, e^{i(k_N+k_m)\mathrm{x}}\Big[-\sqrt{2}\partial_1F^+(0,\mathrm{x}) + igF^+(0,\mathrm{x})A^3(0,\mathrm{x}) \\
&\qquad - igF^3(0,\mathrm{x})A^+(0,\mathrm{x})\Big] + \frac{g^2}{L}\left(C_{-N-m}^+ + D_{N+m}^+\right)
\end{aligned}$$

where (2.33), (2.35), (2.38), (2.41) and (2.44) were used. Finally one has

$$[\lambda^3_N, \lambda^+_m] = \frac{g}{\sqrt{L}}\, \lambda^+_{N+m}$$

and, analogously,

$$[\lambda^3_N, \lambda^-_m] = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{L}}\, \lambda^-_{N+m}\ .$$

In particular, for $N = 0$

$$[\lambda^3_0, \lambda^\pm_m] = \pm\frac{g}{\sqrt{L}}\, \lambda^\pm_m\ .$$

which shows that $\lambda^\pm$ are charged fields. This result has the important consequence that the subsidiary conditions involving $\lambda^\pm$ are identically satisfied for states with zero eigenvalue of the charge λ^3_0 :

$$\langle phys|\lambda^\pm_n|phys\rangle = \pm\frac{g}{\sqrt{L}}\langle phys|[\lambda^3_0\,,\, \lambda^\pm_n]|phys\rangle = 0$$

as long as

$$\lambda^3_0|phys\rangle = 0\ .$$

Therefore we only need to require that physical states satisfy the conditions

$$\begin{aligned}&\lambda^3_N|phys\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for N} > 0\ ,\\ &\lambda^3_0\,|phys\rangle = 0\ .\end{aligned}$$

One can also show that

$$\begin{aligned}[\lambda^+_n, \lambda^-_m] &= \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}L}\int_{-L}^{L} d\mathrm{x}\, e^{i(k_n+k_m)\mathrm{x}}\Big[-\sqrt{2}\partial_1 F^3(0,\mathrm{x}) + igF^-(0,\mathrm{x})A^+(0,\mathrm{x})\\ &\qquad -igF^+(0,\mathrm{x})A^-(0,\mathrm{x})\Big] + \frac{g^2}{L}[C^+_{-n} + D^+_n, C^-_{-m} + D^-_m]\end{aligned}$$

which, using $\left[C^+_{-n}, C^-_{-m}\right] = C^3_{-n-m} - n\delta_{n,-m}$ and $[D^+_n, D^-_m] = D^3_{n+m} + n\delta_{n,-m}$, gives

$$[\lambda^+_n, \lambda^-_m] = \frac{g}{\sqrt{L}}\,\lambda^3_{n+m}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
[\lambda^3(0,\mathrm{x})\,,\,\lambda^3(0,\mathrm{y})] &= g^2[\tilde{J}^3_R(0,\mathrm{x})\,,\,\tilde{J}^3_R(0,\mathrm{y})] + g^2[\tilde{J}^3_L(0,\mathrm{x})\,,\,\tilde{J}^3_L(0,\mathrm{y})] \\
&= \frac{g^2}{2L^2}\sum_{N,M=-\infty}^{\infty}\Big([C^3_N\,,\,C^3_M]e^{ik_N\mathrm{x}}e^{ik_M\mathrm{y}} + [D^3_N\,,\,D^3_M]e^{-ik_N\mathrm{x}}e^{-ik_M\mathrm{y}}\Big) \\
&= \frac{g^2}{2L^2}\sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty}(Ne^{ik_N(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y})} + Ne^{-ik_N(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y})}) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

These relations imply that the Lagrange multipliers generate zero norm states when applied to physical states. This is consistent with the expectation that modes of the Lagrange multipliers can be found in zero norm physical states, in analogy with the Gupta-Bleuler quantization of QED, where zero norm combinations of the unphysical scalar and longitudinal photons are present in the physical subspace.

3.2 Quantization.

As we have seen, the representation chosen for the Fermi field at $t = 0$ is such that the free fermion Hamiltonian is diagonal, or, in other words, the action of the creation operators $b^\dagger_n$, $\beta^\dagger_n$, $d^\dagger_n$, $\delta^\dagger_n$, $r^\dagger_N$, $\rho^\dagger_N$ on the Fock vacuum generates eigenstates of the free Hamiltonian. Basis states in the free fermion Fock space are a suitable starting point for standard perturbative calculations.

The quantization of the gauge field is more delicate. Let us consider the part of the unperturbed Hamiltonian which involves the non-zero unphysical modes of the gauge field:

$$\begin{aligned} H_G &= \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \left\{ {b_n^+}^\dagger b_n^+ + {b_n^-}^\dagger b_n^- + ik_n {a_n^+}^\dagger b_n^+ - ik_n {b_n^-}^\dagger a_n^- + ik_n {a_n^-}^\dagger b_n^- - ik_n {b_n^+}^\dagger a_n^+ \right\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \left\{ {b_N^3}^\dagger b_N^3 + ik_N {a_N^3}^\dagger b_N^3 - ik_N {b_N^3}^\dagger a_N^3 \right\} . \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

We are naturally led to a Fock representation with a vacuum state defined as the state $|0\rangle$ such that $a_N^3|0\rangle = b_N^3|0\rangle = 0$ and $a_n^\pm|0\rangle = b_n^\pm|0\rangle = 0$ for $n, N > 0$. Creation and annihilation operators can then be defined, for $n, N > 0$, as[13]:

$$A_N^3 \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}}\, a_N^3 + i\sqrt{\frac{L}{2}}\, b_N^3 \tag{3.2}$$

$$A_{-N}^3 \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}}\, a_N^3 - i\sqrt{\frac{L}{2}}\, b_N^3 \tag{3.3}$$

$$A_n^\pm \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}}\, a_n^\pm + i\sqrt{\frac{L}{2}}\, b_n^\pm \tag{3.4}$$

$$A_{-n}^\pm \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}}\, a_n^\mp - i\sqrt{\frac{L}{2}}\, b_n^\mp \tag{3.5}$$

so that

$$a_N^3 = \sqrt{\frac{L}{2}}\left(A_N^3 + A_{-N}^3\right) \quad , \qquad b_N^3 = \frac{A_N^3 - A_{-N}^3}{i\sqrt{2L}}$$

$$a_n^\pm = \sqrt{\frac{L}{2}}\left(A_n^\pm + A_{-n}^\mp\right) \quad , \qquad b_n^\pm = \frac{A_n^\pm - A_{-n}^\mp}{i\sqrt{2L}}$$

The commutation relations

$$[A_M^3, (A_N^3)^\dagger] = \delta_{MN} \quad , \qquad [A_{-M}^3, (A_{-N}^3)^\dagger] = -\delta_{MN}$$

$$[A_m^\pm, (A_n^\pm)^\dagger] = \delta_{mn} \quad , \qquad [A_{-m}^\pm, (A_{-n}^\pm)^\dagger] = -\delta_{mn}$$

can be represented in a Fock space endowed with an indefinite metric. As a consequence of the unphysical nature of the degrees of freedom we are considering, the presence of an indefinite metric is not surprising and we know that it can be dealt with consistently provided that its restriction to the physical subspace is positive semidefinite. Note that (3.1) is not diagonal in this representation, nor can it be diagonalized. The vacuum and the states created out of it by repeated action of the operators $(b^3_N)^\dagger$ and $(b^\pm_n)^\dagger$ provide an incomplete set of eigenstates. This anomalous situation is related to the fact that the metric is not positive definite. A similar situation occurs in the Schwinger model where it can, nonetheless, be shown that the *complete* set of one-particle eigenstates of the full Hamiltonian, as given by the known solution of the model, can be obtained perturbatively starting from the *incomplete* set of unperturbed one-particle eigenstates (see Appendix A).

The above quantization of the unphysical non-zero modes of the gauge field is required for the non-interacting gauge theory, where the subsidiary conditions can be expressed as $b^3_N|phys\rangle = 0$, $b^\pm_n|phys\rangle = 0$ (as can easily be seen by setting $g = 0$ in the expressions for the Lagrange multipliers). The physical subspace can be defined by the 3 independent conditions

$$\left(A^3_N - A^3_{-N}\right)|phys\rangle = \left(A^\pm_n - A^\pm_{-n}\right)|phys\rangle = 0\,,$$

expressed in terms of annihilation operators. It is then possible to follow the Gupta-Bleuler procedure and show that the physical subspace has a positive semi-definite metric, with zero-norm states being the ones containing ghost-like modes, and $\langle phys|H_G|phys\rangle = 0$, so that unphysical modes do not contribute to the energy spectrum.

As is characteristic of two-dimensional pure Yang-Mills theories in light-cone gauge, the Hamiltonian (3.1) has no interaction terms and coincides with that of free gauge bosons. The interaction is carried by the Lagrange multipliers and has the effect of modifying the subsidiary condition and the physical subspace. We expect more restrictive conditions as a consequence of the g-dependent terms in λ. The colour components of λ do not commute with one another and the subsidiary conditions are not independent. As a matter of fact, the Lagrange multipliers satisfy the same algebra as in the previously considered case where fermions are present. The conditions that need to be imposed are $\widetilde{\lambda}^3_0|phys\rangle = 0$ and $\widetilde{\lambda}^3_N|phys\rangle = 0$, for $N > 0$ where

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\lambda}^3_0 &= \frac{ig}{\sqrt{2}L}\sum_{m=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\Big((a^-_m)^\dagger b^-_m + (b^+_m)^\dagger a^+_m - (a^+_m)^\dagger b^+_m - (b^-_m)^\dagger a^-_m\Big) \\
\widetilde{\lambda}^3_N &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{L}}k_N b^3_N + \frac{ig}{\sqrt{2}L}\sum_{m=\frac{1}{2}}^{N-\frac{1}{2}}\Big(b^-_m a^+_{N-m} - b^+_m a^-_{N-m}\Big) \\
&+ \frac{ig}{\sqrt{2}L}\sum_{m=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\Big((a^-_m)^\dagger b^-_{m+N} + (b^+_m)^\dagger a^+_{m+N} - (a^+_m)^\dagger b^+_{m+N} - (b^-_m)^\dagger a^-_{m+N}\Big) .
\end{aligned}$$

We can see that the eigenstates of (3.1) generated by the action of $b^\pm_n$ are no longer physical states as in the free case. We need to require the more restrictive condition that no modes of $A^\pm$ be present in the physical subspace. Only physical states with modes of A^3 are now zero-norm states and again one has $\langle phys|H_G|phys\rangle = 0$.

This indefinite metric representation of the gauge field, suggested by the free nature of the Hamiltonian associated with it, turns out to be unsuitable for the quantization of the full non-abelian gauge theory, on account of its residual gauge invariance. To see this let us consider the operator

$$U(x) = e^{iN\frac{\pi}{L}(t+\mathrm{x})\tau^3} \tag{3.6}$$

It satisfies the condition $U(t,-L) = U(t,L)$ and $A'_- = UA_-U^\dagger + \frac{i}{g}\partial_- UU^\dagger = 0$. It leaves the gauge-fixing condition invariant and it preserves the boundary conditions. It is, therefore, a residual gauge symmetry of the theory. Let us see its action on A.

Using $[\tau^+,\tau^-]=\tau^3$ and $[\tau^3,\tau^\pm]=\pm\tau^\pm$ we get:

$$A' = UAU^\dagger + \frac{i}{g}\partial_+ UU^\dagger = e^{iN\frac{\pi}{L}(t+\mathrm{x})}A^-\tau^+ + e^{-iN\frac{\pi}{L}(t+\mathrm{x})}A^+\tau^- - \frac{N\pi\sqrt{2}}{gL}\tau^3$$

or

$$A^{-\prime} = e^{iN\frac{\pi}{L}(t+\mathrm{x})}A^- \quad , \qquad A^{+\prime} = e^{-iN\frac{\pi}{L}(t+\mathrm{x})}A^+ \quad , \qquad A^{3\prime} = A^3 - \frac{N\pi\sqrt{2}}{gL}$$

and from $F' = UFU^\dagger$ we have

$$F^{-\prime} = e^{iN\frac{\pi}{L}(t+\mathrm{x})}F^- \quad , \qquad F^{+\prime} = e^{-iN\frac{\pi}{L}(t+\mathrm{x})}F^+ \quad , \qquad F^{3\prime} = F^3 \ .$$

Let us concentrate on the transformation properties of the + and − colour components. A quantum operator T^N representing this symmetry in the space of states must be such that the quantum fields represented at $t=0$ as in (2.66) and (2.68) have the following transformation properties:

$$\begin{aligned}
T^N A^-(0,\mathrm{x})(T^N)^\dagger &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n^- e^{-in\frac{\pi}{L}\mathrm{x}} e^{iN\frac{\pi}{L}\mathrm{x}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{n+N}^- e^{-in\frac{\pi}{L}\mathrm{x}} \\
T^N A^+(0,\mathrm{x})(T^N)^\dagger &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n^+ e^{-in\frac{\pi}{L}\mathrm{x}} e^{-iN\frac{\pi}{L}\mathrm{x}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{n-N}^+ e^{-in\frac{\pi}{L}\mathrm{x}} \\
T^N F^-(0,\mathrm{x})(T^N)^\dagger &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} b_n^- e^{-in\frac{\pi}{L}\mathrm{x}} e^{iN\frac{\pi}{L}\mathrm{x}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} b_{n+N}^- e^{-in\frac{\pi}{L}\mathrm{x}} \\
T^N F^+(0,\mathrm{x})(T^N)^\dagger &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} b_n^+ e^{-in\frac{\pi}{L}\mathrm{x}} e^{-iN\frac{\pi}{L}\mathrm{x}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} b_{n-N}^+ e^{-in\frac{\pi}{L}\mathrm{x}} \ .
\end{aligned}$$

We see that must have

$$\begin{aligned}
T^N a_n^+ (T^N)^\dagger = a_{n-N}^+ \quad &, \qquad T^N b_n^+ (T^N)^\dagger = b_{n-N}^+ \\
T^N a_n^- (T^N)^\dagger = a_{n+N}^- \quad &, \qquad T^N b_n^- (T^N)^\dagger = b_{n+N}^-
\end{aligned}$$

or, in terms of the Fock creation and annihilation operators defined in (3.4–3.5):

$$T^N A_n^+ (T^N)^\dagger = A_{n-N}^+ \qquad \text{for } n \geq N + \tfrac{1}{2} \tag{3.7}$$

$$T^N A_n^+ (T^N)^\dagger = (A_{n-N}^+)^\dagger \qquad \text{for } \tfrac{1}{2} \leq n \leq N - \tfrac{1}{2} \tag{3.8}$$

$$T^N A_{-n}^+ (T^N)^\dagger = A_{-n-N}^+ \qquad \text{for } n \geq \tfrac{1}{2} \tag{3.9}$$

$$T^N A_{-n}^- (T^N)^\dagger = A_{-n+N}^- \qquad \text{for } n \geq N + \tfrac{1}{2} \tag{3.10}$$

$$T^N A_{-n}^- (T^N)^\dagger = (A_{N-n}^-)^\dagger \qquad \text{for } \tfrac{1}{2} \leq n \leq N - \tfrac{1}{2} \tag{3.11}$$

$$T^N A_n^- (T^N)^\dagger = A_{n+N}^- \qquad \text{for } n \geq \tfrac{1}{2} \tag{3.12}$$

We can see from (3.8) and (3.11) that T must turn annihilation operators into creation operators. This does not allow the vacuum to be invariant. The transformed vacuum $T|0\rangle$ must be such that $(A_{-\frac{1}{2}}^+)^\dagger T|0\rangle = 0$, a condition which cannot be satisfied by a state in the Fock space we are considering. The symmetry of the theory under index-shifting at a classical level suggests that in a Fock quantization the creation or annihilation nature of the operators must be preserved under index-shifting. Interpreting $(A_{-n}^\pm)^\dagger$ as creation operators generating negative-norm states when acting on the vacuum appears to be inconsistent with this symmetry transformation. As we shall see, implementing this symmetry as a unitary operator in the Hilbert space will be necessary to obtain the non-trivial vacuum structure which is characteristic of this theory when coupled to fermions. In the quantization of $A^\pm$ in the free gauge theory, as well as of A^3 in both the free and the interacting case, the indefinite metric is necessary to express the subsidiary condition in terms of annihilation operators and it allows to get rid of ghost-like modes, which are present in zero-norm physical states, by constructing a Hilbert space with positive definite metric as a quotient space. But in the quantization of the interacting $A^\pm$ the indefinite metric does not seem to play a crucial role. As a matter of fact, a standard definition of creation and annihilation operators with canonical commutators:

$$A_n^{\pm} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}}\, a_n^{\pm} + i\sqrt{\frac{L}{2}}\, b_n^{\pm} \ , \qquad [A_m^{\pm}, (A_n^{\pm})^{\dagger}] = \delta_{mn} \ ,$$

for both positive and negative n, leads to the following expressions for $\widetilde{\lambda}_0^3$ and $\widetilde{\lambda}_N^3$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\lambda}_0^3 &= \frac{g}{\sqrt{2L}} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \Big((A_m^-)^{\dagger} A_m^- - (A_m^+)^{\dagger} A_m^+ \Big) \\
\widetilde{\lambda}_N^3 &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{L}}\, k_N b_N^3 + \frac{g}{\sqrt{2L}} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \Big((A_{m-N}^-)^{\dagger} A_m^- - (A_{m-N}^+)^{\dagger} A_m^+ \Big) \ .
\end{aligned}$$

The subsidiary conditions can be satisfied by requiring that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(A_N^3 - A_{-N}^3)|phys\rangle = 0 \ , \forall N > 0 \\
&A_n^{\pm}|phys\rangle = 0
\end{aligned}$$

and (3.1) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
H_G &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \left(k_n + \frac{1}{2L} \right) \Big((A_n^+)^{\dagger} A_n^+ + (A_n^-)^{\dagger} A_n^- \Big) - (A_n^+)^{\dagger} (A_{-n}^-)^{\dagger} - A_n^+ A_{-n}^- \right\} \\
&+ \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \left\{ {b_N^3}^{\dagger} b_N^3 + i k_N {a_N^3}^{\dagger} b_N^3 - i k_N {b_N^3}^{\dagger} a_N^3 \right\} \ .
\end{aligned}$$

The vacuum is the only physical state in the positive metric Fock representation of A^+ and A^- and, although it is not an eigenstate of H_G, we still have $\langle 0|H_G|0\rangle = 0$. The transformation U can now be represented by an operator T^N such that:

$$T^N A_n^+ (T^N)^{\dagger} = A_{n-N}^+ \quad , \qquad T^N (A_n^+)^{\dagger} (T^N)^{\dagger} = (A_{n-N}^+)^{\dagger} \qquad (3.13)$$

$$T^N A_n^- (T^N)^{\dagger} = A_{n+N}^- \quad , \qquad T^N (A_n^+)^{\dagger} (T^N)^{\dagger} = (A_{n-N}^+)^{\dagger} \qquad (3.14)$$

for any positive or negative n.

One can check that (3.13–3.14) are satisfied for $N = 1$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{T} = \;& \dots \; e^{\frac{\pi}{2}\left(A^+_n (A^+_{n-1})^\dagger - (A^+_n)^\dagger A^+_{n-1} + A^-_{-n}(A^-_{-n+1})^\dagger - (A^-_{-n})^\dagger A^-_{-n+1}\right)} \dots \\ & \dots \; e^{\frac{\pi}{2}\left(A^+_{3/2}(A^+_{1/2})^\dagger - (A^+_{3/2})^\dagger A^+_{1/2} + A^-_{-3/2}(A^-_{-1/2})^\dagger - (A^-_{-3/2})^\dagger A^-_{-1/2}\right)} \\ & e^{\frac{\pi}{2}\left(A^+_{1/2}(A^+_{-1/2})^\dagger - (A^+_{1/2})^\dagger A^+_{-1/2} + A^-_{-1/2}(A^-_{1/2})^\dagger - (A^-_{-1/2})^\dagger A^-_{1/2}\right)} \\ & e^{\frac{\pi}{2}\left(A^+_{-1/2}(A^+_{-3/2})^\dagger - (A^+_{-1/2})^\dagger A^+_{-3/2} + A^-_{1/2}(A^-_{3/2})^\dagger - (A^-_{1/2})^\dagger A^-_{3/2}\right)} \dots \\ & \dots \; e^{\frac{\pi}{2}\left(A^+_{-n}(A^+_{-n-1})^\dagger - (A^+_{-n})^\dagger A^+_{-n-1} + A^-_n (A^-_{n+1})^\dagger - (A^-_n)^\dagger A^-_{n+1}\right)} \dots\end{aligned}$$

Although unphysical, the modes of A^+ and A^- interact with fermions and can no longer be eliminated from the theory when coupling to fermions is considered. The fact that the gauge-invariant vacuum state associated with a positive-definite Fock representation of A^+ and A^- is not an eigenstate of the unperturbed Hamiltonian prevents us from performing a standard perturbative calculation. On the other hand, as a result of the gauge-invariant renormalization of the fermion products, the term $\frac{g^2}{2\pi}A^+A^-$ has been introduced into the theory. Being quadratic in the gauge field it has the well known form of a mass term, the "mass" being $m \equiv \frac{g}{\sqrt{\pi}}$. This suggests treating it as part of the unperturbed Hamiltonian, in spite of its dependence on g^2, leaving only the order-g terms $g(A^+\widetilde{J}^-_R + A^-\widetilde{J}^+_R)$, which couple the gauge fields to the fermion currents, in the perturbation Hamiltonian. By doing so we can diagonalize the "unperturbed" hamiltonian related to the + and − gauge fields. We can write

$$H_G = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}(k_n + m)\left((A^+_n)^\dagger A^+_n + (A^-_n)^\dagger A^-_n\right) + \sum_{N=1}^{\infty}\left\{b^3_N{}^\dagger b^3_N + ik_N a^3_N{}^\dagger b^3_N - ik_N b^3_N{}^\dagger a^3_N\right\}$$

where now the Fock operators $A^\pm_n$ are defined as

$$A^\pm_n \equiv \sqrt{\frac{m}{2}}\, a^\pm_n + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2m}}\, b^\pm_n$$

for both positive and negative n, with $m = \frac{g}{\sqrt{\pi}}$.

Inverting these relations we obtain

$$a_n^{\pm} = \frac{A_n^{\pm} + (A_{-n}^{\mp})^{\dagger}}{\sqrt{2m}} \qquad , \qquad b_n^{\pm} = \sqrt{\frac{m}{2}}\,\frac{A_n^{\pm} - (A_{-n}^{\mp})^{\dagger}}{i} \; .$$

From (2.69) we see that these operators satisfy the Fock algebra

$$[A_m^{\pm}, A_n^{\pm\dagger}] = \delta_{mn}$$

all the other commutators vanishing.

Analogously, we quantize the zero mode of A^3 by defining

$$A_0^3 \equiv \sqrt{\frac{m}{2}}\, a_0^3 + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2m}}\, b_0^3$$

so that we can write

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(b_0^3\right)^2 + \frac{g^2}{2\pi}\left(a_0^3\right)^2 = m A_0^{3\dagger} A_0^3 \; .$$

The Hamiltonian can now be written as

$$\hat{H} = H_0 + H_I$$

where

$$H_0 = H_F^0 + \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \left\{ {b_N^3}^{\dagger} b_N^3 + i k_N {a_N^3}^{\dagger} b_N^3 - i k_N {b_N^3}^{\dagger} a_N^3 \right\} + m (A_0^3)^{\dagger} A_0^3$$
$$+ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \Big(k_n + m \Big) \Big((A_n^+)^{\dagger} A_n^+ + (A_n^-)^{\dagger} A_n^- \Big)$$

and

$$H_I = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \left\{ g\sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \left(C_N^3 a_N^3 + {C_N^3}^{\dagger} {a_N^3}^{\dagger} \right) + \frac{g^2}{\pi} {a_N^3}^{\dagger} a_N^3 \right\}\Bigg\} + \frac{g}{\sqrt{mL}} C_0^3 \Big((A_0^3)^{\dagger} + A_0^3 \Big)$$
$$+ \frac{g}{\sqrt{mL}} \left\{ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \Big(A_n^- C_n^+ + A_n^+ C_n^- + (A_n^-)^{\dagger} (C_n^+)^{\dagger} + (A_n^+)^{\dagger} (C_n^-)^{\dagger} \Big) \right.$$

H_F^0 is the free fermion Hamiltonian (2.71– 2.72).

Chapter 4

THE VACUUM

Let

$$\begin{aligned} |\Omega\rangle &= |0\rangle + |\Omega^{(1)}\rangle + |\Omega^{(2)}\rangle + \ldots \\ E &= E_0 + E_1 + E_2 + \ldots \end{aligned}$$

We shall determine the corrections to the vacuum state, $|\Omega^{(1)}\rangle$ and $|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle$, and to the vacuum energy, E_1 and E_2, by requiring that

$$H_0|0\rangle = E_0|0\rangle \tag{4.1}$$

$$H_0|\Omega^{(1)}\rangle + H_1|0\rangle = E_0|\Omega^{(1)}\rangle + E_1|0\rangle \tag{4.2}$$

$$H_0|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle + H_1|\Omega^{(1)}\rangle = E_0|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle + E_1|\Omega^{(1)}\rangle + E_2|0\rangle\ . \tag{4.3}$$

H_0 is normal-ordered in such a way that

$$H_0|0\rangle = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E_0 = 0$$

while higher order corrections to the energy are not necessarily zero.

We have

$$H_I|0\rangle = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} g\sqrt{\frac{2}{L}}(C_N^3)^\dagger {a_N^3}^\dagger|0\rangle + \frac{g}{\sqrt{mL}}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\Big((A_n^-)^\dagger(C_n^+)^\dagger|0\rangle + (A_n^+)^\dagger(C_n^-)^\dagger|0\rangle\Big)\,.$$

One can immediately see that

$$E_1 = \langle 0|H_I|0\rangle = 0\,.$$

As we have seen (page31), $[H_F\,,\,C_n^+] = -k_n C_n^+$, so that we have

$$[H_0\,,\,C_n^+] = -k_n C_n^+ \quad \text{and} \quad [H_0\,,\,(C_n^+)^\dagger] = k_n (C_n^+)^\dagger\,.$$

Analogously one can prove that

$$[H_0\,,\,C_n^-] = -k_n C_n^- \quad \text{and} \quad [H_0\,,\,(C_n^-)^\dagger] = k_n (C_n^-)^\dagger\,.$$

These relations, together with $H_0|0\rangle = 0$, tell us that

$$H_0(C_n^\pm)^\dagger|0\rangle = k_n(C_n^\pm)^\dagger|0\rangle\,,$$

while from the expressions of $C_n^\pm$ (2.39–2.40) we see that

$$C_n^\pm|0\rangle = (C_{-n}^\mp)^\dagger|0\rangle = 0\,.$$

We also have $C_N^3|0\rangle = (C_{-N}^3)^\dagger|0\rangle = 0$ and, from (2.72),

$$H_0(C_N^3)^\dagger|0\rangle = k_N(C_N^3)^\dagger|0\rangle\,.$$

It is now easy to verify that the state

$$\begin{aligned}|\Omega^{(1)}\rangle \;\; = \;\; & -\frac{g\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{L}}\sum_{N=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{{a_N^3}^\dagger}{2k_N}+\frac{i{b_N^3}^\dagger}{(2k_N)^2}\right){C_N^3}^\dagger|0\rangle \\ & -\frac{g}{\sqrt{mL}}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2k_n+m}\Big((A_n^-)^\dagger(C_n^+)^\dagger|0\rangle+(A_n^+)^\dagger(C_n^-)^\dagger|0\rangle\Big)\end{aligned}$$

satisfies (4.2) with $E_0 = E_1 = 0$.

In order to evaluate E_2 and $|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle$ we need $H_I|\Omega^{(1)}\rangle$. Using (2.42), (2.45) we get

$$\begin{aligned}H_I|\Omega^{(1)}\rangle = & \frac{2g^2}{L}\sum_{N=1}^{\infty}\frac{N}{(2k_N)^2}-\frac{g^2}{mL}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{2n}{2k_n+m}|0\rangle \\ & -\frac{2g^2}{L}\sum_{M,N=1}^{\infty}{a_M^3}^\dagger{C_M^3}^\dagger\left(\frac{{a_N^3}^\dagger}{2k_N}+\frac{i{b_N^3}^\dagger}{(2k_N)^2}\right){C_N^3}^\dagger|0\rangle\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- \frac{g^2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{m}L}\sum_{M=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{{a^3_M}^{\dagger}(C^3_M)^{\dagger}\Big((A^-_n)^{\dagger}(C^+_n)^{\dagger}+(A^+_n)^{\dagger}(C^-_n)^{\dagger}\Big)}{2k_n+m}|0\rangle \\
&- \frac{g^2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{m}L}\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{N=1}^{\infty}\Big((A^-_m)^{\dagger}(C^+_m)^{\dagger}+(A^+_m)^{\dagger}(C^-_m)^{\dagger}\Big)\left(\frac{{a^3_N}^{\dagger}}{2k_N}+\frac{i{b^3_N}^{\dagger}}{(2k_N)^2}\right){C^3_N}^{\dagger}|0\rangle \\
&- \frac{g^2}{mL}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{(A^3_0)^{\dagger}C^3_0\Big((A^-_n)^{\dagger}(C^+_n)^{\dagger}+(A^+_n)^{\dagger}(C^-_n)^{\dagger}\Big)}{2k_n+m}|0\rangle \\
&-\frac{g^2}{mL}\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\Big((A^-_m)^{\dagger}(C^+_m)^{\dagger}+(A^+_m)^{\dagger}(C^-_m)^{\dagger}\Big)\Big((A^-_n)^{\dagger}(C^+_n)^{\dagger}+(A^+_n)^{\dagger}(C^-_n)^{\dagger}\Big)}{2k_n+m}|0\rangle\ .
\end{aligned}
$$

We therefore have

$$
E_2=\frac{2g^2}{L}\sum_{N=1}^{\infty}\frac{N}{(2k_N)^2}-\frac{g^2}{mL}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{2n}{2k_n+m}|0\rangle
$$

a diverging quantity that has to be subtracted from the Hamiltonian.

It is not hard to verify that (4.3) is satisfied if the state $|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle \ =\ & \frac{g^2}{L}\sum_{M=1}^{\infty}\sum_{N=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{{a^3_M}^{\dagger}}{2k_M}+\frac{i{b^3_M}^{\dagger}}{(2k_M)^2}\right)\left(\frac{{a^3_N}^{\dagger}}{2k_N}+\frac{i{b^3_N}^{\dagger}}{(2k_N)^2}\right){C^3_M}^{\dagger}{C^3_N}^{\dagger}|0\rangle \\
&+\frac{g^2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{m}L}\sum_{M=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\Bigg(\frac{{a^3_M}^{\dagger}}{2k_M+2k_n+m} \\
&\qquad +\frac{i{b^3_M}^{\dagger}}{(2k_n+2k_M+m)^2}\Bigg){C^3_M}^{\dagger}\,\frac{(A^-_n)^{\dagger}(C^+_n)^{\dagger}+(A^+_n)^{\dagger}(C^-_n)^{\dagger}}{2k_n+m}|0\rangle \\
&+\frac{g^2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{m}L}\sum_{N=1}^{\infty}\sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty}\Bigg\{\frac{1}{2k_p+2k_N+m}\left(\frac{{a^3_N}^{\dagger}}{2k_N}+\frac{i{b^3_N}^{\dagger}}{(2k_N)^2}\right) \\
&\qquad +\frac{i{b^3_N}^{\dagger}}{2k_N(2k_p+2k_N+m)^2}\Bigg\}\Big((A^-_p)^{\dagger}(C^+_p)^{\dagger}+(A^+_p)^{\dagger}(C^-_p)^{\dagger}\Big){C^3_N}^{\dagger}|0\rangle \\
&+\frac{g^2}{mL}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{(A^3_0)^{\dagger}\Big(-(A^-_n)^{\dagger}(C^+_n)^{\dagger}+(A^+_n)^{\dagger}(C^-_n)^{\dagger}\Big)}{2(k_n+m)(2k_n+m)}|0\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

$$+\frac{g^2}{mL}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\left[\frac{(A_p^+)^\dagger(A_n^+)^\dagger(C_p^-)^\dagger(C_n^-)^\dagger}{2(2k_p+m)(2k_n+m)}|0\rangle+\frac{(A_p^-)^\dagger(A_n^-)^\dagger(C_p^+)^\dagger(C_n^+)^\dagger}{2(2k_p+m)(2k_n+m)}|0\rangle\right]$$

$$+\frac{g^2}{mL}\sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\left[\frac{(A_p^-)^\dagger(A_n^+)^\dagger(C_p^+)^\dagger(C_n^-)^\dagger}{2(k_n+k_p+m)(2k_n+m)}|0\rangle+\frac{(A_p^+)^\dagger(A_n^-)^\dagger(C_p^-)^\dagger(C_n^+)^\dagger}{2(k_n+k_p+m)(2k_n+m)}|0\rangle\right].$$

4.1 The subsidiary condition.

Let us verify that the state $|\Omega\rangle = |0\rangle + |\Omega^{(1)}\rangle + |\Omega^{(2)}\rangle$ satisfies the subsidiary condition. It is easy to see that

$$\lambda_0^3|\Omega\rangle = 0$$

where

$$\lambda_0^3 = \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}L}\left(C_0^3 + D_0^3\right) + \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}L}\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}\left((A_m^-)^\dagger A_m^- - (A_m^+)^\dagger A_m^+\right).$$

As a matter of fact we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda_0^3|0\rangle = 0\\
&\lambda_0^3|\Omega^{(1)}\rangle = -\frac{g^2}{L\sqrt{2mL}}\left\{\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{C_0^3}{2k_n+m}\Big((A_n^-)^\dagger(C_n^+)^\dagger|0\rangle + (A_n^+)^\dagger(C_n^-)^\dagger|0\rangle\Big)\right.\\
&\qquad\left.+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2k_n+m}\Big((A_n^-)^\dagger(C_n^+)^\dagger|0\rangle - (A_n^+)^\dagger(C_n^-)^\dagger|0\rangle\Big)\right\}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the relation $[C_0^3, (C_n^\pm)^\dagger] = \mp(C_n^\pm)^\dagger$ it is easy to see that $\lambda_0^3|\Omega^{(1)}\rangle = 0$ and that the same holds for every term in $|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle$ (p. 46). Each term in the perturbative expansion of the physical vacuum $|\Omega\rangle$ is an eigenstate of the conserved charge λ_0^3 with eigenvalue 0. As we have seen, in order to be a physical state, the vacuum must also be annihilated by the positive frequency components of λ^3. This means that it must satisfy $\lambda_N^3|\Omega\rangle = 0$ where

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_N^3 &= \frac{ik_N b_N^3}{\sqrt{L}} + \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}L}\left((C_N^3)^\dagger + D_N^3\right)\\
&\quad+\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}L}\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}\left((A_{m-N}^-)^\dagger A_m^- - (A_{m-N}^+)^\dagger A_m^+\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly this condition cannot be satisfied term by term in the expansion of $|\Omega\rangle$, as is the case for λ^3_0. The action of λ^3_N mixes up the perturbative orders and the condition cannot be satisfied exactly by our perturbative evaluation of the vacuum. We can only check that it holds for the two lowest orders in the expansion of $\lambda^3_N|\Omega\rangle$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda^3_N|0\rangle &= \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}L}(C^3_N)^\dagger|0\rangle \\
\lambda^3_N|\Omega^{(1)}\rangle &= -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}L}(C^3_N)^\dagger|0\rangle - \frac{g^2}{L\sqrt{L}}\sum_{M=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{{a^3_M}^\dagger}{2k_M} + \frac{i{b^3_M}^\dagger}{(2k_M)^2}\right)(C^3_N)^\dagger(C^3_M)^\dagger|0\rangle \\
&\quad -\frac{g^2}{L\sqrt{2mL}}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{(C^3_N)^\dagger}{2k_n+m}\left((C^-_n)^\dagger(A^+_n)^\dagger + (C^+_n)^\dagger(A^-_n)^\dagger\right)|0\rangle \\
&\quad -\frac{g^2}{L\sqrt{2mL}}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2k_n+m}\left((C^+_n)^\dagger(A^-_{n-N})^\dagger - (C^-_n)^\dagger(A^+_{n-N})^\dagger\right)|0\rangle\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Disregarding higher order terms we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda^3_N|\Omega^{(1)}\rangle &\simeq -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}L}(C^3_N)^\dagger|0\rangle - \frac{g^2}{L\sqrt{L}}\sum_{M=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{{a^3_M}^\dagger}{2k_M} + \frac{i{b^3_M}^\dagger}{(2k_M)^2}\right)(C^3_N)^\dagger(C^3_M)^\dagger|0\rangle \\
&\quad -\frac{g^2}{L\sqrt{2mL}}\Bigg\{\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{(C^3_N)^\dagger}{2k_n}\left((C^-_n)^\dagger(A^+_n)^\dagger + (C^+_n)^\dagger(A^-_n)^\dagger\right)|0\rangle \\
&\qquad +\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2k_n}\left((C^+_n)^\dagger(A^-_{n-N})^\dagger - (C^-_n)^\dagger(A^+_{n-N})^\dagger\right)|0\rangle\Bigg\}
\end{aligned}$$

and keeping only the lowest order terms in $\lambda^3_N|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda^3_N|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle &\simeq \frac{g^2}{L\sqrt{L}}\sum_{M=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{{a^3_M}^\dagger}{2k_M} + \frac{i{b^3_M}^\dagger}{(2k_M)^2}\right)(C^3_N)^\dagger(C^3_M)^\dagger|0\rangle \\
&\quad +\frac{g^2}{L\sqrt{2mL}}\Bigg\{\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{k_N(C^3_N)^\dagger\Big((A^+_n)^\dagger(C^-_n)^\dagger + (A^-_n)^\dagger(C^+_n)^\dagger\Big)}{2k_nk_{n+N}}|0\rangle \\
&\qquad +\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\Big((A^+_n)^\dagger(C^-_n)^\dagger + (A^-_n)^\dagger(C^+_n)^\dagger\Big)(C^3_N)^\dagger}{2k_{n+N}}|0\rangle\Bigg\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the relation $[(C^\pm_n)^\dagger, (C^3_N)^\dagger] = \pm(C^\pm_{n+N})^\dagger$ we get

$$\lambda^3_N|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle \simeq \frac{g^2}{L\sqrt{L}}\sum_{M=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{{a^3_M}^\dagger}{2k_M} + \frac{i{b^3_M}^\dagger}{(2k_M)^2}\right)(C^3_N)^\dagger(C^3_M)^\dagger|0\rangle$$

$$+\frac{g^2}{L\sqrt{2mL}}\Big\{\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2k_n}(C_N^3)^\dagger\Big((A_n^+)^\dagger(C_n^-)^\dagger+(A_n^-)^\dagger(C_n^+)^\dagger\Big)|0\rangle$$

$$+\sum_{n=-N+\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2k_{n+N}}\Big(-(A_n^+)^\dagger(C_{n+N}^-)^\dagger+(A_n^-)^\dagger(C_{n+N}^+)^\dagger\Big)|0\rangle\Big\}$$

and one can immediately see that

$$\lambda_N^3\Big(|0\rangle+|\Omega^{(1)}\rangle+|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle\Big)\simeq 0\ .$$

Note that this condition would not be satisfied even for the two lowest orders if A^3 had been quantized with a positive definite metric, while no such inconsistency appears as a consequence of our quantization of A^+ and A^-.

4.2 **The degenerate vacua**.

We have seen that under the residual gauge transformation

$$U(x)=e^{iN\frac{\pi}{L}(t+\mathrm{x})\tau^3} \tag{4.4}$$

the gauge fields transform according to

$$A^{-\prime}=e^{iN\frac{\pi}{L}(t+\mathrm{x})}A^-\quad,\qquad A^{+\prime}=e^{-iN\frac{\pi}{L}(t+\mathrm{x})}A^+\quad,\qquad A^{3\prime}=A^3-\frac{N\pi\sqrt{2}}{gL}$$

$$F^{-\prime}=e^{iN\frac{\pi}{L}(t+\mathrm{x})}F^-\quad,\qquad F^{+\prime}=e^{-iN\frac{\pi}{L}(t+\mathrm{x})}F^+\quad,\qquad F^{3\prime}=F^3\ .$$

As a consequence, under the action of the operator T^N representing this transformation, the Fourier modes into which the fields are decomposed at $t=0$ transform as

$$T^Na_n^+(T^N)^\dagger=a_{n-N}^+\quad,\qquad T^Nb_n^+(T^N)^\dagger=b_{n-N}^+ \tag{4.5}$$

$$T^Na_n^-(T^N)^\dagger=a_{n+N}^-\quad,\qquad T^Nb_n^-(T^N)^\dagger=b_{n+N}^- \tag{4.6}$$

$$T^Na_M^3(T^N)^\dagger=a_M^3\quad\text{for } M\neq 0 \tag{4.7}$$

$$T^N a_0^3 (T^N)^\dagger = a_0^3 - \frac{N\pi\sqrt{2}}{g\sqrt{L}} \tag{4.8}$$

$$T^N b_M^3 (T^N)^\dagger = b_M^3 \ . \tag{4.9}$$

From (4.5) and (4.6) we also get

$$T^N A_n^+ (T^N)^\dagger = A_{n-N}^+ \tag{4.10}$$

$$T^N A_n^- (T^N)^\dagger = A_{n+N}^- \tag{4.11}$$

Let us consider the transformation of the Fermi field. It is easy to see that

$$\Psi' = U\Psi U^\dagger = e^{iN\frac{\pi}{L}(t+\mathrm{x})}\psi\tau^+ + e^{-iN\frac{\pi}{L}(t+\mathrm{x})}\psi^\dagger\tau^- + \phi\tau^3 \ .$$

Therefore, at $t = 0$,

$$\psi'(0,\mathrm{x}) = e^{iN\frac{\pi}{L}\mathrm{x}}\psi(0,\mathrm{x}) \quad , \qquad \phi'(0,\mathrm{x}) = \phi(0,\mathrm{x})$$

From the bosonized form of $\psi_{R/L}$ (eqs. 2.62, 2.63), using relations (2.51—2.56) and the identity

$$e^A B = B e^A e^c \quad \text{if } [A,B] = cB, \quad \text{where } c \text{ is a c} - \text{number} \ ,$$

one can easily prove that

$$\sigma_L^\dagger \sigma_R e^{i\pi(C_0^3 + D_0^3)}\psi(0,\mathrm{x})\left(\sigma_L^\dagger\sigma_R e^{i\pi(C_0^3+D_0^3)}\right)^\dagger = e^{iN\frac{\pi}{L}\mathrm{x}}\psi(0,\mathrm{x}) \ .$$

We also have

$$e^{-\frac{i\pi\sqrt{2}}{g\sqrt{L}}b_0^3} a_0^3 \, e^{\frac{i\pi\sqrt{2}}{g\sqrt{L}}b_0^3} = a_0^3 - \tfrac{\pi\sqrt{2}}{g\sqrt{L}} \ .$$

The operator T representing the residual gauge transformation can therefore be expressed as

$$T = \sigma_L^\dagger \sigma_R e^{i\pi(C_0^3 + D_0^3)} e^{-\frac{i\pi\sqrt{2}}{g\sqrt{L}}b_0^3}\, \widetilde{T} \ .$$

where $\widetilde{T}$ is the operator transforming A^+ and A^- (p. 42) . In order to determine the transformation properties of $C_n^\pm$ let us consider ψ'_R. We can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi'_R(0,\mathrm{x}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\left(b_n e^{in\frac{\pi}{L}\mathrm{x}}e^{iN\frac{\pi}{L}\mathrm{x}} + d_n^\dagger e^{-in\frac{\pi}{L}\mathrm{x}}e^{iN\frac{\pi}{L}\mathrm{x}}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}}\left(\sum_{n=N+\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} b_{n-N}e^{in\frac{\pi}{L}\mathrm{x}} + \sum_{n=-N+\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} d_{n+N}^\dagger e^{-in\frac{\pi}{L}\mathrm{x}}\right) .
\end{aligned}$$

For $N>0$ ψ'_R can be written as

$$\psi'_R(0,\mathrm{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}}\left(\sum_{n=N+\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} b_{n-N}e^{in\frac{\pi}{L}\mathrm{x}} + \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{N-\frac{1}{2}} d_{N-n}^\dagger e^{in\frac{\pi}{L}\mathrm{x}} + \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} d_{n+N}^\dagger e^{-in\frac{\pi}{L}\mathrm{x}}\right)$$

and for $N<0$

$$\psi'_R(0,\mathrm{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}}\left(\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} b_{n-N}e^{in\frac{\pi}{L}\mathrm{x}} + \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{-N-\frac{1}{2}} b_{-n-N}e^{-in\frac{\pi}{L}\mathrm{x}} + \sum_{n=-N+\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} d_{n+N}^\dagger e^{-in\frac{\pi}{L}\mathrm{x}}\right) .$$

We can therefore see that we must have

$$\begin{aligned}
T^N b_n (T^N)^\dagger &= b_{n-N} \quad \text{for } N<n \\
T^N b_n (T^N)^\dagger &= d_{N-n}^\dagger \quad \text{for } N>n \\
T^N d_n (T^N)^\dagger &= d_{n+N}^\dagger \quad \text{for } N>-n \\
T^N d_n (T^N)^\dagger &= b_{-N-n}^\dagger \quad \text{for } N<-n .
\end{aligned}$$

We can now determine the transformation properties of $C_n^\pm$.

For $n>N>0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T^N C_n^- (T^N)^\dagger &= \sum_{m=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} d_{m+N}^\dagger r_{n+m} - \sum_{M=0}^{\infty} r_M^\dagger b_{M+n-N} \\
&\quad - \sum_{m=N+\frac{1}{2}}^{n} r_{n-m} b_{m-N} - \sum_{m=\frac{1}{2}}^{N-\frac{1}{2}} r_{n-m} d_{N-m}^\dagger \\
&= \sum_{m=N+\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} d_m^\dagger r_{n-N+m} - \sum_{M=0}^{\infty} r_M^\dagger b_{M+n-N}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & -\sum_{m=\frac{1}{2}}^{n-N} r_{n-N-m} b_m + \sum_{m=\frac{1}{2}}^{N-\frac{1}{2}} d^\dagger_m r_{n-N+m} \\ = \; & C^-_{n-N} \end{aligned}$$

and for $N > n > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} T^N C^-_n (T^N)^\dagger \; = \; & \sum_{m=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} d^\dagger_{m+N} r_{n+m} - \sum_{M=0}^{N-n-\frac{1}{2}} r^\dagger_M d^\dagger_{N-n-M} \\ & - \sum_{M=N-n+\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} r^\dagger_M b_{M+n-N} - \sum_{m=\frac{1}{2}}^{n} r_{n-m} d^\dagger_{N-m} \\ = \; & \sum_{M=n+\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} d^\dagger_{M-n+N} r_M + \sum_{M=0}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} d^\dagger_{M-n+N} r_M \\ & - \sum_{m=\frac{1}{2}}^{N-n} r^\dagger_{N-n-m} d^\dagger_m - \sum_{m=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} r^\dagger_{m+N-n} b_m \\ = \; & (C^+_{N-n})^\dagger \equiv C^-_{n-N} \end{aligned}$$

Analogously it is possible to show that for any positive or negative N

$$T^N C^-_n (T^N)^\dagger = C^-_{n-N} \tag{4.12}$$

$$T^N C^+_n (T^N)^\dagger = C^+_{n+N} \; . \tag{4.13}$$

It follows from (4.5), (4.6), (4.8) and (4.12–4.13) that the action of the transformation T^N on the Hamiltonian (2.64) is given by

$$T^N \hat{H} (T^N)^\dagger = \hat{H} - \frac{g^2 N \pi}{2L} (C^3_0 + D^3_0) + \frac{i g^2 N \pi}{2L} \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \left((a^+_n)^\dagger b^+_n + (b^-_n)^\dagger a^-_n - (a^-_n)^\dagger b^-_n - (b^+_n)^\dagger a^+_n \right) .$$

$\hat{H}$ is not invariant under the action of T^N but

$$T^N \hat{H} (T^N)^\dagger = \hat{H} - \frac{g N \pi}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda^3_0$$

which means that $\hat{H}$ is invariant when restricted to the physical subspace. Note also that

$$T^N \lambda^3_0 (T^N)^\dagger = \lambda^3_0$$

so that if $\lambda_0^3|\varphi\rangle = 0$ then also $\lambda_0^3 T^N|\varphi\rangle = 0$ and

$$\hat{H}T^N|\varphi\rangle = T^N\hat{H}(T^N)^\dagger T^N|\varphi\rangle + \frac{gN\pi}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_0^3 T^N|\varphi\rangle = T^N\hat{H}|\varphi\rangle \; .$$

In particular this means that the states

$$|\Omega_N\rangle \equiv T^N|\Omega\rangle \; , \quad N = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$$

are an infinite set of degenerate vacua. These states are clearly not gauge-invariant. Physically acceptable gauge-invariant vacua can be obtained by constructing superpositions that diagonalize the operators T^N. Let us consider the states

$$|\theta\rangle \equiv \sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iN\theta}|\Omega_N\rangle$$

known as $\theta - vacua$. We have

$$T^M|\theta\rangle = \sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iN\theta}|\Omega_{N+M}\rangle = e^{iM\theta}|\theta\rangle$$

so that $|\theta\rangle$ is invariant up to a phase factor under the action of T^M.

The theory is also invariant [11] under the transformation R such that

$$R\psi R^{-1} = \psi^\dagger$$

$$R\phi R^{-1} = -\phi$$

$$RA^\pm R^{-1} = A^\mp$$

$$RA^3 R^{-1} = -A^3$$

corresponding to the $SU(2)$ transformation $U{=}e^{i\pi\tau_1}$.

The action of R on the fermion Fock operators is

$$Rb_n R^{-1} = d_n$$

$$R\beta_n R^{-1} = \delta_n$$

$$Rr_N R^{-1} = -r_N$$

$$R\rho_N R^{-1} = -\rho_N \ .$$

As a consequence we have

$$RC_n^{\pm} R^{-1} = C_n^{\mp}$$

$$RC_N^3 R^{-1} = -C_N^3 \ .$$

The gauge Fock operators transform as

$$RA_n^{\pm} R^{-1} = A_n^{\mp}$$

$$RA_N^3 R^{-1} = -A_N^3 \ .$$

Note that the state $R|0\rangle$ is annihilated by all the destruction operators and, since $R^2 = 1$, we must have

$$R|0\rangle = \pm|0\rangle \ .$$

Without loss of generality we may take $R|0\rangle = |0\rangle$. This relation, together with the transformation properties of the Fock operators, defines the action of R on all states. One can immediately see that the state $|\Omega\rangle$ is invariant under the action of R:

$$R|\Omega\rangle = |\Omega\rangle \ .$$

Let us consider now the action of R on the other vacuum states $|\Omega_N\rangle \equiv T^N|\Omega\rangle$. From the definition of the spurion operators (p. 21) it is not hard to see that

$$R\sigma_{R/L} R^{-1} = \sigma_{R/L}^{\dagger}$$

and it is straightforward to verify that

$$RTR^{-1} = -T^{\dagger}$$

and

$$RT^N R^{-1} = (-1)^N (T^\dagger)^N \equiv (-1)^N T^{-N} \ .$$

As a consequence, R interchanges $|\Omega_N\rangle$ and $|\Omega_{-N}\rangle$

$$R|\Omega_N\rangle = (-1)^N |\Omega_{-N}\rangle \ .$$

By applying R to the θ-vacuum we obtain

$$R|\theta\rangle = \sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iN\theta} R|\Omega_N\rangle = \sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iN\theta} e^{iN\pi} |\Omega_{-N}\rangle = \sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iN(\pi-\theta)} |\Omega_N\rangle$$

and we see that only two values of the parameter θ , namely $\theta = \pm\frac{\pi}{2}$, give rise to states which are invariant under both the T and R residual symmetries. We therefore have two physically acceptable vacua, in agreement with refs. [2][4][6][11].

Chapter 5

THE CONDENSATE

We want to use our results for the vacuum to obtain a perturbative evaluation of the gauge-invariant fermion condensate, defined as

$$\frac{\langle\theta|\mathrm{Tr}\bar{\Psi}\Psi|\theta\rangle}{\langle\theta|\theta\rangle}\ .$$

We have

$$\mathrm{Tr}\bar{\Psi}\Psi = i\mathrm{Tr}(\Psi_L^\dagger\Psi_R - \Psi_R^\dagger\Psi_L) = i\left(\phi_L\phi_R + \psi_L^\dagger\psi_R - \psi_R^\dagger\psi_L\right)$$

and

$$\langle\theta|\mathrm{Tr}\bar{\Psi}\Psi|\theta\rangle = i\sum_{N,M=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(M-N)\theta}\,\langle\Omega_M|\left(\phi_L\phi_R + \psi_L^\dagger\psi_R - \psi_R^\dagger\psi_L\right)|\Omega_N\rangle\ .$$

Being a time-independent quantity, the fermion condensate can be evaluated at $t = 0$. Writing

$$\Omega_N = \Omega_N^{(0)} + \Omega_N^{(1)} + \Omega_N^{(2)} + \ldots$$

we have

$$\langle\theta|\mathrm{Tr}\bar{\Psi}\Psi|\theta\rangle = i\sum_{j,k}\sum_{N,M=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(M-N)\theta}\,\langle\Omega_M^{(j)}|\left(\phi_L\phi_R + \psi_L^\dagger\psi_R - \psi_R^\dagger\psi_L\right)(0,\mathrm{x})\,|\Omega_N^{(k)}\rangle$$

where

$$|\Omega_N^{(i)}\rangle = T^N|\Omega^{(i)}\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad |\Omega^{(0)}\rangle \equiv |0\rangle\ .$$

Explicitly

$$|\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle = T^N|0\rangle = e^{-\frac{iN\pi\sqrt{2}}{g\sqrt{L}}b_0^3}\left(\sigma_L^\dagger\sigma_R\right)^N|0\rangle \equiv e^{-\frac{iN\pi\sqrt{2}}{g\sqrt{L}}b_0^3}|N\rangle$$

where

$$|N\rangle = \beta^{\dagger}_{N-\frac{1}{2}} d^{\dagger}_{N-\frac{1}{2}} \cdots \beta^{\dagger}_{\frac{1}{2}} d^{\dagger}_{\frac{1}{2}} |0\rangle \qquad \text{for } N > 0$$

$$|N\rangle = \delta^{\dagger}_{N-\frac{1}{2}} b^{\dagger}_{N-\frac{1}{2}} \cdots \delta^{\dagger}_{\frac{1}{2}} b^{\dagger}_{\frac{1}{2}} |0\rangle \qquad \text{for } N < 0\,,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Omega^{(1)}_N\rangle = {}& -\frac{g\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{a^{3\,\dagger}_N}{2k_N} + \frac{i b^{3\,\dagger}_N}{(2k_N)^2} \right) C^{3\,\dagger}_N |\Omega^{(0)}_N\rangle \\
& - \frac{g}{\sqrt{mL}} \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2k_n + m} \Big((A^-_{n+N})^\dagger (C^+_{n+N})^\dagger |\Omega^{(0)}_N\rangle + (A^+_{n-N})^\dagger (C^-_{n-N})^\dagger |\Omega^{(0)}_N\rangle \Big)\,,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Omega^{(2)}_N\rangle \;=\; & \frac{g^2}{L} \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} \sum_{J=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{a^{3\,\dagger}_M}{2k_M} + \frac{i b^{3\,\dagger}_M}{(2k_M)^2} \right) \left(\frac{a^{3\dagger}_J}{2k_J} + \frac{i b^{3\dagger}_J}{(2k_J)^2} \right) C^{3\,\dagger}_M C^{3\dagger}_J |\Omega^{(0)}_N\rangle \\
& + \frac{g^2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{mL}} \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \Bigg(\frac{a^{3\,\dagger}_M}{2k_M + 2k_n + m} \\
& \qquad + \frac{i b^{3\,\dagger}_M}{(2k_n + 2k_M + m)^2} \Bigg) C^{3\,\dagger}_M \frac{(A^-_{n+N})^\dagger (C^+_{n+N})^\dagger + (A^+_{n-N})^\dagger (C^-_{n-N})^\dagger}{2k_n + m} |\Omega^{(0)}_N\rangle \\
& + \frac{g^2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{mL}} \sum_{J=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} \Bigg\{ \frac{1}{2k_p + 2k_J + m} \left(\frac{a^{3\dagger}_J}{2k_J} + \frac{i b^{3\dagger}_J}{(2k_J)^2} \right) \\
& \qquad + \frac{i b^{3\dagger}_J}{2k_J (2k_p + 2k_J + m)^2} \Bigg\} \Big((A^-_{p+N})^\dagger (C^+_{p+N})^\dagger + (A^+_{p-N})^\dagger (C^-_{p-N})^\dagger \Big) C^{3\dagger}_J |\Omega^{(0)}_N\rangle \\
& + \frac{g^2}{mL} \Bigg\{ \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\Big((A^3_0)^\dagger - \frac{N\pi\sqrt{m}}{g\sqrt{L}} \Big) \Big(-(A^-_{n+N})^\dagger (C^+_{n+N})^\dagger + (A^+_{n-N})^\dagger (C^-_{n-N})^\dagger \Big)}{2(k_n + m)(2k_n + m)} |\Omega^{(0)}_N\rangle \\
& \qquad + \sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{(A^+_{p-N})^\dagger (A^+_{n-N})^\dagger (C^-_{p-N})^\dagger (C^-_{n-N})^\dagger}{2(2k_p + m)(2k_n + m)} |\Omega^{(0)}_N\rangle \\
& \qquad + \sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} + \frac{(A^-_{p+N})^\dagger (A^-_{n+N})^\dagger (C^+_{p+N})^\dagger (C^+_{n+N})^\dagger}{2(2k_p + m)(2k_n + m)} |\Omega^{(0)}_N\rangle \\
& \qquad + \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{(A^-_{p+N})^\dagger (A^+_{n-N})^\dagger (C^+_{p+N})^\dagger (C^-_{n-N})^\dagger}{2(k_n + k_p + m)(2k_n + m)} |\Omega^{(0)}_N\rangle \\
& \qquad + \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{(A^+_{p-N})^\dagger (A^-_{n+N})^\dagger (C^-_{p-N})^\dagger (C^+_{n+N})^\dagger}{2(k_n + k_p + m)(2k_n + m)} |\Omega^{(0)}_N\rangle \Bigg\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We define

$$|\theta^{(i)}\rangle = \sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iN\theta} |\Omega_N^{(i)}\rangle$$

so that we can write

$$|\theta\rangle = |\theta^{(0)}\rangle + |\theta^{(1)}\rangle + |\theta^{(2)}\rangle + \dots$$

and

$$\langle\theta|\mathrm{Tr}\bar{\Psi}\Psi|\theta\rangle = \sum_{i,j} \langle\theta^{(i)}|\mathrm{Tr}\bar{\Psi}\Psi|\theta^{(j)}\rangle$$

5.1 The complex field contribution.

Let us consider

$$\langle\theta^{(0)}|\psi_L^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\theta^{(0)}\rangle = \sum_{N,M=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(M-N)\theta} \, \langle\Omega_M^{(0)}|\psi_L^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle \ .$$

From the bosonized expressions (2.62–2.63) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\Omega_M^{(0)}|\psi_L^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle &= \frac{1}{2L}\langle\Omega_M^{(0)}|\sigma_L^\dagger\sigma_R|\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2L}\langle 0| \left(e^{-\frac{i\pi\sqrt{2}}{g\sqrt{L}}b_0^3}\right)^{N-M} \left(\sigma_L^\dagger\sigma_R\right)^{N+1-M} |0\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2L}\langle 0|e^{\frac{i\pi\sqrt{2}}{g\sqrt{L}}b_0^3}|0\rangle\delta_{M,N+1} \ . \end{aligned}$$

Using the relation

$$e^{A+B} = e^A e^B e^{-\frac{1}{2}[A,B]} \qquad \text{if} \quad [A,B] = c - \text{number}$$

we can write

$$\langle 0|e^{\frac{i\pi\sqrt{2}}{g\sqrt{L}}b_0^3}|0\rangle = \langle 0|e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{mL}}(A_0^3)^\dagger} e^{\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{mL}}A_0^3} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}}|0\rangle = e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}}$$

so that

$$\langle\Omega_M^{(0)}|\psi_L^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle = \frac{1}{2L}e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}}\delta_{M,N+1} \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$\langle\theta^{(0)}|\psi_L^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\theta^{(0)}\rangle = \frac{1}{2L}\sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\theta}\, e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}}\ .$$

Analogously, from

$$\langle\Omega_M^{(0)}|\psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_L(0,\mathrm{x})|\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle = \frac{1}{2L}e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}}\delta_{N,M+1}$$

we get

$$\langle\theta^{(0)}|\psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_L(0,\mathrm{x})|\theta^{(0)}\rangle = \frac{1}{2L}\sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\theta}\, e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}}\ .$$

and finally

$$\langle\theta^{(0)}|i\left(\psi_L^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) - \psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\right)|\theta^{(0)}\rangle = -\frac{1}{L}\sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty}\sin\theta e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}} \qquad (5.2)$$

Note that

$$\langle\theta^{(0)}|\theta^{(0)}\rangle = \sum_{N,M=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(M-N)\theta}\,\langle\Omega_M^{(0)}|\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle = \sum_{N,M=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(M-N)\theta}\delta_{M,N} = \sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty} 1 \qquad (5.3)$$

so that the infinite sum over N disappears when we divide by the norm of the θ-vacuum:

$$\frac{\langle\theta^{(0)}|\, i\left(\psi_L^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) - \psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\right)|\theta^{(0)}\rangle}{\langle\theta^{(0)}|\theta^{(0)}\rangle} = -\frac{1}{L}\sin\theta e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}}\ .$$

One immediately sees that

$$\langle\theta^{(0)}|\, i\left(\psi_L^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) - \psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\right)|\theta^{(1)}\rangle = 0\ .$$

Let us consider now

$$\langle\theta^{(1)}|\,\psi_L^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\theta^{(1)}\rangle = \sum_{N,M=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(M-N)\theta}\,\langle\Omega_M^{(1)}|\psi_L^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\Omega_N^{(1)}\rangle\ .$$

We have

$$\langle\Omega^{(1)}_M|\,\psi^\dagger_L(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\Omega^{(1)}_N\rangle = \frac{g^2}{mL}\Bigg\{-m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega^{(0)}_M|C^3_J\psi^\dagger_L(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C^3_J)^\dagger|\Omega^{(0)}_N\rangle}{2(k_J)^3}$$

$$+\sum_{n,p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega^{(0)}_M|C^+_{p+M}\psi^\dagger_L(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C^+_{n+N})^\dagger|\Omega^{(0)}_N\rangle}{(2k_n+m)(2k_p+m)}\delta_{p+M,n+N}$$

$$+\sum_{n,p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega^{(0)}_M|C^-_{p-M}\psi^\dagger_L(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C^-_{n-N})^\dagger|\Omega^{(0)}_N\rangle}{(2k_n+m)(2k_p+m)}\delta_{p-M,n-N}\Bigg\}$$

Using the bosonized form for ψ and the fact that

$$e^A B = Be^A + [A,B]e^A \qquad \text{if } [A,B] = c - \text{number}$$

it is easy to prove that

$$\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C^3_N)^\dagger = \left((C^3_N)^\dagger + e^{ik_N\mathrm{x}}\right)\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) \tag{5.4}$$

$$C^3_N\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) = \psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})\left(C^3_N - e^{-ik_N\mathrm{x}}\right) \tag{5.5}$$

so that, considering that $[\psi_L, C^3_N] = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\Omega^{(0)}_M|C^3_J\psi^\dagger_L(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C^3_J)^\dagger|\Omega^{(0)}_N\rangle &= \langle\Omega^{(0)}_M|\psi^\dagger_L(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})C^3_J(C^3_J)^\dagger|\Omega^{(0)}_N\rangle \\ &\quad -e^{-ik_J\mathrm{x}}\langle\Omega^{(0)}_M|\psi^\dagger_L(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C^3_J)^\dagger|\Omega^{(0)}_N\rangle \\ &= (J-1)\langle\Omega^{(0)}_M|\psi^\dagger_L(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\Omega^{(0)}_N\rangle\end{aligned}$$

and from (5.1)

$$\langle\Omega^{(0)}_M|C^3_J\psi^\dagger_L(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C^3_J)^\dagger|\Omega^{(0)}_N\rangle = (J-1)\frac{1}{2L}e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}}\delta_{M,N+1}\ . \tag{5.6}$$

Let us consider now the + and − terms.

The following commutation relations can easily be verified:

$$[b_m, C^+_n] = -r_{n+m} \tag{5.7}$$

$$[b_m^\dagger, C_n^+] = [b_n, C_m^-] = 0 \tag{5.8}$$

$$[b_m^\dagger, C_n^-] = r_{m-n}^\dagger \theta(m-n+\frac{1}{2}) + r_{n-m}\theta(n-m+\frac{1}{2}) \tag{5.9}$$

$$[d_m, C_n^-] = r_{n+m} \tag{5.10}$$

$$[d_m^\dagger, C_n^-] = [d_n, C_m^+] = 0 \tag{5.11}$$

$$[d_m^\dagger, C_n^+] = -r_{m-n}^\dagger \theta(m-n+\frac{1}{2}) - r_{n-m}\theta(n-m+\frac{1}{2}) \tag{5.12}$$

As a consequence

$$[\psi_R, C_n^-] = [\psi_R, (C_n^+)^\dagger] = 0$$

$$[\psi_R^\dagger, C_n^+] = [\psi_R^\dagger, (C_n^-)^\dagger] = 0 \; .$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{n,p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \Omega_M^{(0)} | C_{p+M}^+ \psi_L^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C_{n+N}^+)^\dagger | \Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle}{(2k_n+m)(2k_p+m)}\, \delta_{p+M,n+N} =$$

$$= \sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \Omega_M^{(0)} | C_{p+M}^+ (C_{p+M}^+)^\dagger \psi_L^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) | \Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle}{(2k_{p+M-N}+m)(2k_p+m)}\, \theta(p+M-N)$$

and

$$\sum_{n,p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \Omega_M^{(0)} | C_{p-M}^- \psi_L^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C_{n-N}^-)^\dagger | \Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle}{(2k_n+m)(2k_p+m)}\, \delta_{p-M,n-N} =$$

$$= \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \Omega_M^{(0)} | \psi_L^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) C_{n-N}^- (C_{n-N}^-)^\dagger | \Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle}{(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+M-N}+m)}\, \theta(n+M-N) \; .$$

From the fact that $C_n^\pm|0\rangle = 0$, by applying the unitary operator T^N we can see that

$$0 = T^N C_n^\pm |0\rangle = C_{n\pm N}^\pm |\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle \; .$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{n\pm N}^\pm (C_{n\pm N}^\pm)^\dagger |\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle &= \left((C_{n\pm N}^\pm)^\dagger C_{n\pm N}^\pm + \left[C_{n\pm N}^\pm, (C_{n\pm N}^\pm)^\dagger \right] \right) |\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle \\ &= \left(\pm C_0^3 + n \pm N \right) |\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle \end{aligned}$$

and, since $C_0^3|\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle = -N|\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle$,

$$C_{n\pm N}^{\pm}(C_{n\pm N}^{\pm})^{\dagger}|\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle = n|\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle \ . \tag{5.13}$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{n,p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\langle\Omega_M^{(0)}|C_{p+M}^{+}\psi_L^{\dagger}(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C_{n+N}^{+})^{\dagger}|\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle}{(2k_p+m)(2k_n+m)}\,\delta_{p+M,n+N} =$$

$$= \sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{p\langle\Omega_M^{(0)}|\psi_L^{\dagger}(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle}{(2k_p+m)(2k_{p+M-N}+m)}\,\theta(p+M-N) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2L}e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}} \sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{p}{(2k_p+m)(2k_{p+M-N}+m)}\,\theta(p+M-N)\delta_{M,N+1} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2L}e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}} \sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{p}{(2k_p+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)}\delta_{M,N+1}$$

and

$$\sum_{n,p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\langle\Omega_M^{(0)}|C_{p-M}^{-}\psi_L^{\dagger}(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C_{n-N}^{-})^{\dagger}|\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle}{(2k_p+m)(2k_n+m)}\,\delta_{p-M,n-N} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2L}e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}} \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{n}{(2k_{n+M-N}+m)(2k_n+m)}\,\theta(n+M-N)\delta_{M,N+1} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2L}e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}} \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{n}{(2k_{n+1}+m)(2k_n+m)}\,\theta(n+1)\delta_{M,N+1} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2L}e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}} \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{n}{(2k_{n+1}+m)(2k_n+m)}\,\delta_{M,N+1} \ .$$

Finally we get

$$\langle\theta^{(1)}|\, i\psi_L^{\dagger}(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\theta^{(1)}\rangle = \frac{ig^2}{2mL^2}e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}} \sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\theta}\Bigg\{ \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{2n}{(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)} \\ -m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{(J-1)}{2k_J^3}\Bigg\}$$

Taking the complex conjugate gives

$$-\langle\theta^{(1)}|\, i\psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_L(0,\mathrm{x})|\theta^{(1)}\rangle = -\frac{ig^2}{2mL^2}e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}}\sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\theta}\Bigg\{\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{2n}{(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)} - m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{(J-1)}{2k_J^3}\Bigg\}$$

and therefore

$$\langle\theta^{(1)}|\, i\left(\psi_L^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) - \psi_L^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})\right)|\theta^{(1)}\rangle =$$

$$-\frac{g^2}{mL^2}e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}}\sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty}\sin\theta\Bigg\{\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{2n}{(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)} - m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{(J-1)}{2k_J^3}\Bigg\}. \quad (5.14)$$

Let us calculate the contribution of $|\theta^{(1)}\rangle$ to the norm of $|\theta\rangle$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\Omega_M^{(1)}|\Omega_N^{(1)}\rangle &= \frac{g^2}{mL}\Bigg\{-m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega_M^{(0)}|C_J^3(C_J^3)^\dagger|\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle}{2k_J^3}\\ &\quad+\sum_{n,p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega_M^{(0)}|C_{p+M}^+(C_{n+N}^+)^\dagger|\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle}{(2k_n+m)(2k_p+m)}\delta_{p+M,n+N}\\ &\quad+\sum_{n,p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega_M^{(0)}|C_{p-M}^-(C_{n-N}^-)^\dagger|\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle}{(2k_n+m)(2k_p+m)}\delta_{p-M,n-N}\Bigg\}\\ &= \frac{g^2}{mL}\Bigg\{\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{-mJ}{2k_J^3}\right)\delta_{M,N} + \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{2n}{(2k_n+m)^2}\delta_{M,N}\Bigg\}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\langle\theta^{(1)}|\theta^{(1)}\rangle = \frac{g^2}{mL}\sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty}\Bigg\{-\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{mJ}{2k_J^3} + \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{2n}{(2k_n+m)^2}\Bigg\} \quad (5.15)$$

Let us consider now $\langle\theta^{(1)}|\,\psi_L^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\theta^{(2)}\rangle$. The only term in $|\Omega_N^{(2)}\rangle$ that contributes to $\langle\Omega_M^{(1)}|\,\psi_L^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\Omega_N^{(2)}\rangle$ is

$$\frac{g^2}{mL}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\left((A_0^3)^\dagger - \frac{N\pi\sqrt{m}}{g\sqrt{L}}\right)\left(-(A_{n+N}^-)^\dagger(C_{n+N}^+)^\dagger + (A_{n-N}^+)^\dagger(C_{n-N}^-)^\dagger\right)}{2(k_n+m)(2k_n+m)}|\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle$$

and so

$$\langle\Omega^{(1)}_M|\,\psi^\dagger_L(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\Omega^{(2)}_N\rangle =$$

$$-\frac{g^3}{(mL)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\Bigg\{\sum_{n,p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega^{(0)}_M|C^-_{p-M}\left((A^3_0)^\dagger-\frac{N\pi\sqrt{m}}{g\sqrt{L}}\right)\psi^\dagger_L(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C^-_{n-N})^\dagger|\Omega^{(0)}_N\rangle}{2(2k_p+m)(k_n+m)(2k_n+m)}\,\delta_{p-M,n-N}$$

$$-\sum_{n,p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega^{(0)}_M|C^+_{p+M}\left((A^3_0)^\dagger-\frac{N\pi\sqrt{m}}{g\sqrt{L}}\right)\psi^\dagger_L(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C^+_{n+N})^\dagger|\Omega^{(0)}_N\rangle}{2(2k_p+m)(k_n+m)(2k_n+m)}\,\delta_{p+M,n+N}\Bigg\}\,.$$

We can write

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\Omega^{(0)}_M|C^\pm_{p+M}\left((A^3_0)^\dagger-\frac{N\pi\sqrt{m}}{g\sqrt{L}}\right) &= \langle 0|C^\pm_p T^{-M}\left((A^3_0)^\dagger-\frac{N\pi\sqrt{m}}{g\sqrt{L}}\right)\\ &= \langle 0|C^\pm_p\left((A^3_0)^\dagger+\frac{(M-N)\pi\sqrt{m}}{g\sqrt{L}}\right)T^{-M}\\ &= \frac{(M-N)\pi\sqrt{m}}{g\sqrt{L}}\langle\Omega^{(0)}_M|C^\pm_{p\pm M} \qquad (5.16)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\langle\Omega^{(1)}_M|\,\psi^\dagger_L(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\Omega^{(2)}_N\rangle =$$

$$\begin{aligned}&-\frac{(M-N)g^2\pi}{mL^2}\Bigg\{\sum_{n,p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega^{(0)}_M|C^-_{p-M}\psi^\dagger_L(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C^-_{n-N})^\dagger|\Omega^{(0)}_N\rangle}{2(2k_p+m)(k_n+m)(2k_n+m)}\,\delta_{p-M,n-N}\\ &\qquad-\sum_{n,p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega^{(0)}_M|C^+_{p+M}\psi^\dagger_L(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C^+_{n+N})^\dagger|\Omega^{(0)}_N\rangle}{2(2k_p+m)(k_n+m)(2k_n+m)}\,\delta_{p+M,n+N}\Bigg\}\\ &=-\frac{g^2\pi}{2mL^3}e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}}\Bigg\{\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{n}{2(2k_{n+1}+m)(k_n+m)(2k_n+m)}\,\delta_{M,N+1}\\ &\qquad-\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{p}{2(2k_p+m)(k_{p+1}+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)}\delta_{M,N+1}\Bigg\}\,.\end{aligned}$$

We also need

$$\langle\Omega^{(1)}_M|\,\psi^\dagger_R(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_L(0,\mathrm{x})|\Omega^{(2)}_N\rangle =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{(M-N)g^2\pi}{mL^2}\left\{\sum_{n,p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega^{(0)}_M|C^-_{p-M}\psi^\dagger_R(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_L(0,\mathrm{x})(C^-_{n-N})^\dagger|\Omega^{(0)}_N\rangle}{2(2k_p+m)(k_n+m)(2k_n+m)}\,\delta_{p-M,n-N}\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad\left.-\sum_{n,p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega^{(0)}_M|C^+_{p+M}\psi^\dagger_R(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_L(0,\mathrm{x})(C^+_{n+N})^\dagger|\Omega^{(0)}_N\rangle}{2(2k_p+m)(k_n+m)(2k_n+m)}\,\delta_{p+M,n+N}\right\}\\
&= -\frac{(M-N)g^2\pi}{mL^2}\left\{\sum_{n,p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega^{(0)}_M|C^-_{p-M}(C^-_{n-N})^\dagger\psi^\dagger_R(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_L(0,\mathrm{x})|\Omega^{(0)}_N\rangle}{2(2k_p+m)(k_n+m)(2k_n+m)}\,\delta_{p-M,n-N}\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad\left.-\sum_{n,p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega^{(0)}_M|\psi^\dagger_R(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_L(0,\mathrm{x})C^+_{p+M}(C^+_{n+N})^\dagger|\Omega^{(0)}_N\rangle}{2(2k_p+m)(k_n+m)(2k_n+m)}\,\delta_{p+M,n+N}\right\}\\
&= -\frac{(M-N)g^2\pi}{mL^2}\left\{\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{p\langle\Omega^{(0)}_M|\psi^\dagger_R(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_L(0,\mathrm{x})|\Omega^{(0)}_N\rangle}{2(2k_p+m)(k_{p+N-M}+m)(2k_{p+N-M}+m)}\,\theta(p+N-M)\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad\left.-\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{n\langle\Omega^{(0)}_M|\psi^\dagger_R(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_L(0,\mathrm{x})|\Omega^{(0)}_N\rangle}{2(2k_{n+N-M}+m)(k_n+m)(2k_n+m)}\,\theta(n+N-M)\right\}\\
&= \frac{g^2\pi}{2mL^3}e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}}\delta_{N,M+1}\left\{\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{p}{2(2k_{p+1}+m)(k_{p+1}+m)(2k_p+m)}\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\left.-\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{n}{2(2k_n+m)(k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)}\right\}
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\langle\theta^{(1)}|\,i\left(\psi^\dagger_L(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})-\psi^\dagger_R(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\right)|\theta^{(2)}\rangle =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{g^2\pi}{mL^3}e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}}\sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty}\sin\theta\left\{\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{n}{2(2k_{n+1}+m)(k_n+m)(2k_n+m)}\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\left.-\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{n}{2(2k_n+m)(k_{n+1}+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)}\right\}\\
&= \frac{g^2\pi^2}{mL^4}e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}}\sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty}\sin\theta\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{n}{2(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(k_n+m)(k_{n+1}+m)}\;.
\end{aligned}\tag{5.17}$$

Moreover, since $\langle\theta^{(2)}|\, i\left(\psi_L^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) - \psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\right)|\theta^{(1)}\rangle$ can be obtained from this quantity by complex conjugation, we see that we must have

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle\theta^{(2)}|\, i\left(\psi_L^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) - \psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\right)|\theta^{(1)}\rangle\\ &= \langle\theta^{(1)}|\, i\left(\psi_L^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) - \psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\right)|\theta^{(2)}\rangle\ .\end{aligned}$$

No contribution to the norm is given by $\langle\theta^{(1)}|\theta^{(2)}\rangle$ and $\langle\theta^{(2)}|\theta^{(1)}\rangle$. As a matter of fact

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\Omega_M^{(1)}|\Omega_N^{(2)}\rangle &= -\frac{(M-N)g^2\pi}{mL^2}\Bigg\{\sum_{n,p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega_M^{(0)}|C_{p-M}^-(C_{n-N}^-)^\dagger|\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle}{2(2k_p+m)(k_n+m)(2k_n+m)}\,\delta_{p-M,n-N}\\ &\qquad -\sum_{n,p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega_M^{(0)}|C_{p+M}^+(C_{n+N}^+)^\dagger|\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle}{2(2k_p+m)(k_n+m)(2k_n+m)}\,\delta_{p+M,n+N}\Bigg\}\\ &= -\frac{(M-N)g^2\pi}{mL^2}\Bigg\{\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{n}{2(2k_{n+1}+m)(k_n+m)(2k_n+m)}\,\delta_{M,N}\\ &\qquad -\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{n}{2(2k_n+m)(k_{n+1}+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)}\delta_{M,N}\Bigg\} = 0\end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\langle\theta^{(1)}|\theta^{(2)}\rangle = \langle\theta^{(2)}|\theta^{(1)}\rangle = 0\ .$$

In order to calculate $\langle\theta^{(2)}|\, i\left(\psi_L^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) - \psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_L(o,\mathrm{x})\right)|\theta^{(2)}\rangle$ it is convenient to write $\langle\Omega_M^{(2)}|\,\psi_L^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\Omega_N^{(2)}\rangle$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\Omega_M^{(2)}|\,\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|\Omega_N^{(2)}\rangle &= \langle\Omega_M^{(2)}|\,\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|\Omega_N^{(2)}\rangle_{33} + \langle\Omega_M^{(2)}|\,\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|\Omega_N^{(2)}\rangle_{++}\\ &\quad + \langle\Omega_M^{(2)}|\,\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|\Omega_N^{(2)}\rangle_{--} + \langle\Omega_M^{(2)}|\,\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|\Omega_N^{(2)}\rangle_{3+}\\ &\quad + \langle\Omega_M^{(2)}|\,\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|\Omega_N^{(2)}\rangle_{3-} + \langle\Omega_M^{(2)}|\,\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|\Omega_N^{(2)}\rangle_{+-}\ .\end{aligned}$$

It is understood that ψ is to be evaluated at $(0,\mathrm{x})$.

One can verify that

$$\langle\Omega_M^{(2)}|\,\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|\Omega_N^{(2)}\rangle_{33}=\frac{g^4}{L^2}\sum_{I,J=1}^{\infty}\frac{8}{(2k_I)^3(2k_J)^3}\langle\Omega_M^{(0)}|\,C_J^3C_I^3\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_J^3)^\dagger(C_I^3)^\dagger|\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle$$

$$\langle\Omega_M^{(2)}|\,\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|\Omega_N^{(2)}\rangle_{\pm\pm}=$$

$$\frac{g^4}{m^2L^2}\sum_{l,p,n,j=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega_M^{(0)}|\,C_{n\pm M}^{\pm}C_{p\pm M}^{\pm}\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_{l\pm N}^{\pm})^\dagger(C_{j\pm N}^{\pm})^\dagger|\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle}{4(2k_p+m)(2k_n+m)(2k_l+m)(2k_j+m)}(\delta_{n\pm M,l\pm N}\delta_{p\pm M,j\pm N}$$
$$+\delta_{n\pm M,j\pm N}\delta_{p\pm M,l\pm N})$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\Omega_M^{(2)}|\,\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|\Omega_N^{(2)}\rangle_{3\pm}=\frac{2g^4}{mL^2}\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\Bigg\{&\sum_{p,n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega_M^{(0)}|C_{p\pm M}^{\pm}C_J^3\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_J^3)^\dagger(C_{n\pm N}^{\pm})^\dagger|\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle}{(2k_p+m)(2k_n+m)}\\ &\Bigg(-\frac{1}{(2k_p+2k_J+m)^2(2k_n+2k_J+m)}\\ &-\frac{1}{(2k_p+2k_J+m)(2k_n+2k_J+m)^2}\Bigg)\delta_{p\pm M,n\pm N}\\ &-\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega_M^{(0)}|C_{p\pm M}^{\pm}C_J^3\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_{n\pm N}^{\pm})^\dagger(C_J^3)^\dagger|\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle}{(2k_p+m)}\\ &\Bigg(\frac{1}{(2k_J)^2(2k_p+2k_J+m)(2k_n+2k_J+m)}\\ &+\frac{1}{(2k_J)(2k_p+2k_J+m)^2(2k_n+2k_J+m)}\\ &+\frac{1}{(2k_J)(2k_p+2k_J+m)(2k_n+2k_J+m)^2}\Bigg)\delta_{p\pm M,n\pm N}\\ &-\sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega_M^{(0)}|C_J^3C_{p\pm M}^{\pm}\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_J^3)^\dagger(C_{n\pm N}^{\pm})^\dagger|\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle}{(2k_n+m)}\\ &\Bigg(\frac{1}{(2k_J)^2(2k_p+2k_J+m)(2k_n+2k_J+m)}\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{1}{(2k_J)(2k_p+2k_J+m)^2(2k_n+2k_J+m)}\\
&+\frac{1}{(2k_J)(2k_p+2k_J+m)(2k_n+2k_J+m)^2}\Bigg)\delta_{p\pm M,n\pm N}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
+\sum_{p,n=-\infty}^{\infty}\langle\Omega_M^{(0)}|C_J^3C_{p\pm M}^{\pm}\psi_L^{\dagger}\psi_R(C_{n\pm N}^{\pm})^{\dagger}(C_J^3)^{\dagger}|\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle\Bigg(&-\frac{2}{(2k_J)^3(2k_p+2k_J+m)^2}\\
&-\frac{1}{(2k_J)^2(2k_p+2k_J+m)^2(2k_n+2k_J+m)}\\
&-\frac{1}{(2k_J)^2(2k_p+2k_J+m)(2k_n+2k_J+m)^2}\Bigg)\delta_{p\pm M,n\pm N}\Bigg\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+\sum_{n,p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega_M^{(0)}|C_{p\pm M}^{\pm}\left(A_0^3-\frac{M\pi\sqrt{m}}{g\sqrt{L}}\right)\psi_L^{\dagger}\psi_R\left((A_0^3)^{\dagger}-\frac{N\pi\sqrt{m}}{g\sqrt{L}}\right)(C_{n\pm N}^{\pm})^{\dagger}|\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle}{4(k_p+m)(2k_p+m)(k_n+m)(2k_n+m)}\delta_{p\pm M,n\pm N}
$$

$$
\langle\Omega_M^{(2)}|\,\psi_L^{\dagger}\psi_R|\Omega_N^{(2)}\rangle_{+-}=
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{g^4}{m^2L^2}\sum_{l,p=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{n,j=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\Bigg\{&\frac{\langle\Omega_M^{(0)}|\,C_{n-M}^{-}C_{p+M}^{+}\psi_L^{\dagger}\psi_R(C_{l+N}^{+})^{\dagger}(C_{j-N}^{-})^{\dagger}|\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle}{4(k_p+k_n+m)(2k_n+m)(k_l+k_j+m)(2k_j+m)}\delta_{n-M,j-N}\delta_{p+M,l+N}\\
&+\frac{\langle\Omega_M^{(0)}|\,C_{n+M}^{+}C_{p-M}^{-}\psi_L^{\dagger}\psi_R(C_{l+N}^{+})^{\dagger}(C_{j-N}^{-})^{\dagger}|\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle}{4(k_p+k_n+m)(2k_n+m)(k_l+k_j+m)(2k_j+m)}\delta_{n+M,l+N}\delta_{p-M,j-N}\\
&+\frac{\langle\Omega_M^{(0)}|\,C_{n-M}^{-}C_{p+M}^{+}\psi_L^{\dagger}\psi_R(C_{l-N}^{-})^{\dagger}(C_{j+N}^{+})^{\dagger}|\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle}{4(k_p+k_n+m)(2k_n+m)(k_l+k_j+m)(2k_j+m)}\delta_{n-M,l-N}\delta_{p+M,j+N}\\
&+\frac{\langle\Omega_M^{(0)}|\,C_{n+M}^{+}C_{p-M}^{-}\psi_L^{\dagger}\psi_R(C_{l-N}^{-})^{\dagger}(C_{j+N}^{+})^{\dagger}|\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle}{4(k_p+k_n+m)(2k_n+m)(k_l+k_j+m)(2k_j+m)}\delta_{n+M,j+N}\delta_{p-M,l-N}\Bigg\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The calculation of $\langle\Omega_M^{(2)}|\,\psi_L^{\dagger}(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\Omega_N^{(2)}\rangle$ can be simplified by noting that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\langle\Omega_M^{(2)}|\,\psi_L^{\dagger}(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\Omega_N^{(2)}\rangle=\\
&\langle\Omega_1^{(2)}|\,\psi_L^{\dagger}(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle\delta_{M,N+1}\ .
\end{aligned}
$$

As a matter of fact we can write

$$\langle\Omega^{(2)}_M|\,\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R|\Omega^{(2)}_N\rangle = \langle\Omega^{(2)}_M|T^N T^{-N}\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R T^N|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle$$

and, since the product $\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R$ is gauge invariant,

$$\langle\Omega^{(2)}_M|\,\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R|\Omega^{(2)}_N\rangle = \langle\Omega^{(2)}_M|T^N\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle = \langle\Omega^{(2)}_{M-N}|\,\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle$$

Moreover, from

$$[D^3_0,\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R] = \psi^\dagger_L\psi_R\ , \quad [D^3_0, C^{3,\pm}] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad D^3_0|\Omega^{(0)}_N\rangle = N|\Omega^{(0)}_N\rangle$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} &D^3_0|\Omega^{(2)}_N\rangle = N|\Omega^{(2)}_N\rangle \\ &D^3_0\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R|\Omega^{(2)}_N\rangle = (N+1)|\Omega^{(0)}_N\rangle\ . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the states $|\Omega^{(2)}_{M-N}\rangle$ and $\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle$, being eigenstates of the self-adjoint operator D^3_0 with eigenvalues $M-N$ and 1 respectively, must be orthogonal unless $M-N=1$.

We therefore have

$$\langle\Omega^{(0)}_M|\,C^3_J C^3_I\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R(C^3_I)^\dagger(C^3_J)^\dagger|\Omega^{(0)}_N\rangle = \langle\Omega^{(0)}_1|\,C^3_J C^3_I\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R(C^3_I)^\dagger(C^3_J)^\dagger|0\rangle\delta_{M,N+1}$$

and using (5.4), (5.5) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\Omega^{(0)}_1|\,C^3_J C^3_I\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R(C^3_I)^\dagger(C^3_J)^\dagger|0\rangle &= \langle\Omega^{(0)}_1|\,C^3_J\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R\left(C^3_I - e^{-ik_I\mathrm{x}}\right)(C^3_I)^\dagger(C^3_J)^\dagger|0\rangle \\ &= I\langle\Omega^{(0)}_1|\,C^3_J\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R(C^3_J)^\dagger|0\rangle + I\delta_{IJ}\langle\Omega^{(0)}_1|\,C^3_J\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R(C^3_I)^\dagger|0\rangle \\ &\qquad -e^{-ik_I\mathrm{x}}\langle\Omega^{(0)}_1|\,C^3_J\left((C^3_I)^\dagger + e^{ik_I\mathrm{x}}\right)\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R(C^3_J)^\dagger|0\rangle \\ &= (I + I\delta_{IJ} - 1)\langle\Omega^{(0)}_1|\,C^3_J\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R(C^3_J)^\dagger|0\rangle \\ &\qquad -e^{-ik_I\mathrm{x}}I\delta_{IJ}\langle\Omega^{(0)}_1|\,\left((C^3_J)^\dagger + e^{ik_I\mathrm{x}}\right)\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R|0\rangle \end{aligned}$$

and from (5.1), (5.6)

$$\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\, C_J^3 C_I^3 \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R (C_I^3)^\dagger (C_J^3)^\dagger |0\rangle = [(I + I\delta_{IJ} - 1)(J-1) - I\delta_{IJ}]\, \frac{1}{2L} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}} \ .$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\Omega_M^{(2)}|\, \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R |\Omega_N^{(2)}\rangle_{33} = \frac{g^4}{L^2}\frac{1}{2L} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}} \delta_{M,N+1} \Bigg\{ & \sum_{I,J=1}^{\infty} \frac{8}{(2k_I)^3 (2k_J)^3} (IJ - I - J + 1) \\ & + \sum_{J=1}^{\infty} \frac{8}{(2k_J)^6} (J^2 - 2J) \Bigg\} \ .\end{aligned}$$

Let us consider now $\langle\Omega_1^{(2)}|\, \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R |\Omega^{(2)}\rangle_{++}$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\Omega_1^{(2)}|\, \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R |\Omega^{(2)}\rangle_{++} &= \frac{g^4}{m^2L^2} \sum_{p,n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\, C_{n+1}^+ C_{p+1}^+ \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R (C_{p+1}^+)^\dagger (C_{n+1}^+)^\dagger |0\rangle}{2(2k_p + m)(2k_n + m)(2k_{p+1} + m)(2k_{n+1} + m)} \\ & \frac{g^4}{m^2L^2} \sum_{p,n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\, C_{n+1}^+ C_{p+1}^+ (C_{p+1}^+)^\dagger (C_{n+1}^+)^\dagger \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R |0\rangle}{2(2k_p + m)(2k_n + m)(2k_{p+1} + m)(2k_{n+1} + m)} \ .\end{aligned}$$

Using the commutators (2.42-2.45), relation (5.13) and the fact that

$$C_0^3 |\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle = -N |\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle$$

we can write

$$\begin{aligned} C_{n+1}^+ C_{p+1}^+ (C_{p+1}^+)^\dagger (C_{n+1}^+)^\dagger |\Omega_1^{(0)}\rangle &= C_{p+1}^+ (C_{p+1}^+)^\dagger C_{n+1}^+ (C_{n+1}^+)^\dagger |\Omega_1^{(0)}\rangle \\ &\quad + C_{p+1}^+ \Big(C_{n-p}^3 + (n+1)\delta_{pn} \Big) (C_{n+1}^+)^\dagger |\Omega_1^{(0)}\rangle \\ &= \Big(np + n(n+1)\delta_{pn} \Big) |\Omega_1^{(0)}\rangle + \Big(C_{n-p}^3 C_{p+1}^+ - C_{n+1}^+ \Big) (C_{n+1}^+)^\dagger |\Omega_1^{(0)}\rangle \\ &= \Big(np + n(n+1)\delta_{pn} - n \Big) |\Omega_1^{(0)}\rangle + C_{n-p}^3 \Big(C_{p-n}^3 + (n+1)\delta_{np} \Big) |\Omega_1^{(0)}\rangle \\ &= \Big(np + n(n+1)\delta_{pn} - n + (n-p)\theta(n-p) + \delta_{np} - (n+1)\delta_{np} \Big) |\Omega_1^{(0)}\rangle \\ &= \Big(np + n^2\delta_{pn} - n + (n-p)\theta(n-p) \Big) |\Omega_1^{(0)}\rangle \end{aligned}$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\Omega_1^{(2)}|\,\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle_{++} &= \frac{g^4 e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}}}{4m^2L^3}\sum_{p,n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{np+n^2\delta_{pn}-n+(n-p)\theta(n-p)}{(2k_p+m)(2k_n+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)} \\
&= \frac{g^4 e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}}}{4m^2L^3}\left\{\sum_{p,n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{np-n}{(2k_p+m)(2k_n+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)}\right. \\
&\qquad +\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{n-1}\frac{n-p}{(2k_p+m)(2k_n+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)} \\
&\qquad \left.+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{n^2}{(2k_n+m)^2(2k_{n+1}+m)^2}\right\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

The same result holds for $\langle\Omega_1^{(2)}|\,\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle_{--}$.

We then have

$$
\langle\Omega_M^{(2)}|\,\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|\Omega_N^{(2)}\rangle_{\pm\pm} = \langle\Omega_1^{(2)}|\,\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle_{++}\delta_{M,N+1}
$$

$\langle\Omega_1^{(2)}|\,\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle_{3+}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\langle\Omega_1^{(2)}|\,\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle_{3+} = \\
&\frac{2g^4}{mL^2}\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\left\{-\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C_{p+1}^{+}C_J^3\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_J^3)^\dagger(C_p^+)^\dagger|0\rangle}{(2k_p+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)}\left(\frac{1}{(2k_{p+J}+m)^2(2k_{p+J+1}+m)}\right.\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left.+\frac{1}{(2k_{p+J}+m)(2k_{p+J+1}+m)^2}\right) \\
&\quad -\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C_{p+1}^{+}C_J^3\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_{p+1}^+)^\dagger(C_J^3)^\dagger|0\rangle}{(2k_p+m)}\left(\frac{1}{(2k_J)^2(2k_{p+J}+m)(2k_{p+J+1}+m)}\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad +\frac{1}{(2k_J)(2k_{p+J}+m)^2(2k_{p+J+1}+m)} \\
&\qquad\qquad \left.+\frac{1}{(2k_J)(2k_{p+J}+m)(2k_{p+J+1}+m)^2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$-\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C_J^3C_{p+1}^{+}\psi_L^{\dagger}\psi_R(C_J^3)^{\dagger}(C_{p+1}^{+})^{\dagger}|0\rangle}{(2k_{p+1}+m)}\left(\frac{1}{(2k_J)^2(2k_{p+J}+m)(2k_{p+J+1}+m)}\right.$$

$$+\frac{1}{(2k_J)(2k_{p+J}+m)^2(2k_{p+J+1}+m)}$$

$$\left.+\frac{1}{(2k_J)(2k_{p+J}+m)(2k_{p+J+1}+m)^2}\right)$$

$$-\frac{\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C_J^3C_{\frac{1}{2}}^{+}\psi_L^{\dagger}\psi_R(C_J^3)^{\dagger}(C_{\frac{1}{2}}^{+})^{\dagger}|0\rangle}{(2k_{\frac{1}{2}}+m)}\left(\frac{1}{(2k_J)^2(2k_{J-\frac{1}{2}}+m)(2k_{J+\frac{1}{2}}+m)}\right.$$

$$+\frac{1}{(2k_J)(2k_{J-\frac{1}{2}}+m)^2(2k_{J+\frac{1}{2}}+m)}$$

$$\left.+\frac{1}{(2k_J)(2k_{J-\frac{1}{2}}+m)(2k_{J+\frac{1}{2}}+m)^2}\right)$$

$$-\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C_J^3C_{p+1}^{+}\psi_L^{\dagger}\psi_R(C_{p+1}^{+})^{\dagger}(C_J^3)^{\dagger}|0\rangle\left(\frac{2}{(2k_J)^3(2k_{p+J}+m)(2k_{p+J+1}+m)}\right.$$

$$+\frac{1}{(2k_J)^2(2k_{p+J}+m)^2(2k_{p+J+1}+m)}$$

$$\left.+\frac{1}{(2k_J)^2(2k_{p+J}+m)(2k_{p+J+1}+m)^2}\right)$$

$$-\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C_J^3(C_{p-1}^{-})^{\dagger}\psi_L^{\dagger}\psi_RC_{p-1}^{-}(C_J^3)^{\dagger}|0\rangle\left(\frac{2}{(2k_J)^3(2k_{J-p}+m)(2k_{J-p+1}+m)}\right.$$

$$+\frac{1}{(2k_J)^2(2k_{J-p}+m)^2(2k_{J-p+1}+m)}$$

$$\left.\left.+\frac{1}{(2k_J)^2(2k_{J-p}+m)(2k_{J-p+1}+m)^2}\right)\right\}$$

$$+\frac{g^4}{m^2L^2}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C_{p+1}^{+}\left(A_0^3-\frac{\pi\sqrt{m}}{g\sqrt{L}}\right)\psi_L^{\dagger}\psi_R(A_0^3)^{\dagger}(C_{p+1}^{+})^{\dagger}||0\rangle\rangle}{4(k_p+m)(2k_p+m)(k_{p+1}+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)}$$

We can write

$$\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^+_{p+1}C^3_J\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R(C^3_J)^\dagger(C^+_{p+1})^\dagger|0\rangle =$$

$$= \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^+_{p+1}C^3_J\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R(C^+_{p+1})^\dagger(C^3_J)^\dagger|0\rangle - \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^+_{p+1}C^3_J\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R(C^+_{p+1+J})^\dagger|0\rangle$$

$$\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^3_JC^+_{p+1}\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R(C^3_J)^\dagger(C^+_{p+1})^\dagger|0\rangle =$$

$$\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^+_{p+1}C^3_J\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R(C^3_J)^\dagger(C^+_{p+1})^\dagger|0\rangle + \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^+_{p+1+J}\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R(C^3_J)^\dagger(C^+_{p+1})^\dagger|0\rangle$$

$$= \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^+_{p+1}C^3_J\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R(C^3_J)^\dagger(C^+_{p+1})^\dagger|0\rangle + \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^+_{p+1+J}\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R(C^+_{p+1})^\dagger(C^3_J)^\dagger|0\rangle$$

$$-\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^+_{p+1+J}\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R(C^+_{p+1+J})^\dagger|0\rangle$$

$$\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^3_JC^+_{p+1}\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R(C^+_{p+1})^\dagger(C^3_J)^\dagger|0\rangle =$$

$$= \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^+_{p+1}C^3_J\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R(C^+_{p+1})^\dagger(C^3_J)^\dagger|0\rangle + \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^+_{p+1+J}\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R(C^+_{p+1})^\dagger(C^3_J)^\dagger|0\rangle$$

and we have

$$\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^+_{p+1}C^3_J\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R(C^+_{p+1+J})^\dagger|0\rangle =$$

$$= \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^+_{p+1}(C^+_{p+1+J})^\dagger C^3_J\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R|0\rangle - \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^+_{p+1}(C^+_{p+1})^\dagger\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R|0\rangle$$

$$= \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|(C^3_J)^\dagger C^3_J\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R|0\rangle - p\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R|0\rangle$$

$$= -p\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R|0\rangle$$

$$\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^+_{p+1+J}\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R(C^+_{p+1})^\dagger(C^3_J)^\dagger|0\rangle =$$

$$= \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^3_J\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R(C^3_J)^\dagger|0\rangle = (J-1)\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R|0\rangle$$

$$\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^+_{p+1}C^3_J\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R(C^+_{p+1})^\dagger(C^3_J)^\dagger|0\rangle =$$

$$= \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^+_{p+1}(C^+_{p+1})^\dagger C^3_J\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R(C^3_J)^\dagger|0\rangle - \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^+_{p+1}(C^+_{p+1-J})^\dagger\psi^\dagger_L\psi_R(C^3_J)^\dagger|0\rangle$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= p\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C_J^3\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_J^3)^\dagger|0\rangle - \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|(C^+_{p+1-J})^\dagger C^+_{p+1}\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C^3_J)^\dagger|0\rangle \\
&\quad -\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C_J^3\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_J^3)^\dagger|0\rangle \\
&= \Big[(p-1)(J-1)\Big]\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|0\rangle - \theta(J-p)\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^+_{p+1}C^-_{J-p-1}\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C^3_J)^\dagger|0\rangle \\
&\quad +\theta(J-p)\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C_J^3\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_J^3)^\dagger|0\rangle \\
&= \Big[(p-1)(J-1)+\theta(J-p)(J-1)\Big]\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|0\rangle \\
&\quad -\theta(J-p)\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^+_{p+1}\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C^3_J)^\dagger C^-_{J-p-1}|0\rangle - \theta(J-p)\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^+_{p+1}\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C^+_{p+1})^\dagger|0\rangle \\
&= \Big[(p-1)(J-1)+\theta(J-p)(J-p-1)\Big]\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|0\rangle \\
&\quad -\delta_{J,p+\frac{1}{2}}\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^+_{p+1}\Big((C^3_J)^\dagger + e^{ik_J\mathrm{x}}\Big)\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C^+_{\frac{1}{2}})^\dagger|0\rangle \\
&= \Big[(p-1)(J-1)+\theta(J-p)(J-p-1)\Big]\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|0\rangle \\
&\quad +\delta_{J,p+\frac{1}{2}}\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|(C^-_{-\frac{1}{2}})^\dagger\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C^+_{\frac{1}{2}})^\dagger|0\rangle - \delta_{J,p+\frac{1}{2}}e^{ik_J\mathrm{x}}\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^+_{p+1}\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C^+_{\frac{1}{2}})^\dagger|0\rangle \\
&= \Big[(p-1)(J-1)+\theta(J-p)(J-p-1)\Big]\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|0\rangle \\
&\quad -\delta_{J,p+\frac{1}{2}}e^{ik_{p+\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{x}}\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^+_{p+1}\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C^+_{\frac{1}{2}})^\dagger|0\rangle\ .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us evaluate $\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^+_{p+1}\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C^+_{\frac{1}{2}})^\dagger|0\rangle$. Using (5.7) and (5.12) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
[C^+_{n+1},\psi_R] \;&=\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}}\Bigg\{\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} r_{p+n+1}e^{ik_n\mathrm{x}} + \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{p} r_{p+1-n}e^{-ik_n\mathrm{x}} \\
&\qquad + \sum_{n=p+2}^{\infty} r^\dagger_{n-p-1}e^{-ik_n\mathrm{x}} + (r_0+r_0^\dagger)e^{-ik_{p+1}\mathrm{x}}\Bigg\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and since

$$
(C^+_{\frac{1}{2}})^\dagger|0\rangle = d^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}}(r_0+r_0^\dagger)|0\rangle
$$

we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^+_{p+1}\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C^+_{\frac{1}{2}})^\dagger|0\rangle = \\
&= \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R C^+_{p+1}(C^+_{\frac{1}{2}})^\dagger|0\rangle + \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\psi_L^\dagger[C^+_{n+1},\psi_R]d^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}}(r_0+r_0^\dagger)|0\rangle \\
&= \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R C^3_{p+\frac{1}{2}}|0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}}e^{-ik_{p+1}\mathrm{x}}\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\psi_L^\dagger(r_0+r_0^\dagger)^2 d^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}}|0\rangle \\
&= -\sqrt{2L}e^{-ik_{p+1}\mathrm{x}}\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\psi_L^\dagger\,(\overset{o}{\phi}_R)^2 d^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}}|0\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

and from (2.28) we can see that

$$
(\overset{o}{\phi}_R)^2 = \frac{1}{4L}\ .
$$

Note now that since

$$
|\Omega_1^{(0)}\rangle = e^{-\frac{i\pi\sqrt{2}}{g\sqrt{L}}b_0^3}\beta^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}}d^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}}|0\rangle
$$

we can write

$$
\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|0\rangle = e^{-ik_{\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{x}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}}\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\psi_L^\dagger d^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}}|0\rangle\ .
$$

Therefore

$$
\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^+_{p+1}\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C^+_{\frac{1}{2}})^\dagger|0\rangle = -\frac{1}{2}e^{-ik_{p+\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{x}}\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|0\rangle \tag{5.18}
$$

and finally

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^+_{p+1}C^3_J\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C^+_{p+1})^\dagger(C^3_J)^\dagger|0\rangle = \\
&= \frac{1}{2L}e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}}\Big[pJ-J+1-p+\theta(J-p)(J-p-1)+\frac{1}{2}\delta_{J,p+\frac{1}{2}}\Big] \\
&= \frac{1}{2L}e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}}\Big[pJ-J+1-p+\theta(J-p-1)(J-p-1)\Big]\ .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^3_J C^+_{\frac{1}{2}}\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C^3_J)^\dagger(C^+_{\frac{1}{2}})^\dagger|0\rangle = \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^+_{J+\frac{1}{2}}\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C^3_J)^\dagger(C^+_{\frac{1}{2}})^\dagger|0\rangle \\
&= \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^+_{J+\frac{1}{2}}\Big((C^3_J)^\dagger + e^{ik_J\mathrm{x}}\Big)(C^+_{\frac{1}{2}})^\dagger|0\rangle \\
&= -\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^+_{\frac{1}{2}}\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C^+_{\frac{1}{2}})^\dagger|0\rangle + e^{ik_J\mathrm{x}}\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C^+_{J+\frac{1}{2}}\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C^+_{\frac{1}{2}})^\dagger|0\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

and using (5.18) with $p+1 \equiv J+\frac{1}{2}$

$$\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C_J^3 C_{\frac{1}{2}}^+ \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R (C_J^3)^\dagger (C_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)^\dagger|0\rangle = -\frac{1}{2}\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|0\rangle \ . \tag{5.19}$$

We also need

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C_J^3 (C_{p-1}^-)^\dagger \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R C_{p-1}^- (C_J^3)^\dagger|0\rangle = \\
&= \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|(C_{p-J-1}^-)^\dagger \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R (C_J^3)^\dagger C_{p-1}^-|0\rangle + \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|(C_{p-J-1}^-)^\dagger \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R C_{p-J-1}^-|0\rangle \\
&= \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C_{J+\frac{1}{2}}^+ \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R (C_J^3)^\dagger (C_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)^\dagger|0\rangle \delta_{p,\frac{1}{2}} + \theta(J-p)(J-p)
\end{aligned}$$

and from (5.19)

$$\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C_J^3 (C_{p-1}^-)^\dagger \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R C_{p-1}^- (C_J^3)^\dagger|0\rangle = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\delta_{p,\frac{1}{2}} + \theta(J-p)(J-p)\right)\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|0\rangle \ .$$

Finally, using (5.16) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C_{p+1}^+ \left(A_0^3 - \frac{\pi\sqrt{m}}{g\sqrt{L}}\right) \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R (A_0^3)^\dagger (C_n^+)^\dagger|0\rangle = \\
&= \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C_{p+1}^+ (A_0^3)^\dagger \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R \left(A_0^3 - \frac{\pi\sqrt{m}}{g\sqrt{L}}\right) (C_{p+1}^+)^\dagger|0\rangle + \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C_{p+1}^+ \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R (C_{p+1}^+)^\dagger|0\rangle \\
&= \left(\frac{1-\pi^2 m}{g^2 L}\right)\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C_{p+1}^+ \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R (C_{p+1}^+)^\dagger|0\rangle = \frac{1}{2L}e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}}\left(1-\frac{\pi^2 m}{g^2 L}\right)p
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting these results into $\langle\Omega_1^{(2)}|\,\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle_{3+}$ and after some rearrangements

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle\Omega_1^{(2)}|\,\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle_{3+} = \\
&\frac{g^4 e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}}}{2m^2L^3}\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\Bigg\{ -4m\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{pJ-J+1-p+\theta(J-p-1)(J-p-1)}{(2k_p+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)(2k_J)^3} \\
&\qquad -2m\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{p}{(2k_p+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)}\Bigg(\frac{1}{(2k_p+2k_J+m)^2(2k_{p+1}+2k_J+m)} \\
&\qquad\qquad +\frac{1}{(2k_p+2k_J+m)(2k_{p+1}+2k_J+m)^2}\Bigg)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
+m\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(2k_{p+1}+m)}\left(\frac{2}{(2k_J)^3(2k_p+2k_J+m)}+\frac{1}{(2k_J)^2(2k_p+2k_J+m)^2}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2m\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\Bigg(&\frac{2J}{(2k_J)^3(2k_p+2k_J+m)(2k_{p+1}+2k_J+m)}\\
&+\frac{J}{(2k_J)^2(2k_p+2k_J+m)^2(2k_{p+1}+2k_J+m)}\\
&+\frac{J}{(2k_J)^2(2k_p+2k_J+m)(2k_{p+1}+2k_J+m)^2}\Bigg)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+\frac{m}{2(2k_{\frac{1}{2}}+m)}\left(\frac{2}{(2k_J)^3(2k_{J-\frac{1}{2}}+m)}+\frac{1}{(2k_J)^2(2k_{J+\frac{1}{2}}+m)^2}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2m\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\Bigg(&\frac{2\theta(J-p)(J-p)}{(2k_J)^3(2k_{J-p}+m)(2k_{J-p+1}+m)}\\
&+\frac{\theta(J-p)(J-p)}{(2k_J)^2(2k_{J-p}+m)^2(2k_{J-p+1}+m)}\\
&+\frac{\theta(J-p)(J-p)}{(2k_J)^2(2k_{J-p}+m)(2k_{J-p+1}+m)^2}\Bigg)\Bigg\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+\frac{g^4e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}}}{2m^2L^3}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\left(1-\frac{\pi^2m}{g^2L}\right)p}{4(k_p+m)(2k_p+m)(k_{p+1}+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)}
$$

Let us consider now the term $\langle\Omega_1^{(2)}|\,\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle_{+-}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\Omega_1^{(2)}|\,\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle_{+-}=\frac{g^4}{m^2L^2}\Bigg\{&\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\,C_p^-C_{n+1}^+\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_{n+1}^+)^\dagger(C_p^-)^\dagger|0\rangle}{4(2k_p+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)(k_{n+p+1}+m)^2}\\
&+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\,C_{n+1}^+C_p^-\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_{n+1}^+)^\dagger(C_p^-)^\dagger|0\rangle}{4(2k_n+m)(2k_p+m)(k_{n+p+1}+m)^2}\\
&+\sum_{p=-\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{n=-\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\,C_p^-C_{n+1}^+\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_p^-)^\dagger(C_{n+1}^+)^\dagger|0\rangle}{4(2k_{p+1}+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(k_{n+p+1}+m)^2}\\
&+\sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\,C_{n+1}^+C_p^-\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_p^-)^\dagger(C_{n+1}^+)^\dagger|0\rangle}{4(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(k_{n+p+1}+m)^2}\ \Bigg\}\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

Considering that

$$(C_p^-)^\dagger (C_{n+1}^+)^\dagger = (C_{n+1}^+)^\dagger (C_p^-)^\dagger + (C_{n+p+1}^3)^\dagger + (n+1)\delta_{n+1,-p}$$

and

$$C_{n+1}^+ C_p^- = C_p^- C_{n+1}^+ + C_{n+p+1}^3 + (n+1)\delta_{n+1,-p}$$

one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Omega_1^{(2)} | \, \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R | \Omega^{(2)} \rangle_{+-} = \frac{g^4}{m^2 L^2} \Bigg\{ & \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \Omega_1^{(0)} | \, C_p^- C_{n+1}^+ \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R (C_{n+1}^+)^\dagger (C_p^-)^\dagger |0\rangle}{(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(2k_p+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)} \\
& + \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \Omega_1^{(0)} | \, C_p^- C_{-n+1}^+ \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R (C_{-n+1}^+)^\dagger (C_p^-)^\dagger |0\rangle}{4(2k_p+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)(k_{p-n+1}+m)^2} \\
& - \sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \Omega_1^{(0)} | \, C_{p+\frac{1}{2}}^3 \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R (C_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)^\dagger (C_p^-)^\dagger |0\rangle}{4(2k_{p+1}+m)(2k_{\frac{1}{2}}+m)(k_{p+\frac{1}{2}}+m)^2} \\
& + \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \Omega_1^{(0)} | \, C_{n+p+1}^3 \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R (C_{n+1}^+)^\dagger (C_p^-)^\dagger |0\rangle}{2(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(2k_p+m)(k_{n+p+1}+m)} \\
& + \sum_{p=-\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \Omega_1^{(0)} | \, C_p^- C_{n+1}^+ \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R (C_{n+p+1}^3)^\dagger |0\rangle}{2(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)(k_{n+p+1}+m)} \\
& - \sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \Omega_1^{(0)} | \, C_{p+\frac{1}{2}}^3 \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R (C_{p+\frac{1}{2}}^3)^\dagger |0\rangle}{4(2k_{p+1}+m)(2k_{\frac{1}{2}}+m)(k_{p+\frac{1}{2}}+m)^2} \\
& + \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \Omega_1^{(0)} | \, C_{n+p+1}^3 \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R (C_{n+p+1}^3)^\dagger |0\rangle}{4(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(k_{n+p+1}+m)^2} \\
& + \sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \Omega_1^{(0)} | \, C_{n-p+1}^3 \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R (C_{n-p+1}^3)^\dagger |0\rangle}{4(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(k_{n-p+1}+m)^2} \\
& + \frac{\langle \Omega_1^{(0)} | \, \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R |0\rangle}{16(2k_{\frac{1}{2}}+m)(2k_{\frac{1}{2}}+m)m^2} + \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{n(n+1)\langle \Omega_1^{(0)} | \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R |0\rangle}{4(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)m^2} \Bigg\} .
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\,C_p^- C_{-n+1}^+\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_{-n+1}^+)^\dagger(C_p^-)^\dagger|0\rangle}{4(2k_p+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)(k_{p-n+1}+m)^2}=$$

$$=-\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\,C_{p+\frac{1}{2}}^3\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_p^-)^\dagger(C_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)^\dagger|0\rangle}{4(2k_p+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)(k_{p+\frac{1}{2}}+m)^2}$$

$$+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\,C_{p-n+1}^3\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_{p-n+1}^3)^\dagger|0\rangle}{4(2k_p+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)(k_{p-n+1}+m)^2}$$

$$+\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{p(p+1)\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\,\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|0\rangle}{4(2k_p+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)m^2}$$

$$=-\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\,C_{p+\frac{1}{2}}^3\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)^\dagger(C_p^-)^\dagger|0\rangle}{4(2k_p+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)(k_{p+\frac{1}{2}}+m)^2}$$

$$+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\,C_{p-n}^3\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_{p-n}^3)^\dagger|0\rangle}{4(2k_p+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)(k_{p-n}+m)^2}$$

$$+\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{p(p+1)\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\,\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|0\rangle}{4(2k_p+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)m^2}$$

we can write

$$\langle\Omega_1^{(2)}|\,\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle_{+-}=\frac{g^4}{m^2L^2}\left\{\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\,C_p^- C_{n+1}^+\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_{n+1}^+)^\dagger(C_p^-)^\dagger|0\rangle}{(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(2k_p+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)}\right.$$

$$-\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\,C_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^3\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)^\dagger(C_n^-)^\dagger|0\rangle}{2(2k_{\frac{1}{2}}+m)(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(k_{n+\frac{1}{2}}+m)}$$

$$+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\,C_{n+p+1}^3\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_{n+1}^+)^\dagger(C_p^-)^\dagger|0\rangle}{2(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(2k_p+m)(k_{n+p+1}+m)}$$

$$+\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\,C_p^- C_{n+1}^+\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_{n+p+1}^3)^\dagger|0\rangle}{2(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)(k_{n+p+1}+m)}$$

$$+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\,C_{-\frac{1}{2}}^- C_{n+1}^+\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^3)^\dagger|0\rangle}{2(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(2k_{\frac{1}{2}}+m)(k_{n+\frac{1}{2}}+m)}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\,C^3_{p+\frac{1}{2}}\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C^3_{p+\frac{1}{2}})^\dagger|0\rangle}{4(2k_{p+1}+m)(2k_{\frac{1}{2}}+m)(k_{p+\frac{1}{2}}+m)^2}\\
&+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\,C^3_{n+p+1}\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C^3_{n+p+1})^\dagger|0\rangle}{4(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(k_{n+p+1}+m)^2}\\
&+\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\,C^3_{n-p}\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C^3_{n-p})^\dagger|0\rangle}{2(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(k_{n-p}+m)^2}\\
&+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\,C^3_{n+\frac{1}{2}}\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C^3_{n+\frac{1}{2}})^\dagger|0\rangle}{2(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(k_{n+\frac{1}{2}}+m)^2}\\
&+\frac{\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\,\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|0\rangle}{16(2k_{\frac{1}{2}}+m)(2k_{\frac{1}{2}}+m)m^2}\\
&+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{n(n+1)\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|0\rangle}{2(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)m^2}\ \Bigg\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\,C_p^-C_{n+1}^+\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_{n+1}^+)^\dagger(C_p^-)^\dagger|0\rangle=\\
&\quad=\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\,C_{n+1}^+C_p^-\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_{n+1}^+)^\dagger(C_p^-)^\dagger|0\rangle-\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\,C^3_{n+p+1}\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_{n+1}^+)^\dagger(C_p^-)^\dagger|0\rangle\\
&\quad=\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\,C_{n+1}^+(C_{n+1}^+)^\dagger\psi_L^\dagger\psi_RC_p^-(C_p^-)^\dagger|0\rangle+\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|C_p^-\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_p^-)^\dagger|0\rangle\\
&\quad=(np+p)\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|0\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\,C^3_{n+\frac{1}{2}}\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)^\dagger(C_n^-)^\dagger|0\rangle=\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C^3_{n+\frac{1}{2}}-e^{-ik_{n+\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{x}})(C_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)^\dagger(C_n^-)^\dagger|0\rangle\\
&\quad=\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)^\dagger C^3_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(C_n^-)^\dagger|0\rangle-\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\psi_L^\dagger\psi_RC_n^-(C_n^-)^\dagger|0\rangle\\
&\qquad-e^{-ik_{n+\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{x}}\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)^\dagger(C_n^-)^\dagger|0\rangle\\
&\quad=\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)^\dagger C_{\frac{1}{2}}^+|0\rangle-n\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|0\rangle-e^{-ik_{n+\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{x}}\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|(C_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)^\dagger\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_n^-)^\dagger|0\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to calculate $\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|(C_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)^\dagger\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R(C_n^-)^\dagger|0\rangle$ we use

$$
[b_m,(C_n^-)^\dagger]=-r_{m-n}^\dagger\theta(m-n+\frac{1}{2})-r_{m-n}\theta(n-m+\frac{1}{2})
$$

$$[d_m^\dagger, (C_n^-)^\dagger] = -r_{m+n}$$

$$[\psi_R, (C_n^-)^\dagger] = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}} \left(\sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} r_{m-n}^\dagger e^{-ik_m \mathrm{x}} + \sum_{m=\frac{1}{2}}^{n-1} r_{n-m} e^{-ik_m \mathrm{x}} + (r_0 + r_0^\dagger) e^{ik_n \mathrm{x}} \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\frac{1}{2}}^+ |\Omega_1^{(0)}\rangle &= (r_0 + r_0^\dagger) d_{\frac{1}{2}} |\Omega_1^{(0)}\rangle \\ C_n^- (r_0 + r_0^\dagger) d_{\frac{1}{2}} |\Omega_1^{(0)}\rangle &= 0 \ . \end{aligned}$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|(C_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)^\dagger \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R (C_n^-)^\dagger|0\rangle &= \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}| d_{\frac{1}{2}}^\dagger (r_0 + r_0^\dagger)(C_n^-)^\dagger \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R |0\rangle \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}} e^{ik_n \mathrm{x}} \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}| d_{\frac{1}{2}}^\dagger (r_0 + r_0^\dagger) \psi_L^\dagger (r_0 + r_0^\dagger)|0\rangle \\ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}} e^{ik_n \mathrm{x}} \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}| d_{\frac{1}{2}}^\dagger \psi_L^\dagger (r_0 + r_0^\dagger)^2|0\rangle &= \sqrt{2L} e^{ik_n \mathrm{x}} \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}| d_{\frac{1}{2}}^\dagger \psi_L^\dagger (\overset{o}{\psi}_R)^2|0\rangle \\ = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}} e^{ik_n \mathrm{x}} \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}| \psi_L^\dagger d_{\frac{1}{2}}^\dagger |0\rangle &= -\frac{1}{2} e^{ik_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{x}} \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}| \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R |0\rangle \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\, C_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^3 \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R (C_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)^\dagger (C_n^-)^\dagger|0\rangle = \left(\frac{1}{2} - n\right) \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\psi_L^\dagger \psi_R|0\rangle \ .$$

Moreover

$$\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\, C_{n+p+1}^3 \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R (C_{n+1}^+)^\dagger (C_p^-)^\dagger|0\rangle = -\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\, C_p^- \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R (C_p^-)^\dagger|0\rangle = -p\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\psi_L^\dagger \psi_R|0\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\, C_p^- C_{n+1}^+ \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R (C_{n+p+1}^3)^\dagger|0\rangle = \\ &\quad = \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\, C_{n+1}^+ C_p^- \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R (C_{n+p+1}^3)^\dagger|0\rangle - \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\, (C_{n+p+1}^3) \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R (C_{n+p+1}^3)^\dagger|0\rangle \\ &\quad = \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\, C_{n+1}^+ \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R (C_{n+1}^+)^\dagger|0\rangle - (n+p)\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\psi_L^\dagger \psi_R|0\rangle \\ &\quad = -p\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\psi_L^\dagger \psi_R|0\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\, C^-_{-\frac{1}{2}} C^+_{n+1} \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R (C^3_{n+\frac{1}{2}})^\dagger |0\rangle = \\
&\quad = \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\, C^+_{n+1} C^-_{-\frac{1}{2}} \left((C^3_{n+\frac{1}{2}})^\dagger + e^{ik_{n+\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{x}} \right) \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R |0\rangle - \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\, C^3_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R (C^3_{n+\frac{1}{2}})^\dagger |0\rangle \\
&\quad = \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\, C^+_{n+1} (C^3_{n+\frac{1}{2}})^\dagger C^-_{-\frac{1}{2}} \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R |0\rangle + \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\, C^+_{n+1} (C^+_{n+1})^\dagger \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R |0\rangle \\
&\qquad + e^{ik_{n+\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{x}} \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\, C^+_{n+1} C^-_{-\frac{1}{2}} \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R |0\rangle - \left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right) \langle\Omega_1^{(0)}| \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R |0\rangle \\
&\quad = -\langle\Omega_1^{(0)}|\, (C^-_{-\frac{1}{2}})^\dagger C^-_{-\frac{1}{2}} \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R |0\rangle = 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where (5.18) has been used.

Therefore we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\Omega_1^{(2)}|\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle_{+-} = \frac{g^4 e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}}}{2m^2L^3} \Bigg\{ & \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{p(n+1)}{(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(2k_p+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)} \\
& + \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{2(2k_{\frac{1}{2}}+m)(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(k_{n+\frac{1}{2}}+m)} \\
& - \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{p}{2(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(2k_p+m)(k_{n+p+1}+m)} \\
& - \sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{p}{2(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)(k_{n+p+1}+m)} \\
& - \sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{p-\frac{1}{2}}{4(2k_{p+1}+m)(2k_{\frac{1}{2}}+m)(k_{p+\frac{1}{2}}+m)^2} \\
& + \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{n+p}{4(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(k_{n+p+1}+m)^2} \\
& + \sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{(n-p-1)\theta(n-p-\frac{1}{2})}{2(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(k_{n-p}+m)^2} \\
& + \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{n-\frac{1}{2}}{4(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(k_{n+\frac{1}{2}}+m)^2} \\
& + \frac{1}{16(2k_{\frac{1}{2}}+m)(2k_{\frac{1}{2}}+m)m^2} + \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{n(n+1)}{2(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)m^2} \Bigg\} \\
= \frac{g^4 e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}}}{2m^2L^3} \Bigg\{ & \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{pn}{(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(2k_p+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{n}{2(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(k_{n+p+1}+m)^2}\\
&+\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{(n-p-1)\theta(n-p-\frac{1}{2})}{2(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(k_{n-p}+m)^2}\\
&+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{n-\frac{1}{2}}{2(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(k_{n+\frac{1}{2}}+m)^2}\\
&+\frac{1}{16(2k_{\frac{1}{2}}+m)^2m^2}+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{n(n+1)}{2(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)m^2}\Bigg\}
\end{aligned}
$$

.

Collecting all the terms that contribute to $\langle\theta^{(2)}|i\psi_L^{\dagger}(0,\mathrm{x})\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\theta^{(2)}\rangle$ we obtain

$$
\langle\theta^{(2)}|i\psi_L^{\dagger}\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})-i\psi_R^{\dagger}\psi_L|\theta^{(2)}\rangle=
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
=&-\frac{g^4e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}}}{m^2L^3}\sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty}\sin\theta\Bigg\{\sum_{I,J=1}^{\infty}\frac{8m^2}{(2k_I)^3((2k_J)^3}(IJ-I-J+1)\\
&+\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{8m^2}{(2k_J)^6}(J^2-2J)\\
&-8m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{pJ-J+1-p+\theta(J-p-1)(J-p-1)}{(2k_p+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)(2k_J)^3}\\
&-4m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{p}{(2k_p+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)}\Bigg(\frac{1}{(2k_p+2k_J+m)^2(2k_{p+1}+2k_J+m)}\\
&\qquad+\frac{1}{(2k_p+2k_J+m)(2k_{p+1}+2k_J+m)^2}\Bigg)\\
&+2m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(2k_{p+1}+m)}\Bigg(\frac{2}{(2k_J)^3(2k_p+2k_J+m)}\\
&\qquad+\frac{1}{(2k_J)^2(2k_p+2k_J+m)^2}\Bigg)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$-4m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\left(\frac{2J}{(2k_J)^3(2k_p+2k_J+m)(2k_{p+1}+2k_J+m)}\right.$$

$$+\frac{J}{(2k_J)^2(2k_p+2k_J+m)^2(2k_{p+1}+2k_J+m)}$$

$$\left.+\frac{J}{(2k_J)^2(2k_p+2k_J+m)(2k_{p+1}+2k_J+m)^2}\right)$$

$$+\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{m}{(2k_{\frac{1}{2}}+m)}\left(\frac{2}{(2k_J)^3(2k_{J-\frac{1}{2}}+m)}+\frac{1}{(2k_J)^2(2k_{J+\frac{1}{2}}+m)^2}\right)$$

$$-4m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\left(\frac{2\theta(J-p)(J-p)}{(2k_J)^3(2k_{J-p}+m)(2k_{J-p+1}+m)}\right.$$

$$+\frac{\theta(J-p)(J-p)}{(2k_J)^2(2k_{J-p}+m)^2(2k_{J-p+1}+m)}$$

$$\left.+\frac{\theta(J-p)(J-p)}{(2k_J)^2(2k_{J-p}+m)(2k_{J-p+1}+m)^2}\right)$$

$$+\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\left(1-\frac{\pi^2 m}{g^2 L}\right)p}{2(k_p+m)(2k_p+m)(k_{p+1}+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)}$$

$$+\sum_{p,n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{2np-n+(n-p)\theta(n-p-\frac{1}{2})}{(2k_p+m)(2k_n+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)}$$

$$+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{n^2}{(2k_n+m)^2(2k_{n+1}+m)^2}$$

$$+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{n}{2(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(k_{n+p+1}+m)^2}$$

$$+\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{(n-p-1)\theta(n-p-\frac{1}{2})}{2(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(k_{n-p}+m)^2}$$

$$+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{n-\frac{1}{2}}{2(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(k_{n+\frac{1}{2}}+m)^2}$$

$$\left.+\frac{1}{16(2k_{\frac{1}{2}}+m)^2m^2}+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{n(n+1)}{2(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)m^2}\right\}.$$

Finally we have

$$\langle\theta|i(\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R-\psi_R^\dagger\psi_L)|\theta\rangle \simeq \langle\theta^{(0)}|i(\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R-\psi_R^\dagger\psi_L)|\theta^{(0)}\rangle+\langle\theta^{(1)}|i(\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R-\psi_R^\dagger\psi_L)|\theta^{(1)}\rangle$$
$$+2\langle\theta^{(1)}|i(\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R-\psi_R^\dagger\psi_L)|\theta^{(2)}\rangle+\langle\theta^{(2)}|i(\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R-\psi_R^\dagger\psi_L)|\theta^{(2)}\rangle$$

where $\langle\theta^{(0)}|i(\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R-\psi_R^\dagger\psi_L)|\theta^{(0)}\rangle$, $\langle\theta^{(1)}|i(\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R-\psi_R^\dagger\psi_L)|\theta^{(1)}\rangle$ and $\langle\theta^{(1)}|i(\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R-\psi_R^\dagger\psi_L)|\theta^{(2)}\rangle$ are given by (5.2) , (5.14) (5.17).

Let us calculate $\langle\theta^{(2)}|\theta^{(2)}\rangle$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\Omega_M^{(2)}|\,\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|\Omega_N^{(2)}\rangle_{33} &= \frac{g^4}{L^2}\sum_{I,J=1}^{\infty}\frac{8}{(2k_I)^3(2k_J)^3}\langle\Omega_M^{(0)}|\,C_J^3C_I^3(C_J^3)^\dagger(C_I^3)^\dagger|\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle\\
&= \frac{g^4}{L^2}\delta_{MN}\sum_{I,J=1}^{\infty}\frac{8}{(2k_I)^3(2k_J)^3}\Big(IJ+J^2\delta_{IJ}\Big)\\
&= \frac{g^4}{L^2}\Big\{\sum_{I,J=1}^{\infty}\frac{8IJ}{(2k_I)^3(2k_J)^3}+\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{8J^2}{(2k_J)^6}\Big\}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\Omega_M^{(2)}|\Omega_N^{(2)}\rangle_{\pm\pm} &= \frac{g^4}{m^2L^2}\delta_{MN}\sum_{p,n,j,l=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle 0|\,C_n^{\pm}C_{p\pm}^{+}(C_j^{\pm})^\dagger(C_l^{\pm})^\dagger|0\rangle(\delta_{nj}\delta_{pl}+\delta_{nl}\delta_{pj})}{4(2k_n+m)(2k_p+m)(2k_j+m)(2k_l+m)}\\
&= \frac{g^4}{m^2L^2}\delta_{MN}\sum_{p,n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle 0|\,C_n^{\pm}C_p^{\pm}(C_p^{\pm})^\dagger(C_n^{\pm})^\dagger|0\rangle}{2(2k_p+m)^2(2k_n+m)^2}\\
&= \frac{g^4}{m^2L^2}\delta_{MN}\sum_{p,n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle 0|\,C_p^{\pm}\Big((C_p^{\pm})^\dagger C_n^{\pm}\pm C_{n-p}^3+n\delta_{np}\Big)(C_n^{\pm})^\dagger|0\rangle}{2(2k_p+m)^2(2k_n+m)^2}\\
&= \frac{g^4}{m^2L^2}\delta_{MN}\sum_{p,n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{np+n^2\delta_{np}\pm\langle 0|\Big(C_{n-p}^3C_p^{\pm}\mp C_n^{\pm}\Big)(C_n^{\pm})^\dagger|0\rangle}{2(2k_p+m)^2(2k_n+m)^2}\\
&= \frac{g^4}{m^2L^2}\delta_{MN}\sum_{p,n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{np+n^2\delta_{np}-n\pm\langle 0|C_{n-p}^3\Big(\pm C_{p-n}^3+n\delta_{pn}\Big)|0\rangle}{2(2k_p+m)^2(2k_n+m)^2}\\
&= \frac{g^4}{m^2L^2}\delta_{MN}\sum_{p,n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{np+n^2\delta_{np}-n+(n-p)\theta(n-p)}{2(2k_p+m)^2(2k_n+m)^2}\end{aligned}$$

$\langle\Omega_M^{(2)}|\Omega_N^{(2)}\rangle_{3+} = \delta_{MN}\langle\Omega^{(2)}|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle_{3+}$ and

$$\langle\Omega^{(2)}|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle_{3+} = \frac{g^4}{m^2L^2}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\Bigg\{ -4m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{\langle 0|C_p^+C_J^3(C_J^3)^\dagger(C_p^+)^\dagger|0\rangle}{(2k_p+m)^2(2k_p+2k_J+m)^3}$$

$$-2m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{\langle 0|C_p^+C_J^3(C_p^+)^\dagger(C_J^3)^\dagger|0\rangle}{(2k_p+m)}\left(\frac{2}{2k_J(2k_p+2k_J+m)^3}+\frac{1}{(2k_J)^2(2k_p+2k_J+m)^2}\right)$$

$$-2m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{\langle 0|C_J^3C_p^+(C_J^3)^\dagger(C_p^+)^\dagger|0\rangle}{(2k_p+m)}\left(\frac{2}{2k_J(2k_p+2k_J+m)^3}+\frac{1}{(2k_J)^2(2k_p+2k_J+m)^2}\right)$$

$$-4m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\langle 0|C_J^3C_p^+(C_p^+)^\dagger(C_J^3)^\dagger|0\rangle\Bigg(\frac{1}{(2k_p+2k_J+m)^2(2k_J)^3} + \frac{1}{(2k_p+2k_J+m)^3(2k_J)^2}\Bigg)$$

$$-4m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\langle 0|C_J^3(C_p^-)^\dagger C_p^-(C_J^3)^\dagger|0\rangle\Bigg(\frac{1}{(-2k_p+2k_J+m)^2(2k_J)^3} + \frac{1}{(-2k_p+2k_J+m)^3(2k_J)^2}\Bigg)$$

$$+\frac{\langle 0|C_p^+A_0^3(A_0^3)^\dagger(C_p^+)^\dagger||0\rangle\rangle}{4(k_p+m)^2(2k_p+m)^2}\Bigg\}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle 0|C_p^+C_J^3(C_p^+)^\dagger(C_J^3)^\dagger|0\rangle &= \langle 0|C_p^+(C_p^+)^\dagger C_J^3(C_J^3)^\dagger|0\rangle - \langle 0|C_p^+(C_{p-J}^+)^\dagger(C_J^3)^\dagger|0\rangle \\
&= pJ - \langle 0|C_p^+(C_J^3)^\dagger(C_{p-J}^+)^\dagger|0\rangle - \langle 0|C_p^+(C_p^+)^\dagger|0\rangle \\
&= pJ - p + \theta(p-J)(p-J)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle 0|C_p^+C_J^3(C_J^3)^\dagger(C_p^+)^\dagger|0\rangle &= \langle 0|C_p^+C_J^3(C_p^+)^\dagger(C_J^3)^\dagger|0\rangle - \langle 0|C_p^+C_J^3(C_{p+J}^+)^\dagger|0\rangle \\
&\ \langle 0|C_p^+C_J^3(C_p^+)^\dagger(C_J^3)^\dagger|0\rangle + p
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle 0|C^3_J C^+_p (C^3_J)^\dagger (C^+_p)^\dagger|0\rangle &= \langle 0|C^+_p C^3_J (C^3_J)^\dagger (C^+_p)^\dagger|0\rangle + \langle 0|C^+_{P+J}(C^+_p)^\dagger (C^3_J)^\dagger|0\rangle \\ &\quad -\langle 0|C^+_{P+J}(C^+_{P+J})^\dagger|0\rangle \\ &= \langle 0|C^+_p C^3_J (C^+_p)^\dagger (C^3_J)^\dagger|0\rangle + p + J - (p+J)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle 0|C^3_J C^+_p (C^+_p)^\dagger (C^3_J)^\dagger|0\rangle &= \langle 0|C^+_p C^3_J (C^+_p)^\dagger (C^3_J)^\dagger|0\rangle + \langle 0|C^+_{P+J}(C^+_p)^\dagger (C^3_J)^\dagger|0\rangle \\ &= \langle 0|C^+_p C^3_J (C^+_p)^\dagger (C^3_J)^\dagger|0\rangle + J\end{aligned}$$

$$\langle 0|C^3_J (C^-_p)^\dagger C^-_p (C^3_J)^\dagger|0\rangle = \langle 0|(C^-_{p-J})^\dagger C^-_{p-J}|0\rangle = \theta(J-p)(J-p)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\Omega^{(2)}|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle_{3+} = \frac{g^4}{m^2L^2}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\Bigg\{ & -4m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{p}{(2k_p+m)^2(2k_p+2k_J+m)^3} \\ & -4m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{J}{(2k_p+2k_J+m)^2(2k_J)^3}+\frac{J}{(2k_p+2k_J+m)^3(2k_J)^2}\right) \\ & -4m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\theta(J-p)(J-p)}{(-2k_p+2k_J+m)^2(2k_J)^3}+\frac{\theta(J-p)(J-p)}{(-2k_p+2k_J+m)^3(2k_J)^2}\right) \\ & -4m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{pJ-p+\theta(p-J)(p-J)}{(2k_p+m)^2(2k_J)^3} \\ & +\frac{p}{4(k_p+m)^2(2k_p+m)^2}\ \Bigg\}\end{aligned}$$

$$\langle\Omega^{(2)}_M|\Omega^{(2)}_N\rangle_{+-} = \frac{g^4}{m^2L^2}\sum_{n,p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\Bigg\{\frac{\langle 0|\,C^-_n C^+_p (C^+_p)^\dagger (C^-_n)^\dagger||0\rangle}{4(k_{p+n}+m)^2(2k_n+m)^2}+\frac{\langle 0|\,C^-_n (C^-_p)^\dagger C^-_p (C^-_n)^\dagger||0\rangle}{4(k_{n-p}+m)^2(2k_n+m)^2}$$

$$+\frac{\langle 0|\, C_n^- C_p^+ (C_p^+)^\dagger (C_n^-)^\dagger |0\rangle + \langle 0|\, C^3_{p+n} (C_p^+)^\dagger (C_n^-)^\dagger |0\rangle}{4(k_{p+n}+m)^2(2k_n+m)(2k_p+m)}$$

$$+\frac{\langle 0|\, C_n^- C_p^+ (C_p^+)^\dagger (C_n^-)^\dagger |0\rangle + \langle 0|\, C_n^- C_p^+ (C^3_{p+n})^\dagger |0\rangle}{4(k_{p+n}+m)^2(2k_n+m)(2k_p+m)}$$

$$+\frac{\langle 0|\, C_n^- C_p^+ (C_p^+)^\dagger (C_n^-)^\dagger |0\rangle + \langle 0|\, C_n^- C_p^+ (C^3_{p+n})^\dagger |0\rangle}{4(k_{p+n}+m)^2(2k_p+m)^2}$$

$$+\frac{\langle 0|\, C^3_{p+n} (C_p^+)^\dagger (C_n^-)^\dagger |0\rangle + \langle 0|\, C^3_{p+n} (C^3_{p+n})^\dagger |0\rangle}{4(k_{p+n}+m)^2(2k_p+m)^2}$$

$$+\frac{\langle 0|\, C_p^+ (C_n^+)^\dagger C_n^+ (C_p^+)^\dagger |0\rangle}{4(k_{p-n}+m)^2(2k_p+m)^2}\Bigg\}$$

$$= \frac{g^4}{m^2L^2}\sum_{n,p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\Bigg\{\frac{n(p+1)}{(2k_p+m)^2(2k_n+m)^2}+\frac{(n-p)\theta(n-p-\frac{1}{2})}{2(k_{n-p}+m)^2(2k_n+m)^2}$$

$$+\frac{n^2}{2m^2(2k_n+m)^2}-\frac{n}{(k_{p+n}+m)(2k_n+m)(2k_p+m)^2}$$

$$+\frac{n+p}{4(k_{p+n}+m)^2(2k_p+m)^2}\Bigg\}$$

$$= \frac{g^4}{m^2L^2}\sum_{n,p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\Bigg\{\frac{np}{(2k_p+m)^2(2k_n+m)^2}+\frac{(n-p)\theta(n-p-\frac{1}{2})}{2(k_{n-p}+m)^2(2k_n+m)^2}$$

$$+\frac{n^2}{2m^2(2k_n+m)^2}+\frac{n}{2(k_{p+n}+m)^2(2k_n+m)^2}\Bigg\}$$

Finally we get

$$\langle\theta^{(2)}|\theta^{(2)}\rangle = \frac{g^4}{m^2L^2}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\Bigg\{\sum_{I,J=1}^{\infty} IJ\frac{8m^2}{(2k_I)^3((2k_J)^3}+\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{8m^2}{(2k_J)^6}J^2$$

$$-8m\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{pJ-p+\theta(p-J)(p-J)}{(2k_p+m)^2(2k_J)^3}$$

$$-8m\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{p}{(2k_p+m)^2(2k_p+2k_J+m)^3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&-8m\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{J}{(2k_p+2k_J+m)^2(2k_J)^3}+\frac{J}{(2k_p+2k_J+m)^3(2k_J)^2}\right)\\
&-8m\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\theta(J-p)(J-p)}{(-2k_p+2k_J+m)^2(2k_J)^3}+\frac{\theta(J-p)(J-p)}{(-2k_p+2k_J+m)^3(2k_J)^2}\right)\\
&+\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{p}{2(k_p+m)^2(2k_p+m)^2}+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{n^2}{(2k_n+m)^4}\\
&+\sum_{p,n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{2np-n+(n-p)\theta(n-p-\frac{1}{2})}{(2k_p+m)^2(2k_n+m)^2}+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{n^2}{2m^2(2k_n+m)^2}\\
&+\sum_{n,p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\left[\frac{(n-p)\theta(n-p-\frac{1}{2})}{2(k_{n-p}+m)^2(2k_n+m)^2}+\frac{n}{2(k_{p+n}+m)^2(2k_n+m)^2}\right]\Bigg\}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\langle\theta|\theta\rangle \;\simeq\; \langle\theta^{(0)}|\theta^{(0)}\rangle+\langle\theta^{(1)}|\theta^{(1)}\rangle+\langle\theta^{(2)}|\theta^{(2)}\rangle$$

where $\langle\theta^{(0)}|\theta^{(0)}\rangle$ and $\langle\theta^{(1)}|\theta^{(1)}\rangle$ are given by (5.3) and (5.15) .

We now need to evaluate $\frac{\langle\theta|\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R|\theta\rangle}{\langle\theta|\theta\rangle}$. We expand $\frac{1}{\langle\theta|\theta\rangle}$ obtaining

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\langle\theta|\theta\rangle} \;&\simeq\; \left(\sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty}1\right)^{-1}\Bigg\{1-\frac{g^2}{mL}\left[\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{2n}{(2k_n+m)^2}-\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{mJ}{2k_J^3}\right]\\
&\quad+\frac{g^4}{m^2L^2}\left[\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{2n}{(2k_n+m)^2}-\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{mJ}{2k_J^3}\right]^2-\left(\sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty}1\right)^{-1}\langle\theta^{(2)}|\theta^{(2)}\rangle\Bigg\}\\
&= \left(\sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty}1\right)^{-1}\Bigg\{1-\left(\sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty}1\right)^{-1}\langle\theta^{(1)}|\theta^{(1)}\rangle\\
&\quad+\left(\sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty}1\right)^{-2}\langle\theta^{(1)}|\theta^{(1)}\rangle^2-\left(\sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty}1\right)^{-1}\langle\theta^{(2)}|\theta^{(2)}\rangle\Bigg\}
\end{aligned}$$

so that we can write

$$\frac{\langle\theta|i(\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R-\psi_R^\dagger\psi_L)|\theta\rangle}{\langle\theta|\theta\rangle}\simeq$$

$$-\frac{\sin\theta e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}}}{L}+\left(\sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty}1\right)^{-1}\left\{\langle\theta^{(1)}|i\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R-i\psi_R^\dagger\psi_L|\theta^{(1)}\rangle+\frac{\sin\theta e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}}}{L}\langle\theta^{(1)}|\theta^{(1)}\rangle\right\}$$

$$-\left(\sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty}1\right)^{-2}\langle\theta^{(1)}|\theta^{(1)}\rangle\left[\langle\theta^{(1)}|i\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R-i\psi_R^\dagger\psi_L|\theta^{(1)}\rangle+\frac{\sin\theta e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}}}{L}\langle\theta^{(1)}|\theta^{(1)}\rangle\right]$$

$$+\left(\sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty}1\right)^{-1}\Bigg\{2\langle\theta^{(1)}|i\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R-i\psi_R^\dagger\psi_L|\theta^{(2)}\rangle+\langle\theta^{(2)}|i\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R-i\psi_R^\dagger\psi_L|\theta^{(2)}\rangle$$

$$+\frac{\sin\theta e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}}}{L}\langle\theta^{(2)}|\theta^{(2)}\rangle\Bigg\}$$

and

$$\frac{Le^{\frac{\pi}{2mL}}}{\sin\theta}\frac{\langle\theta|i(\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R-\psi_R^\dagger\psi_L)|\theta\rangle}{\langle\theta|\theta\rangle}\simeq$$

$$\simeq-1+\frac{g^2}{mL}\left\{\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{2n}{(2k_n+m)}\left(\frac{1}{2k_n+m}-\frac{1}{(2k_{n+1}+m)}\right)-\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{4m}{(2k_J)^3}\right\}$$

$$+\frac{g^4}{m^2L^2}\Bigg\{-\sum_{n,p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{8k_np}{(2k_n+m)^2(2k_p+m)^2(2k_{p+1}+m)}$$

$$+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{2n}{(2k_n+m)^2}\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{4m}{(2k_J)^3}+\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{4mJ}{(2k_J)^3}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{4k_n}{(2k_n+m)^2(2k_{n+1}+m)}$$

$$-\sum_{I,J=1}^{\infty}\frac{4mJ}{(2k_J)^3}\frac{4m}{(2k_I)^3}+\sum_{I,J=1}^{\infty}\frac{8m^2}{(2k_I)^3(2k_J)^3}(I+J-1)+\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{16m^2J}{(2k_J)^6}$$

$$+\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{4mp}{(2k_p+m)(2k_p+2k_J+m)}\Bigg(\frac{1}{(2k_{p+1}+m)(2k_{p+1}+2k_J+m)^2}$$

$$+\frac{1}{(2k_{p+1}+m)(2k_{p+1}+2k_J+m)(2k_p+2k_J+m)}$$

$$-\frac{2}{(2k_p+m)(2k_p+2k_J+m)^2}\Bigg)$$

$$-\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{2m}{(2k_{p+1}+m)(2k_p+2k_J+m)(2k_J)^2}\left(\frac{1}{(2k_p+2k_J+m)}+\frac{1}{k_J}\right)$$

$$+\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{4mJ}{(2k_p+2k_J+m)(2k_J)^2}\left(\frac{2}{2k_J(2k_{p+1}+2k_J+m)}\right.$$

$$+\frac{1}{(2k_{p+1}+2k_J+m)^2}+\frac{1}{(2k_{p+1}+2k_J+m)(2k_p+2k_J+m)}$$

$$\left.-\frac{2}{2k_J(2k_p+2k_J+m)}-\frac{2}{(2k_p+2k_J+m)^2}\right)$$

$$+\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{m}{(2k_{\frac{1}{2}}+m)(2k_{J-\frac{1}{2}}+m)(2k_J)^2}\left(\frac{1}{(2k_{J-\frac{1}{2}}+m)}+\frac{1}{k_J}\right)$$

$$+\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{4m\theta(J-p)(J-p)}{(-2k_p+2k_J+m)(2k_J)^2}\left(\frac{2}{2k_J(-2k_{p-1}+2k_J+m)}\right.$$

$$+\frac{1}{(-2k_{p-1}+2k_J+m)^2}+\frac{1}{(-2k_{p-1}+2k_J+m)(-2k_p+2k_J+m)}$$

$$\left.-\frac{2}{2k_J(-2k_p+2k_J+m)}-\frac{2}{(-2k_p+2k_J+m)^2}\right)$$

$$-\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{8m}{(2k_J)^3}\frac{pJ-J+\theta(J-p)(J-p)}{(2k_p+m)}\left(\frac{1}{2k_p+m}-\frac{1}{2k_{p+1}+m}\right)$$

$$+\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{8m}{(2k_J)^3}\frac{-p+1-\theta(J-p-1)-\frac{1}{2}\delta_{J,p+\frac{1}{2}}}{(2k_p+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)}$$

$$+\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{p}{2(k_p+m)(2k_p+m)}\left(\frac{1}{(k_p+m)(2k_p+m)}-\frac{1}{(k_{p+1}+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)}\right)$$

$$+\frac{3\pi^2 m}{2g^2L}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{p}{(k_p+m)(2k_p+m)(k_{p+1}+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)}$$

$$+\sum_{p,n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{2np-n+(n-p)\theta(n-p-\frac{1}{2})}{(2k_p+m)(2k_n+m)}\left(\frac{1}{(2k_p+m)(2k_n+m)}\right.$$

$$\left.-\frac{1}{(2k_{p+1}+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)}\right)$$

$$+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{n^2}{(2k_n+m)^2}\left(\frac{1}{(2k_n+m)^2}-\frac{1}{(2k_{n+1}+m)^2}\right)$$

$$+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{n^2}{2m^2(2k_n+m)}\left(\frac{1}{2k_n+m}-\frac{1}{2k_{n+1}+m}\right)$$

$$-\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{n}{2(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)m^2}$$

$$+\sum_{n,p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{(n-p)\theta(n-p-\frac{1}{2})}{2(k_{n-p}+m)^2(2k_n+m)}\left(\frac{1}{2k_n+m}-\frac{1}{2k_{n+1}+m}\right)$$

$$+\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\theta(n-p-\frac{1}{2})}{2(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(k_{n-p}+m)^2}$$

$$+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{n-\frac{1}{2}}{2(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(k_{n+\frac{1}{2}}+m)^2}+\frac{1}{16(2k_{\frac{1}{2}}+m)^2m^2}$$

$$+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{n}{2(2k_n+m)}\left(\frac{1}{(k_{p+n}+m)^2(2k_n+m)}-\frac{1}{(k_{p+n+1}+m)^2(2k_{n+1}+m)}\right)\Bigg\}$$

$$= \quad -1+\frac{g^2}{mL}\Bigg\{\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{4k_n}{(2k_n+m)^2(2k_{n+1}+m)}-\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{4m}{(2k_J)^3}\Bigg\}$$

$$+\frac{g^4}{m^2L^2}\Bigg\{-\sum_{n,p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{8k_np}{(2k_n+m)^2(2k_p+m)^2(2k_{p+1}+m)}$$

$$+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{2n}{(2k_n+m)^2}\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{4m}{(2k_J)^3}+\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{4mJ}{(2k_J)^3}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{4k_n}{(2k_n+m)^2(2k_{n+1}+m)}$$

$$-\sum_{I,J=1}^{\infty}\frac{4mJ}{(2k_J)^3}\frac{4m}{(2k_I)^3}+\sum_{I,J=1}^{\infty}\frac{8m^2}{(2k_I)^3(2k_J)^3}(I+J-1)+\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{16m^2J}{(2k_J)^6}$$

$$+\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{4mp}{(2k_p+m)(2k_p+2k_J+m)}\Bigg(\frac{1}{(2k_{p+1}+m)(2k_{p+1}+2k_J+m)^2}$$

$$+\frac{1}{(2k_{p+1}+m)(2k_{p+1}+2k_J+m)(2k_p+2k_J+m)}$$

$$-\frac{2}{(2k_p+m)(2k_p+2k_J+m)^2}\Bigg)$$

$$-\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{2m}{(2k_{p+1}+m)(2k_p+2k_J+m)(2k_J)^2}\left(\frac{1}{(2k_p+2k_J+m)}+\frac{1}{k_J}\right)$$

$$-\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{8mk_J}{(2k_p+2k_J+m)(2k_J)^2}\Bigg(\frac{1}{k_J(2k_p+2k_J+m)(2k_{p+1}+2k_J+m)}$$

$$+\frac{1}{(2k_p+2k_J+m)(2k_{p+1}+2k_J+m)^2}+\frac{2}{(2k_p+2k_J+m)^2(2k_{p+1}+2k_J+m)}\Bigg)$$

$$+\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{m}{(2k_{\frac{1}{2}}+m)(2k_{J-\frac{1}{2}}+m)(2k_J)^2}\Bigg(\frac{1}{(2k_{J-\frac{1}{2}}+m)}+\frac{1}{k_J}\Bigg)$$

$$-\frac{\pi}{L}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{8m\theta(J-p)(J-p)}{(-2k_p+2k_J+m)(2k_J)^2}\Bigg(\frac{1}{(-2k_p+2k_J+m)(-2k_{p-1}+2k_J+m)^2}$$

$$+\frac{1}{k_J(-2k_p+2k_J+m)(-2k_{p-1}+2k_J+m)}$$

$$+\frac{2}{(-2k_p+2k_J+m)^2(-2k_{p-1}+2k_J+m)}\Bigg)$$

$$-\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{16m}{(2k_J)^3}\frac{k_pJ-k_J+\theta(J-p)(k_J-k_p)}{(2k_p+m)^2(2k_{p+1}+m)}$$

$$+\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{8m}{(2k_J)^3}\frac{-p+1-\theta(J-p-1)}{(2k_p+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)}$$

$$-\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{4m}{(2k_{p+\frac{1}{2}})^3(2k_p+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)}$$

$$+\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{k_p}{2(k_p+m)^2(2k_p+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)}\Bigg(\frac{2}{(2k_p+m)}+\frac{1}{(k_{p+1}+m)}\Bigg)$$

$$+\frac{3\pi^2m}{2g^2L}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{p}{(k_p+m)(2k_p+m)(k_{p+1}+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)}$$

$$+\sum_{p,n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{4k_np-2k_n+2(k_n-k_p)\theta(n-p-\frac{1}{2})}{(2k_p+m)(2k_n+m)^2(2k_{p+1}+m)}\Bigg(\frac{1}{(2k_p+m)}+\frac{1}{(2k_{n+1}+m)}\Bigg)$$

$$+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{nk_n}{(2k_n+m)^3(2k_{n+1}+m)}\Bigg(\frac{1}{(2k_{n+1}+m)}+\frac{1}{(2k_n+m)}\Bigg)$$

$$-\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{n}{2m(2k_n+m)^2(2k_{n+1}+m)}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\sum_{n,p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{(k_n-k_p)\theta(n-p-\frac{1}{2})}{(k_{n-p}+m)^2(2k_n+m)^2(2k_{n+1}+m)}\\
&+\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\theta(n-p-\frac{1}{2})}{2(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(k_{n-p}+m)^2}\\
&+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{n-\frac{1}{2}}{2(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(k_{n+\frac{1}{2}}+m)^2}+\frac{1}{16(2k_{\frac{1}{2}}+m)^2m^2}\\
&+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{k_n}{2(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(k_{p+n}+m)}\Bigg(\frac{1}{(k_{p+n}+m)(k_{p+n+1}+m)}\\
&\qquad\qquad+\frac{1}{(k_{p+n+1}+m)^2}+\frac{2}{(k_{p+n}+m)(2k_n+m)}\Bigg)\Bigg\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the terms that contain divergent series we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{g^4}{m^2L^2}\Bigg\{-\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{k_n}{(2k_n+m)^2}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{8p}{(2k_p+m)^2(2k_{p+1}+m)}\\
&\quad+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{2n}{(2k_n+m)^2}\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{4m}{(2k_J)^3}\\
&\quad+\sum_{p,n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{4k_np-2k_n+2(k_n-k_p)\theta(n-p-\frac{1}{2})}{(2k_p+m)(2k_n+m)^2(2k_{p+1}+m)}\left(\frac{1}{(2k_p+m)}+\frac{1}{(2k_{n+1}+m)}\right)\\
&\quad+\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{8m}{(2k_J)^3}\frac{-p}{(2k_p+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)}\Bigg\}=
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
=\qquad&\frac{g^4}{m^2L^2}\Bigg\{-\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{k_n}{(2k_n+m)^2}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{8p}{(2k_p+m)^2(2k_{p+1}+m)}\\
&\quad+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{4k_n}{(2k_n+m)^2(2k_{n+1}+m)}\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{4m}{(2k_J)^3}\\
&\quad+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{k_n}{(2k_n+m)^2}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{4p}{(2k_p+m)^2(2k_{p+1}+m)}\\
&\quad+\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{k_p}{(2k_p+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{4n}{(2k_n+m)^2(2k_{n+1}+m)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$+\sum_{p,n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{-2k_n\theta(p-n+\frac{1}{2})-2k_p\theta(n-p-\frac{1}{2})}{(2k_p+m)(2k_n+m)^2(2k_{p+1}+m)}\left(\frac{1}{(2k_p+m)}+\frac{1}{(2k_{n+1}+m)}\right)\Bigg\}=$$

$$\begin{aligned}
= \quad & \frac{g^4}{m^2L^2}\Bigg\{-\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{k_n}{(2k_n+m)^2(2k_{n+1}+m)}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{4k_p}{(2k_p+m)^2(2k_{p+1}+m)}\\
&+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{4k_n}{(2k_n+m)^2(2k_{n+1}+m)}\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{4m}{(2k_J)^3}\\
&+\sum_{p,n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{-2k_n\theta(p-n+\frac{1}{2})-2k_p\theta(n-p-\frac{1}{2})}{(2k_p+m)(2k_n+m)^2(2k_{p+1}+m)}\left(\frac{1}{(2k_p+m)}+\frac{1}{(2k_{n+1}+m)}\right)\Bigg\}
\end{aligned}$$

which is convergent.

We therefore have the following finite result

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\langle\theta|i(\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R-\psi_R^\dagger\psi_L)|\theta\rangle}{\langle\theta|\theta\rangle}\simeq\\
&\simeq\sin\theta e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}}\Bigg\{-\frac{1}{L}+\frac{g^2}{mL^2}\left[\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{4k_n}{(2k_n+m)^2(2k_{n+1}+m)}-\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{4m}{(2k_J)^3}\right]\\
&+\frac{g^4}{m^2L^3}\Bigg[-\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{k_n}{(2k_n+m)^2(2k_{n+1}+m)}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{4k_p}{(2k_p+m)^2(2k_{p+1}+m)}\\
&\qquad+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{4k_n}{(2k_n+m)^2(2k_{n+1}+m)}\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{4m}{(2k_J)^3}\\
&\qquad+\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{4mJ}{(2k_J)^3}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{4k_n}{(2k_n+m)^2(2k_{n+1}+m)}-\sum_{I,J=1}^{\infty}\frac{8m^2}{(2k_I)^3(2k_J)^3}+\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{16m^2J}{(2k_J)^6}\\
&\qquad+\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{4mp}{(2k_p+m)(2k_p+2k_J+m)}\Bigg(\frac{1}{(2k_{p+1}+m)(2k_{p+1}+2k_J+m)^2}\\
&\qquad+\frac{1}{(2k_{p+1}+m)(2k_{p+1}+2k_J+m)(2k_p+2k_J+m)}\\
&\qquad-\frac{2}{(2k_p+m)(2k_p+2k_J+m)^2}\Bigg)
\end{aligned}$$

$$-\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{2m}{(2k_{p+1}+m)(2k_p+2k_J+m)(2k_J)^2}\left(\frac{1}{(2k_p+2k_J+m)}+\frac{1}{k_J}\right)$$

$$-\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{8mk_J}{(2k_p+2k_J+m)(2k_J)^2}\left(\frac{1}{k_J(2k_p+2k_J+m)(2k_{p+1}+2k_J+m)}\right.$$

$$\left.+\frac{1}{(2k_p+2k_J+m)(2k_{p+1}+2k_J+m)^2}+\frac{2}{(2k_p+2k_J+m)^2(2k_{p+1}+2k_J+m)}\right)$$

$$+\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{m}{(2k_{\frac{1}{2}}+m)(2k_{J-\frac{1}{2}}+m)(2k_J)^2}\left(\frac{1}{(2k_{J-\frac{1}{2}}+m)}+\frac{1}{k_J}\right)$$

$$-\frac{\pi}{L}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{8m\theta(J-p)(J-p)}{(-2k_p+2k_J+m)(2k_J)^2}\left(\frac{1}{(-2k_p+2k_J+m)(-2k_{p-1}+2k_J+m)^2}\right.$$

$$+\frac{1}{k_J(-2k_p+2k_J+m)(-2k_{p-1}+2k_J+m)}$$

$$\left.+\frac{2}{(-2k_p+2k_J+m)^2(-2k_{p-1}+2k_J+m)}\right)$$

$$-\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{16m}{(2k_J)^3}\frac{k_pJ-k_J+\theta(J-p)(k_J-k_p)}{(2k_p+m)^2(2k_{p+1}+m)}$$

$$+\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{8m}{(2k_J)^3}\frac{1-\theta(J-p-1)}{(2k_p+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)}$$

$$-\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{4m}{(2k_{p+\frac{1}{2}})^3(2k_p+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)}$$

$$+\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{k_p}{2(k_p+m)^2(2k_p+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)}\left(\frac{2}{(2k_p+m)}+\frac{1}{(k_{p+1}+m)}\right)$$

$$+\frac{3\pi^2m}{2g^2L}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{p}{(k_p+m)(2k_p+m)(k_{p+1}+m)(2k_{p+1}+m)}$$

$$+\sum_{p,n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{-2k_n\theta(p-n+\frac{1}{2})-2k_p\theta(n-p-\frac{1}{2})}{(2k_p+m)(2k_n+m)^2(2k_{p+1}+m)}\left(\frac{1}{(2k_p+m)}+\frac{1}{(2k_{n+1}+m)}\right)$$

$$+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{nk_n}{(2k_n+m)^3(2k_{n+1}+m)}\left(\frac{1}{(2k_{n+1}+m)}+\frac{1}{(2k_n+m)}\right)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{n}{2m(2k_n+m)^2(2k_{n+1}+m)}\\
&+\sum_{n,p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{(k_n-k_p)\theta(n-p-\frac{1}{2})}{(k_{n-p}+m)^2(2k_n+m)^2(2k_{n+1}+m)}\\
&+\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\theta(n-p-\frac{1}{2})}{2(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(k_{n-p}+m)^2}\\
&+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{n-\frac{1}{2}}{2(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(k_{n+\frac{1}{2}}+m)^2}+\frac{1}{16(2k_{\frac{1}{2}}+m)^2m^2}\\
&+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{k_n}{2(2k_n+m)(2k_{n+1}+m)(k_{p+n}+m)}\left(\frac{1}{(k_{p+n}+m)(k_{p+n+1}+m)}\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad\left.+\frac{1}{(k_{p+n+1}+m)^2}+\frac{2}{(k_{p+n}+m)(2k_n+m)}\right)\Bigg]\Bigg\}
\end{aligned}
$$

By studying the large-L behaviour one can see that, while several terms go to zero, others diverge with L. The divergent behaviour is expected since it is found also in the expansion of the factor multiplying the exponential $e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}}$ in the finite-L condensate for the Schwinger model. In that case, the full nonperturbative result has a finite limit [13].

Setting $g = m\sqrt{\pi}$ we can see that the condensate takes the form of $m\sin\theta e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}}$ multiplied by a function of the product mL that goes to a pure number, if convergent, in the large-L limit . We therefore find that, as in the case of the Schwinger model, the condensate is proportional to the coupling constant.

Disregarding contributions that vanish as L goes to infinity we get the following estimate for the large-L behaviour of the condensate

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\langle\theta|i(\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R-\psi_R^\dagger\psi_L)|\theta\rangle}{\langle\theta|\theta\rangle} \quad &\simeq \quad m\sin\theta e^{-\frac{\pi}{2mL}}\left(\frac{1}{12\pi}\sum_J\frac{1}{J^3}-\frac{7}{8\pi}\sum_J\frac{1}{J^2}\right.\\ &\left.-\frac{m^3L^3}{8\pi^4}\left(\sum_J\frac{1}{J^3}\right)^2+\frac{m^3L^3}{4\pi^4}\sum_J\frac{1}{J^5}\right)\end{aligned}$$

A standard technique to estimate a series at infinity is that of Padé approximants[16]. The method of quadratic approximants gives the following function

$$f(x)=\frac{-1+\sqrt{1+4x^3(a+(a^2+b)x^3)}}{2x^3}$$

which has the power series expansion

$$f(x)\simeq a+bx^3\ .$$

For our case

$$\begin{aligned}a&=\frac{1}{12\pi}\sum_J\frac{1}{J^3}-\frac{7}{8\pi}\sum_J\frac{1}{J^2}\simeq -0.426\\ b&=-\frac{1}{8\pi^4}\left(\sum_J\frac{1}{J^3}\right)^2+\frac{1}{4\pi^4}\sum_J\frac{1}{J^5}\simeq 0.000807\\ x&=mL\,.\end{aligned}$$

For $0<x<\infty$ f is between -0.426 and 0.427. It is therefore likely that the number multiplying $m\sin\theta$ in the condensate is within this range. But nothing definite can be said about the accuracy of this result. Since we can only form one approximant, we cannot test for convergence, even empirically, and there is no mathematical theorem giving a bound on the error that is made with this approximation. A similar procedure for the Schwinger model gives a correct estimate of the order of magnitude, with an asymptotic value of about 0.45, while the correct value is about 0.28. In all likelihood our number is of order 1.

5.2 The real field contribution

It is easy to see that

$$\langle\Omega_M^{(0)}|\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\Omega_N^{(0)}\rangle = \delta_{M,N}\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle$$

so that

$$\langle\theta^{(0)}|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|\theta^{(0)}\rangle = \sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty}\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle \ . \tag{5.20}$$

Note that the non-vanishing of $\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle$ breaks chiral invariance in free theory. This is an effect of the boundary conditions that vanishes in the continuum limit. We also have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\Omega_M^{(1)}|\,\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\Omega_N^{(1)}\rangle \ &= \ \frac{g^2}{mL}\delta_{MN}\Bigg\{-2m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{\langle 0|C_J^3\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C_J^3)^\dagger|0\rangle}{(2k_J)^3} \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle 0|C_n^+\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C_n^+)^\dagger|0\rangle}{(2k_n+m)^2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{\langle 0|C_n^-\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C_n^-)^\dagger|0\rangle}{(2k_n+m)^2}\Bigg\}\end{aligned}$$

From (2.20) , (2.37) and (2.39) we get

$$\begin{aligned}[C_n^+,\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})] \ &= \ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}}\sum_{N=1}^{\infty}\Big[\Big(b_{N-n}^\dagger\theta(N-n)+d_{n-N}\theta(n-N)\Big)e^{-ik_N\mathrm{x}}\Big] \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}}\sum_{N=0}^{\infty}d_{n+N}e^{ik_N\mathrm{x}} \\ &= \ -e^{-ik_n\mathrm{x}}\psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})\end{aligned}$$

and $[C_n^+,\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})]=0$, so that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle 0|C_n^+\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C_n^+)^\dagger|0\rangle \ &= \ \langle 0|\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})C_n^+(C_n^+)^\dagger|0\rangle \\ &\quad -e^{-ik_n\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})(C_n^+)^\dagger|0\rangle\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$e^{-ik_n\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})(C_n^+)^\dagger|0\rangle = e^{-ik_n\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x})d_n^\dagger(r_0+r_0^\dagger)|0\rangle = \langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle \tag{5.21}$$

and

$$\langle 0|C_n^+\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C_n^+)^\dagger|0\rangle = (n-1)\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle \ .$$

From (2.40) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
[C_n^-,\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})] &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}}\sum_{N=1}^{\infty}\left(d_{N-n}^\dagger\theta(N-n)+b_{n-N}\theta(n-N)\right)e^{-ik_N\mathrm{x}} \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}}\sum_{N=0}^{\infty}b_{n+N}e^{ik_N\mathrm{x}} \\
&= e^{-ik_n\mathrm{x}}\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\langle 0|C_n^-\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C_n^-)^\dagger|0\rangle = n\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle + e^{-ik_n\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C_n^-)^\dagger|0\rangle \ .$$

We also have

$$e^{-ik_n\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\psi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C_n^-)^\dagger|0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}}\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L b_n(r_0+r_0^\dagger)b_n^\dagger|0\rangle = -\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle \tag{5.22}$$

so that

$$\langle 0|C_n^-\overset{o}{\phi}_L\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C_n^-)^\dagger|0\rangle = (n-1)\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle \ .$$

Since $[C_N^3,\phi_{R/L}(0,\mathrm{x})]=0$ we can finally write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle\theta^{(1)}|\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\theta^{(1)}\rangle = \\
&= \langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle\sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{g^2}{mL}\left\{\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{-4mJ}{(2k_J)^3}+2\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{n-1}{(2k_n+m)^2}\right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle\Omega^{(2)}_M|\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\Omega^{(2)}_N\rangle_{3+}$ is given by

$\langle\Omega^{(2)}_M|\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\Omega^{(2)}_N\rangle_{3+} = \delta_{MN}\langle\Omega^{(2)}|\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle_{3+}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\langle\Omega^{(2)}|\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle_{3+} = \\
&= \frac{g^4}{m^2L^2}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\Bigg\{ -4m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{\langle 0|C_p^+C_J^3\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C_J^3)^\dagger(C_p^+)^\dagger|0\rangle}{(2k_p+m)^2(2k_p+2k_J+m)^3} \\
&\quad -2m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{\langle 0|C_p^+C_J^3\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C_p^+)^\dagger(C_J^3)^\dagger|0\rangle}{(2k_p+m)}\Bigg(\frac{2}{2k_J(2k_p+2k_J+m)^3} \\
&\qquad\qquad +\frac{1}{(2k_J)^2(2k_p+2k_J+m)^2}\Bigg) \\
&\quad -2m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{\langle 0|C_J^3C_p^+\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C_J^3)^\dagger(C_p^+)^\dagger|0\rangle}{(2k_p+m)}\Bigg(\frac{2}{2k_J(2k_p+2k_J+m)^3} \\
&\qquad\qquad +\frac{1}{(2k_J)^2(2k_p+2k_J+m)^2}\Bigg) \\
&\quad -4m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\langle 0|C_J^3C_p^+\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C_p^+)^\dagger(C_J^3)^\dagger|0\rangle\Bigg(\frac{1}{(2k_p+2k_J+m)^2(2k_J)^3} \\
&\qquad\qquad +\frac{1}{(2k_p+2k_J+m)^3(2k_J)^2}\Bigg) \\
&\quad -4m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\langle 0|C_J^3(C_p^-)^\dagger\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})C_p^-(C_J^3)^\dagger|0\rangle\Bigg(\frac{1}{(-2k_p+2k_J+m)^2(2k_J)^3} \\
&\qquad\qquad +\frac{1}{(-2k_p+2k_J+m)^3(2k_J)^2}\Bigg) \\
&\quad +\frac{\langle 0|C_p^+\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C_p^+)^\dagger||0\rangle\rangle}{4(k_p+m)^2(2k_p+m)^2}\ \Bigg\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle 0|C_p^+C_J^3\phi_L\phi_R(C_J^3)^\dagger(C_p^+)^\dagger|0\rangle &= \langle 0|\phi_L\phi_R C_p^+C_J^3(C_J^3)^\dagger(C_p^+)^\dagger|0\rangle \\
&\quad -e^{-ik_p\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\phi_L(\psi_R)^\dagger C_J^3(C_J^3)^\dagger(C_p^+)^\dagger|0\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

The state $C_p^+C_J^3(C_J^3)^\dagger(C_p^+)^\dagger|0\rangle$ is an eigenstate of H_0 with eigenvalue zero. Therefore we must have

$$\langle 0|\phi_L\phi_R C_p^+C_J^3(C_J^3)^\dagger(C_p^+)^\dagger|0\rangle = \langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R C_p^+C_J^3(C_J^3)^\dagger(C_p^+)^\dagger|0\rangle\ .$$

Since C_p^+ and C_J^3 are bilinear in the fermion operators the term $(r_0+r_0^\dagger)d_p$ in C_p^+ contributes only together with its adjoint in $(C_p^+)^\dagger$, giving a factor $(r_0+r_0^\dagger)^2 = 2(\overset{o}{\phi}_R)^2 = \frac{1}{2L}$. Therefore $\overset{o}{\phi}_R$ is not present in $C_p^+C_J^3(C_J^3)^\dagger(C_p^+)^\dagger|0\rangle$ and we can conclude that

$$\langle 0|\phi_L\phi_R C_p^+C_J^3(C_J^3)^\dagger(C_p^+)^\dagger|0\rangle = \langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle\langle 0|C_p^+C_J^3(C_J^3)^\dagger(C_p^+)^\dagger|0\rangle\ .$$

Applying the same argument to all the terms in $\langle\Omega^{(2)}|\phi_L\phi_R|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle_{3+}$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle\Omega^{(2)}|\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle_{3+} = \langle\Omega^{(2)}|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle_{3+}\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle \\
&\quad +\frac{g^4}{m^2L^2}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\Bigg\{-4m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{-e^{-ik_p\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\phi_L(\psi_R)^\dagger C_J^3(C_J^3)^\dagger(C_p^+)^\dagger|0\rangle}{(2k_p+m)^2(2k_p+2k_J+m)^3} \\
&\qquad -2m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{-e^{-ik_p\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\phi_L(\psi_R)^\dagger C_J^3(C_p^+)^\dagger(C_J^3)^\dagger|0\rangle}{(2k_p+m)}\Bigg(\frac{2}{2k_J(2k_p+2k_J+m)^3} \\
&\qquad\qquad +\frac{1}{(2k_J)^2(2k_p+2k_J+m)^2}\Bigg) \\
&\qquad -2m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{-e^{-ik_p\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\phi_L C_J^3(\psi_R)^\dagger(C_J^3)^\dagger(C_p^+)^\dagger|0\rangle}{(2k_p+m)}\Bigg(\frac{2}{2k_J(2k_p+2k_J+m)^3} \\
&\qquad\qquad +\frac{1}{(2k_J)^2(2k_p+2k_J+m)^2}\Bigg) \\
&\qquad +4m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}e^{-ik_p\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\phi_L C_J^3(\psi_R)^\dagger(C_p^+)^\dagger(C_J^3)^\dagger|0\rangle\Bigg(\frac{1}{(2k_p+2k_J+m)^2(2k_J)^3} \\
&\qquad\qquad +\frac{1}{(2k_p+2k_J+m)^3(2k_J)^2}\Bigg)
\end{aligned}$$

$$+4m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty} e^{-ik_p\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\phi_L C^3_J(\psi_R)^\dagger C^-_p (C^3_J)^\dagger|0\rangle\Bigg(\frac{1}{(-2k_p+2k_J+m)^2(2k_J)^3} + \frac{1}{(-2k_p+2k_J+m)^3(2k_J)^2}\Bigg)$$

$$-\frac{e^{-ik_p\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\phi_L(\psi_R)^\dagger(C^+_p)^\dagger|0\rangle}{4(k_p+m)^2(2k_p+m)^2}\Bigg\}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&-e^{-ik_p\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\phi_L(\psi_R)^\dagger C^3_J(C^3_J)^\dagger(C^+_p)^\dagger|0\rangle = \\
&\quad = -e^{-ik_p\mathrm{x}}\Big(\langle 0|\phi_L(\psi_R)^\dagger(C^3_J)^\dagger C^3_J(C^+_p)^\dagger|0\rangle + J\langle 0|\phi_L(\psi_R)^\dagger(C^+_p)^\dagger|0\rangle\Big) \\
&\quad = e^{-ik_p\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\phi_L\left((C^3_J)^\dagger - e^{ik_J\mathrm{x}}\right)(\psi_R)^\dagger(C^+_{p-J})^\dagger|0\rangle - J\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle \\
&\quad = -e^{-ik_{p-J}\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\phi_L(\psi_R)^\dagger(C^+_{p-J})^\dagger|0\rangle - J = (-\theta(p-J)-J)\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&-e^{-ik_p\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\phi_L(\psi_R)^\dagger C^3_J(C^+_p)^\dagger(C^3_J)^\dagger|0\rangle = \\
&\quad = -e^{-ik_p\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\phi_L(\psi_R)^\dagger C^3_J(C^3_J)^\dagger(C^+_p)^\dagger|0\rangle - e^{-ik_p\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\phi_L(\psi_R)^\dagger C^3_J(C^+_{p+J})^\dagger|0\rangle \\
&\quad = (-\theta(p-J)-J+1)\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&-e^{-ik_p\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\phi_L C^3_J(\psi_R)^\dagger(C^3_J)^\dagger(C^+_p)^\dagger|0\rangle \\
&\quad = -e^{-ik_p\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\phi_L(\psi_R)^\dagger C^3_J(C^3_J)^\dagger(C^+_p)^\dagger|0\rangle - e^{-ik_{p+J}\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\phi_L(\psi_R)^\dagger(C^3_J)^\dagger(C^+_p)^\dagger|0\rangle \\
&\quad = (-\theta(p-J)-J)\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle - e^{-ik_{p+J}\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\phi_L\left((C^3_J)^\dagger - e^{ik_J\mathrm{x}}\right)(\psi_R)^\dagger(C^+_p)^\dagger|0\rangle \\
&\quad = (-\theta(p-J)-J+1)\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&-e^{-ik_p\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\phi_L C^3_J(\psi_R)^\dagger(C^+_p)^\dagger(C^3_J)^\dagger|0\rangle = \\
&\quad -e^{-ik_p\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\phi_L C^3_J(\psi_R)^\dagger(C^3_J)^\dagger(C^+_p)^\dagger|0\rangle - e^{-ik_p\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\phi_L C^3_J(\psi_R)^\dagger(C^+_{p+J})^\dagger|0\rangle \\
&\quad = (-\theta(p-J)-J+1)\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle - e^{-ik_p\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\phi_L(\psi_R)^\dagger(C^3_J + e^{-ik_J\mathrm{x}})(C^+_{p+J})^\dagger|0\rangle \\
&\quad = (-\theta(p-J)-J+1)\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$-e^{ik_p\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\phi_L C_J^3(\psi_R)^\dagger C_p^-(C_J^3)^\dagger|0\rangle =$$

$$= -e^{ik_p\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\phi_L(\psi_R)^\dagger(C_J^3 + e^{-ik_J\mathrm{x}})C_{p-J}^-|0\rangle = -e^{-ik_{J-p}\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\phi_L(\psi_R)^\dagger(C_{J-p}^+)^\dagger|0\rangle$$

$$= -\theta(J-p)\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle$$

where (5.21) and (5.22) have been used.

We can now write

$$\langle\Omega^{(2)}|\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle_{3+} = \langle\Omega^{(2)}|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle_{3+}\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle$$

$$+\frac{g^4}{m^2L^2}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\Bigg\{ -4m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{-\theta(p-J)-J}{(2k_p+m)^2(2k_p+2k_J+m)^3}$$

$$-4m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{-\theta(p-J)-J+1}{2k_J(2k_p+m)(2k_p+2k_J+m)^2}\left(\frac{2}{2k_p+2k_J+m}+\frac{1}{2k_J}\right)$$

$$-4m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{-\theta(p-J)-J+1}{(2k_J)^2(2k_p+2k_J+m)^2}\left(\frac{1}{2k_J}+\frac{1}{2k_p+2k_J+m}\right)$$

$$+4m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{\theta(J-p)}{(2k_J)^2(-2k_p+2k_J+m)^2}\left(\frac{1}{2k_J}+\frac{1}{-2k_p+2k_J+m}\right)$$

$$-\frac{1}{4(k_p+m)^2(2k_p+m)^2}\Bigg\}$$

$$= \langle\Omega^{(2)}|\Omega^{(2)}\rangle_{3+}\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle$$

$$+\frac{g^4}{m^2L^2}\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\Bigg\{ -4m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{-\theta(p-J)-J+1}{(2k_J)^3(2k_p+m)^2}$$

$$+\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}4m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(2k_p+m)^2(2k_p+2k_J+m)^3}$$

$$+4m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{\theta(J-p)}{(2k_J)^2(-2k_p+2k_J+m)^2}\left(\frac{1}{2k_J}+\frac{1}{-2k_p+2k_J+m}\right)$$

$$-\frac{1}{4(k_p+m)^2(2k_p+m)^2}\Bigg\}$$

Let us consider now $\langle \Omega_M^{(2)} | \phi_L(0,\mathrm{x}) \phi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) | \Omega_N^{(2)} \rangle_{\pm\pm}$

$$\langle \Omega_M^{(2)} | \phi_L(0,\mathrm{x}) \phi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) | \Omega_N^{(2)} \rangle_{++} = \frac{g^4 \delta_{MN}}{m^2 L^2} \sum_{p,n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\langle 0 |\, C_n^+ C_p^+ \phi_L(0,\mathrm{x}) \phi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) (C_p^+)^\dagger (C_n^+)^\dagger | 0 \rangle}{2(2k_p + m)^2 (2k_n + m)^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle 0 |\, C_n^+ C_p^+ \overset{o}{\phi}_L \phi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) (C_p^+)^\dagger (C_n^+)^\dagger | 0 \rangle = \\
&\langle 0 |\, C_n^+ \overset{o}{\phi}_L \phi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) C_p^+ (C_p^+)^\dagger (C_n^+)^\dagger | 0 \rangle - e^{-ik_p \mathrm{x}} \langle 0 |\, C_n^+ \overset{o}{\phi}_L \psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x}) (C_p^+)^\dagger (C_n^+)^\dagger | 0 \rangle \\
&= \langle 0 |\, \overset{o}{\phi}_L \phi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) C_n^+ C_p^+ (C_p^+)^\dagger (C_n^+)^\dagger | 0 \rangle - e^{-ik_n \mathrm{x}} \langle 0 |\, \overset{o}{\phi}_L \psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x}) C_p^+ (C_p^+)^\dagger (C_n^+)^\dagger | 0 \rangle \\
&-e^{-ik_p \mathrm{x}} \langle 0 |\, \overset{o}{\phi}_L \psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x}) C_n^+ (C_p^+)^\dagger (C_n^+)^\dagger | 0 \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \Omega_M^{(2)} | \phi_L(0,\mathrm{x}) \phi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) | \Omega_N^{(2)} \rangle_{++} = \langle 0 | \overset{o}{\phi}_L \overset{o}{\phi}_R | 0 \rangle \langle \Omega_M^{(2)} | \Omega_N^{(2)} \rangle_{++} \\
&-\frac{g^4 \delta_{MN}}{m^2 L^2} \sum_{p,n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-ik_n \mathrm{x}} \langle 0 |\, \overset{o}{\phi}_L \psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x}) C_p^+ (C_p^+)^\dagger (C_n^+)^\dagger | 0 \rangle}{(2k_p + m)^2 (2k_n + m)^2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{-ik_n \mathrm{x}} \langle 0 |\, \overset{o}{\phi}_L \psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x}) C_p^+ (C_p^+)^\dagger (C_n^+)^\dagger | 0 \rangle = \\
&\quad = e^{-ik_n \mathrm{x}} \langle 0 |\, \overset{o}{\phi}_L \psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x}) (C_p^+)^\dagger C_p^+ (C_n^+)^\dagger | 0 \rangle + (p-1) e^{-ik_n \mathrm{x}} \langle 0 |\, \overset{o}{\phi}_L \psi_R^\dagger (C_n^+)^\dagger | 0 \rangle \\
&\quad = e^{-ik_n \mathrm{x}} \langle 0 |\, \overset{o}{\phi}_L \psi_R^\dagger(0,\mathrm{x}) (C_p^+)^\dagger \left((C_{n-p}^3)^\dagger + p\delta_{n,p} \right) | 0 \rangle + (p-1) \langle 0 | \overset{o}{\phi}_L \overset{o}{\phi}_R | 0 \rangle \\
&\quad = (p\delta_{n,p} + p - 1) \langle 0 | \overset{o}{\phi}_L \overset{o}{\phi}_R | 0 \rangle \\
&\qquad + e^{-ik_n \mathrm{x}} \theta\Big(n - p - \frac{1}{2}\Big) \Big[\langle 0 |\, \overset{o}{\phi}_L \left((C_{n-p}^3)^\dagger - e^{ik_{n-p}\mathrm{x}} \right) \psi_R^\dagger (C_p^+)^\dagger | 0 \rangle + \langle 0 |\, \overset{o}{\phi}_L \psi_R^\dagger (C_n^+)^\dagger | 0 \rangle \Big] \\
&\quad = (p\delta_{n,p} + p - 1) \langle 0 | \overset{o}{\phi}_L \overset{o}{\phi}_R | 0 \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

so we have

$$\langle \Omega_M^{(2)} | \phi_L(0,\mathrm{x}) \phi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) | \Omega_N^{(2)} \rangle_{\pm\pm} =$$

$$\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle\langle\Omega_M^{(2)}|\Omega_N^{(2)}\rangle_{\pm\pm} - \frac{g^4\delta_{MN}}{m^2L^2}\sum_{p,n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{p-1+p\delta_{n,p}}{(2k_p+m)^2(2k_n+m)^2}$$

$\langle\Omega_M^{(2)}|\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\Omega_N^{(2)}\rangle_{+-}$ is given by

$$\langle\Omega_M^{(2)}|\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\Omega_N^{(2)}\rangle_{+-} = \frac{g^4}{m^2L^2}\sum_{n,p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{\langle 0|\,C_n^-C_p^+\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C_p^+)^\dagger(C_n^-)^\dagger||0\rangle}{(2k_p+m)^2(2k_n+m)^2}\right.$$

$$+\frac{\langle 0|\,C_{p+n}^3\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C_p^+)^\dagger(C_n^-)^\dagger|0\rangle}{4(k_{p+n}+m)^2(2k_n+m)(2k_p+m)} + \frac{\langle 0|\,C_n^-C_p^+\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C_{p+n}^3)^\dagger|0\rangle}{4(k_{p+n}+m)^2(2k_n+m)(2k_p+m)}$$

$$+\frac{\langle 0|\,C_n^-C_p^+\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C_{p+n}^3)^\dagger|0\rangle}{4(k_{p+n}+m)^2(2k_p+m)^2} + \frac{\langle 0|\,C_{p+n}^3\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C_p^+)^\dagger(C_n^-)^\dagger|0\rangle}{4(k_{p+n}+m)^2(2k_p+m)^2}$$

$$+\frac{\langle 0|\,C_{p+n}^3\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C_{p+n}^3)^\dagger|0\rangle}{4(k_{p+n}+m)^2(2k_p+m)^2} + \frac{\langle 0|\,C_n^-(C_p^-)^\dagger\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})C_p^-(C_n^-)^\dagger||0\rangle}{4(k_{n-p}+m)^2(2k_n+m)^2}$$

$$\left.+\frac{\langle 0|\,C_p^+(C_n^+)^\dagger\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})C_n^+(C_p^+)^\dagger|0\rangle}{4(k_{p-n}+m)^2(2k_p+m)^2}\right\}$$

$$\langle 0|\,C_n^-C_p^+\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C_p^+)^\dagger(C_n^-)^\dagger|0\rangle = -e^{-ik_p\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\,C_n^-\overset{o}{\phi}_L\psi_R^\dagger(C_p^+)^\dagger(C_n^-)^\dagger|0\rangle$$

$$+e^{-ik_n\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\,\overset{o}{\phi}_L\psi_R C_p^+(C_p^+)^\dagger(C_n^-)^\dagger|0\rangle + \langle 0|\,\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R C_n^-C_p^+(C_p^+)^\dagger(C_n^-)^\dagger|0\rangle =$$

$$= -e^{-ik_p\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\,C_n^-\overset{o}{\phi}_L\psi_R^\dagger(C_n^-)^\dagger(C_p^+)^\dagger|0\rangle + e^{-ik_p\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\,C_n^-\overset{o}{\phi}_L\psi_R^\dagger C_{n+p}^3)^\dagger|0\rangle$$

$$+e^{ik_n\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\,\overset{o}{\phi}_L\psi_R(C_0^3+p)(C_n^-)^\dagger|0\rangle + \langle 0|\,\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R C_n^-C_p^+(C_p^+)^\dagger(C_n^-)^\dagger|0\rangle$$

and

$$e^{-ik_p\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\,C_n^-\overset{o}{\phi}_L\psi_R^\dagger(C_{n+p}^3)^\dagger|0\rangle = e^{-ik_p\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\,C_n^-\left((C_{n+p}^3)^\dagger - e^{ik_{p+n}\mathrm{x}}\right)\overset{o}{\phi}_L\psi_R^\dagger|0\rangle =$$

$$= e^{-ik_p\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\,(C_p^+)^\dagger\overset{o}{\phi}_L\psi_R^\dagger|0\rangle + \left(e^{-ik_n\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\,\overset{o}{\phi}_L\psi_R(C_n^-)^\dagger|0\rangle\right)^* = \langle 0|\,\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle 0|\, C_n^- C_p^+ \phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C_p^+)^\dagger (C_n^-)^\dagger |0\rangle \;&=\; -(n+p)\langle 0|\, \overset{o}{\phi}_L \overset{o}{\phi}_R |0\rangle \\ &\quad +\langle 0|\, \overset{o}{\phi}_L \overset{o}{\phi}_R C_n^- C_p^+ (C_p^+)^\dagger (C_n^-)^\dagger |0\rangle \ .\end{aligned}$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle 0|\, C_n^- C_p^+ \phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C^3_{p+n})^\dagger |0\rangle = \langle 0|\, \overset{o}{\phi}_L \overset{o}{\phi}_R C_n^- C_p^+ (C^3_{p+n})^\dagger |0\rangle \\ &\quad + e^{-ik_n\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\, \overset{o}{\phi}_L \psi_R C_p^+ (C^3_{p+n})^\dagger |0\rangle - e^{-ik_p\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\, C_n^- \overset{o}{\phi}_L \psi_R^\dagger (C^3_{p+n})^\dagger |0\rangle = \\ &= \langle 0|\, \overset{o}{\phi}_L \overset{o}{\phi}_R C_n^- C_p^+ (C^3_{p+n})^\dagger |0\rangle - e^{-ik_n\mathrm{x}}\langle 0|\, \overset{o}{\phi}_L \psi_R (C_n^-)^\dagger |0\rangle - \langle 0|\, \overset{o}{\phi}_L \overset{o}{\phi}_R |0\rangle \\ &= \langle 0|\, \overset{o}{\phi}_L \overset{o}{\phi}_R C_n^- C_p^+ (C^3_{p+n})^\dagger |0\rangle = \langle 0|\, \overset{o}{\phi}_L \overset{o}{\phi}_R |0\rangle \langle 0|\, C_n^- C_p^+ (C^3_{p+n})^\dagger |0\rangle\end{aligned}$$

Taking the adjoint we get

$$\langle 0|\, C^3_{p+n}\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(C_p^-)^\dagger|0\rangle (C_n^-)^\dagger |0\rangle = \langle 0|\, \overset{o}{\phi}_L \overset{o}{\phi}_R |0\rangle \langle 0|\, \langle 0|\, C^3_{p+n}(C_p^+)^\dagger |0\rangle (C_n^-)^\dagger |0\rangle \ .$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle 0|\, C_p^\pm (C_n^\pm)^\dagger \phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x}) C_n^\pm (C_p^\pm)^\dagger |0\rangle = \\ &= \langle 0|\, (\pm C^3_{p-n} + n\delta_{nm})\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})(\pm C^3_{n-p} + n\delta_{nm})|0\rangle = \\ &= \langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L \overset{o}{\phi}_R |0\rangle \langle 0|\, C_p^\pm (C_n^\pm)^\dagger C_n^\pm (C_p^\pm)^\dagger |0\rangle\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \Omega_M^{(2)}|\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\Omega_N^{(2)}\rangle_{+-} &= \langle \Omega_M^{(2)}|\Omega_N^{(2)}\rangle_{+-}\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L \overset{o}{\phi}_R |0\rangle \\ &\quad -\frac{g^4\delta_{MN}}{m^2L^2}\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L \overset{o}{\phi}_R |0\rangle \sum_{n,p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{2n}{(2k_n+m)^2(2k_p+m)^2}\end{aligned}$$

and $\langle \theta^{(2)}|\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\theta^{(2)}\rangle$ is

$$\langle \theta^{(2)}|\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\theta^{(2)}\rangle = \sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L \overset{o}{\phi}_R |0\rangle \langle \theta^{(2)}|\theta^{(2)}\rangle$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{g^4}{m^2L^2}\sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty}\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\Bigg\{-8m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{-\theta(p-J)-J+1}{(2k_J)^3(2k_p+m)^2}\\
&\quad+\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}8m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(2k_p+m)^2(2k_p+2k_J+m)^3}\\
&\quad+8m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{\theta(J-p)}{(2k_J)^2(-2k_p+2k_J+m)^2}\left(\frac{1}{2k_J}+\frac{1}{-2k_p+2k_J+m}\right)\\
&\quad-\frac{1}{4(k_p+m)^2(2k_p+m)^2}-\sum_{p,n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{2p-2+2p\delta_{n,p}}{(2k_p+m)^2(2k_n+m)^2}\\
&\quad-\sum_{n,p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{2n}{(2k_n+m)^2(2k_p+m)^2}\Bigg\}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that $\langle\theta^{(1)}|\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\theta^{(2)}\rangle = 0$.

To normalize the real field contribution we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\langle\theta|\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\theta\rangle}{\langle\theta|\theta\rangle}\simeq\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle\\
&+\left(\sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty}1\right)^{-1}\Big\{\langle\theta^{(1)}|\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\theta^{(1)}\rangle-\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle\langle\theta^{(1)}|\theta^{(1)}\rangle\Big\}\\
&-\left(\sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty}1\right)^{-2}\langle\theta^{(1)}|\theta^{(1)}\rangle\Big[\langle\theta^{(1)}|\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\theta^{(1)}\rangle-\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle\langle\theta^{(1)}|\theta^{(1)}\rangle\Big]\\
&+\left(\sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty}1\right)^{-1}\Big\{\langle\theta^{(2)}|\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\theta^{(2)}\rangle-\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle\langle\theta^{(2)}|\theta^{(2)}\rangle\Big\}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\langle\theta|\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\theta\rangle}{\langle\theta|\theta\rangle}\simeq\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle-2\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle\frac{g^2}{mL}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(2k_n+m)^2}\\
&+\frac{g^4}{m^2L^2}\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle\Bigg\{\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{-4mJ}{(2k_J^3}\right)+\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{2n}{(2k_n+m)^2}\Bigg\}\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{2}{(2k_p+m)^2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{g^4}{m^2L^2}\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\Bigg\{-8m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{-\theta(p-J)-J+1}{(2k_J)^3(2k_p+m)^2}\\
&\quad+8m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(2k_p+m)^2(2k_p+2k_J+m)^3}\\
&\quad+8m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{\theta(J-p)}{(2k_J)^2(-2k_p+2k_J+m)^2}\left(\frac{1}{2k_J}+\frac{1}{-2k_p+2k_J+m}\right)\\
&\quad-\frac{1}{4(k_p+m)^2(2k_p+m)^2}-\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{2p-2+2p\delta_{n,p}}{(2k_p+m)^2(2k_n+m)^2}\\
&\quad-\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{2n}{(2k_n+m)^2(2k_p+m)^2}\Bigg\}\\
=\;&\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle-2\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle\frac{g^2}{mL}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(2k_n+m)^2}\\
&+\frac{g^4}{m^2L^2}\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\Bigg\{-8m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(2k_{J+p-\frac{1}{2}})^3(2k_p+m)^2}\\
&\quad+8m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(2k_p+m)^2(2k_p+2k_J+m)^3}\\
&\quad+8m\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(2k_{J+p-\frac{1}{2}})^2(2k_{J-\frac{1}{2}}+m)^2}\left(\frac{1}{2k_{J+p-\frac{1}{2}}}+\frac{1}{2k_{J-\frac{1}{2}}+m}\right)\\
&\quad-\frac{1}{4(k_p+m)^2(2k_p+m)^2}-\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{-2+2p\delta_n}{(2k_p+m)^2(2k_n+m)^2}\Bigg\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, for the contribution of the real field we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\langle\theta|\phi_L(0,\mathrm{x})\phi_R(0,\mathrm{x})|\theta\rangle}{\langle\theta|\theta\rangle}\simeq\;&\langle 0|\overset{o}{\phi}_L\overset{o}{\phi}_R|0\rangle\Bigg\{1-2\frac{g^2}{mL}\sum_{n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(2k_n+m)^2}\\
&+\frac{g^4}{m^2L^2}\Bigg[8m\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(2k_p+m)^2(2k_p+2k_J+m)^3}\\
&\quad+8m\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\sum_{J=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(2k_{J+p-\frac{1}{2}})^2(2k_{J-\frac{1}{2}}+m)^3}\\
&\quad-\sum_{p=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{1}{4(k_p+m)^2(2k_p+m)^2}-\sum_{p,n=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\frac{-2+2p\delta_{n,p}}{(2k_p+m)^2(2k_n+m)^2}\Bigg]\Bigg\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and it is not hard to verify that it goes to zero in large-L limit, as one might have expected considering that this contribution is an artifact of the breaking of chiral invariance in free theory due to the zero modes.

Chapter 6

CONCLUSIONS

The following questions arose in the development of our study:

1. What is the algebra of the Lagrange multiplier fields and how do they determine the physical subspace?[12]
2. Can the symmetries of the classical Lagrangian be implemented in the quantized theory?
3. Can one formulate the problem in such a way that the vacuum and the condensate can be calculated using perturbation theory?
4. How many vacuum states does this model possess?
5. Can one estimate the condensate for large values of L, at least for some range of couplings?

We have given the following answers:

1. The commutators relating the different colour components of the Lagrange multiplier have been calculated and the subsidiary condition has been clearly expressed in terms of Fock operators.
2. The existence of an operator implementing the residual gauge symmetry of the classical theory is not compatible with a representation of the gauge fields in

the indefinite-metric Fock space of non-interacting gauge bosons. The residual symmetries can be implemented if $A^{\pm}$ are quantized in a positive-metric Fock space.

3. While in the Schwinger model the nonperturbative contribution to the vacuum is given by the zero mode of A^3 only, in the non-abelian case considered here the different symmetry properties of the free and interacting gauge theories do not allow a truly perturbative approach for the nonzero modes of the gauge field. On the other hand, if we pay our respects to the principle of gauge invariance when removing the ultraviolet divergences from Fermi operator products, we obtain, besides the normal-ordering prescription of the free fermion theory, an extra term reflecting the gauge symmetry of the interacting fermion. Including this term in the unperturbed Hamiltonian allows us to evaluate the vacuum using perturbation theory, while the state we perturb about, together with the residual gauge transformations that give rise to the non-trivial structure, bring along nonperturbative effects.

   The vacuum obtained in this way satisfies the subsidiary condition to the relevant order.

4. In accordance with previous studies we found two vacuum states [2][4][6][11].

5. We have a given a rough estimate of the condensate in the large-L limit. It would be interesting to be able to compare this result with a lattice calculation of the condensate.

It might be worthwhile to consider the theory with colour-symmetric boundary conditions, that is with all three components of the gauge field periodic and all three components of the Fermi fields antiperiodic. That would eliminate the undesired presence of the zero mode of the real Fermi field, responsible for a nonzero condensate even in free theory. The treatment of the gauge fields would presumably be more complicated but it would be interesting to study the problem of their quantization with a colour-symmetric approach.

It might also be interesting to study the one-particle states perturbatively and, in particular, verify whether the expected relation $M^2 \sim \mu\langle\theta|\mathrm{Tr}\bar{\Psi}\Psi|\theta\rangle$ (where μ is the bare fermion mass) holds or not.

REFERENCES

[1] J.Schwinger, *Phys. Rev.* **128** 2425 (1962).

[2] E. Witten, *Nuovo Cim.* **51A** 325 (1979).

[3] L. D. Paniak, G. W. Semenoff and A. R. Zhitnitsky, `hep-th/9606194`.

[4] A. Smilga, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 6836 (1994).

[5] I. I. Kogan and A. R. Zhitnitsky, *Nucl. Phys.* B **465**, 99 (1996).

[6] F. Lenz, M. Shifman and M. Thies, *Phys. Rev.* D **51**, 7060 (1995).

[7] P. Goddard and D. Olive, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* A **2**, 303 (1986).

[8] G. 't Hooft, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **81**, 267 (1981).

[9] M. A. Shifman and A. V. Smilga, *Phys. Rev.* D **50**, 7659 (1994).

[10] P.A.M. Dirac, *Proc. Roy. Soc.* A **246** 326 (1958);
M.Henneaux, C.Teitelboim, *Quantization of Gauge Systems* (Princeton University Press).

[11] G. McCartor, D. Robertsons, S. Pinsky, *Phys. Rev.* **D 56** 1035 (1997)

[12] A. Bassetto, G. Nardelli, R. Soldati, *Yang-Mills theories in algebraic non-covariant gauges* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991).

[13] A. Bassetto, G. Nardelli, E. Vianello, *Phys. Rev.* **D 56** 3631 (1997).

[14] Y. Nakawaki, *Prog. Theoret. Phys.* **70** 1105 (1983);

Y. Nakawaki, *Prog. Theoret. Phys.* **64** 1828 (1980).

[15] D.A. Uhlenbrock *Comm. Math. Phys.* **4** 64 (1967)

[16] G. McCartor *Phys.Rev.* **D 32** 2223 (1985)